# Urban History Review Revue d'histoire urbaine

URBAN HISTORY REVIEW REVUE D'HISTOIRE URBAINE

Kramer, Lloyd S. *Threshold of a New World: Intellectuals and the Exile Experience in Paris, 1830–1848.* Ithaca and London: Cornell University Press, 1988. Pp. xii, 297. Illustrations, index. \$31.50 (U.S.)

## Richard A. Lebrun

#### Volume 17, Number 3, February 1989

URI: https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1017644ar DOI: https://doi.org/10.7202/1017644ar

See table of contents

### Publisher(s)

Urban History Review / Revue d'histoire urbaine

ISSN

0703-0428 (print) 1918-5138 (digital)

Explore this journal

#### Cite this review

Lebrun, R. A. (1989). Review of [Kramer, Lloyd S. *Threshold of a New World: Intellectuals and the Exile Experience in Paris, 1830–1848.* Ithaca and London: Cornell University Press, 1988. Pp. xii, 297. Illustrations, index. \$31.50 (U.S.)]. *Urban History Review / Revue d'histoire urbaine, 17*(3), 222–223. https://doi.org/10.7202/1017644ar

All Rights Reserved $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Urban History Review / Revue d'histoire urbaine, 1989	This document is protected by copyright law. Use of the services of Érudit (including reproduction) is subject to its terms and conditions, which can be viewed online.
	https://apropos.erudit.org/en/users/policy-on-use/
	This article is disseminated and preserved by Érudit.
érudit	Érudit is a non-profit inter-university consortium of the Université de Montréal, Université Laval, and the Université du Québec à Montréal. Its mission is to

https://www.erudit.org/en/

promote and disseminate research.

is his stress on the absence of any real interest in agrarian improvement until the end of the 18th century. His attitude to the regulated grain trade has changed markedly from his work in earlier essays. He now stresses its corruption and inefficiency to a much greater degree. One ends up longing for an even more sustained account of the social and economic revolution for which the Hapsburg-Lorraine rulers of Florence were responsible after 1737. From being a protected centre of commerce and manufacture Florence was demoted to a town amongst others; its inhabitants, previously guaranteed a supply of grain, were left to fend for themselves on the open market. At the same time, the old ruling elite were ejected from office and new standards of bureaucratic efficiency were imposed. It would be hard to imagine a more interesting case study in the transition from a medieval and early modern city state to a modern city - a transition accompanied by the reception and adoption of Enlightenment ideas. Tuscany was, following the advice of Beccaria, the first state to abolish the death penalty, and government fiscal policy was debated in terms drawn from physiocracy.

Professor Litchfield felt the need to tell us what happened to the patriciate during the years of Medici rule. But in the end we have neither a full social history of the patriciate nor a full-scale study of the 18th-century reforms. Here and there, too, his book (which is beautifully produced, as we have come to expect from Princeton) is clumsily written. There are other faults, but it may be churlish to complain: Litchfield takes his place beside Cochrane as one of our few and valuable authorities on post-republican Florence. He takes us from Machiavelli to Beccaria; from the guilds to free trade; from republican magistracies, through the ducal court, to the formation of a modern bureaucracy. He has chosen to concentrate on the administration of government, and in the process he has

reminded us of the interest and importance of Florence in these forgotten centuries.

David Wooton Department of Political Science University of Western Ontario

Kramer, Lloyd S. *Threshold of a New World: Intellectuals and the Exile Experience in Paris, 1830-1848.* Ithaca and London: Cornell University Press, 1988. Pp. xii, 297. Illustrations, index. \$31.50 (U.S.).

This book offers a fascinating exploration of the relationship between social and intellectual history. Combining theory and practice, Kramer begins with a sophisticated discussion of the historiographic problems involved in trying to clarify this relationship and then illustrates his recommended approach with a clearly written account of how the experience of exile affected the thought of three major writers living in Paris under the "July Monarchy."

Rejecting approaches that stress the primacy of social experience in shaping ideas or of ideas in shaping social experience, Kramer insists on the reciprocal influence between experience and texts. As he puts it, "Without ideas, experience does not make sense .... Without society, ideas do not make sense." Social experience takes place within interpretive systems derived from inherited ideas or texts while all ideas and texts appear within social systems. Most people living in stable societies, of course, are scarcely conscious of the extent to which their reality is defined by their social system. But the experience of exile, Kramer contends, "provokes new forms of interpretation by defamiliarizing the familiar and familiarizing the unfamiliar." In the case of the three highly gifted individuals examined in this study -- Heinrich Heine, Karl Marx, and Adam Mickiewicz - the experience of living in exile in a challenging and stimulating new environment (Paris of

the 1830s and 1840s) played an important, perhaps indispensable, role in provoking them to work out innovative interpretations of social realities. Since their new theories (of socialism and nationalism) were of fundamental importance to subsequent European history, Kramer's exploration of their genesis provides both demonstratons of his methodology and valuable contributions to European social and intellectual history.

The book is organized in a straightforward way, with chapters on Paris, Heine, Marx, and Mickiewicz. Kramer utilizes the existing secondary material on all four topics, but primary materials have also been used extensively. The description of "the capital of Europe," as seen and experienced by foreigners, is an impressive piece of urban history. In part because the length of their Parisian experience varied (Heine and Mickiewicz lived out their lives in Paris after their arrival in the early 1830s, whereas Marx resided there for only a little more than a year - October 1843 to February 1845), the treatment accorded each figure is somewhat different. For Heine and Mickiewicz, whose works and importance are little appreciated in the English-speaking world, Kramer provides full accounts of their lives, literary works, and influence. With Marx, given the vast literature on every aspect of his life, Kramer concentrates on a detailed examination of how his experiences in Paris affected him during this crucial period in his intellectual development, and how this evolution found expression in the texts he produced during his months in the French capital (i.e. the articles he contributed to the Deutsch-Franzosische Jahrbücher, The Holy Family, and the long unpublished Economic and Philosophical Manuscripts), and the text in which he settled accounts with the French socialist Pierre-Joseph Proudhon (The Poverty of Philosophy of 1847). Kramer argues convincingly that Marx's experiences in Paris encouraged him "to investigate the historical inadequacies of the economists, the philosophical errors of the Hegelians, and the revolutionary mistakes of the Jacobins"

and were thus crucial in helping "a German philospher become a European social theorist." Heine and Mickiewicz, in contrast, were cultural mediators who explained their native countries and cultures (Germany and Poland) to the French, and France to their countrymen. In the course of doing so, Kramer maintains, they enhanced national consciousness in all three countries and contributed as well to the development of nationalism as self-conscious ideology.

On the whole this book works very well, but I have two minor quibbles. Although Kramer equates "exile" and "émigré," he does not discuss the contrasting role of the "émigré experience" for important conservative writers such as Chateaubriand, Louis de Bonald, and Joseph de Maîstre. Secondly, while the work is well documented with extensive notes and a generous bibliography, the index is less than complete, lacking entries for some of the minor figures mentioned in the text.

Richard A. Lebrun Department of History University of Manitoba

Celik, Zeynep. *The Remaking of Istanbul: Portrait of an Ottoman City in the Nineteenth Century*. Seattle and London: University of Washington Press, 1987. Pp. xviii, 183. 17 maps, 103 halftones, bibliography, index. \$25.00 (U.S.).

Zeynep Celik, an American-educated Turk who teaches architecture at Columbia University, has produced the first comprehensive study of the 19th-century transformation in the architectural form and urban fabric of Istanbul, capital of a oncevast Oriental empire which was then struggling merely to survive in much reduced form. Her book began as an award-winning doctoral thesis constructed from an interesting array of source materials, especially Turkish archival documents. Celik limits her study to the 70 years of intense growth and change between 1838 (when the Anglo-Ottoman Commercial Treaty opened the empire to foreign capital, enterprise, and workers) and 1908 (when the Young Turk Revolution curbed the despotic Abdulhamit II). During this period, the first half of which coincided with the Tanzimat reform era, considerable effort was made to recast Istanbul into a European-style capital symbolizing an empire rejuvenated by Western-inspired reforms. The treasury, however, was too poor to allow great schemes to be realized except in a piecemeal fashion. As a result, Celik concludes, the city lost its Turkish-Islamic character without gaining a uniformly Western facade.

The book is organized into seven chapters, including an epilogue in which the author provides a dreary fin-de-siècle image of a once-proud capital - run down and neglected in one of its two principal regions and modern and Western-looking in the other. Chapter One surveys the city's architectural form in its Christian Byzantine and Islamic Ottoman eras. Each was characterized by monumental edifices and open squares harmonizing with the hilly topography. A striking difference between them is that under Islamic law, which favours individual over public rights, houses and shops encroached upon thoroughfares. The next chapter, on population growth, settlement patterns, and urban reform, notes that the Tanzimat reforms shifted responsibility for urban administration from the kadi (Islamic judge) to appointed, but unfunded councils. In 1857 one of these. owing to demands by the fast-growing foreign community for municipal services, became the privileged Sixth District Administration which for two decades worked autonomously at improving facilities in Galata and Pera north of the Golden Horn bay. The focus of the third chapter is the regularization of the urban fabric, in particular the use of the burnt-out areas for experimenting with Western-inspired planning principles. Thus, the Hocapasa fire of 1865 gave birth to the Commission for Road Improvement, which over four years achieved in some areas south of the Golden Horn what the Sixth District Administration did north of it by regularizing the streets and building fabric.

The remaining chapters, each as stimulating and rich in detail as the others, examine the city's interconnected transportation network; some unrealized European-authored schemes for the city's urban redesign along lines popular in Europe; and the architectural pluralism evident in the many new buildings concentrated mainly north of the Golden Horn. The dominance within this pluralism of an unenriched Neoclassicism accounts largely for the sombre and colourless fabric of present-day Galata and Pera (Beyoglu). It seems that, whatever the subject being discussed, Celik is forever having to contrast, sometimes unconsciously, the mainly foreign guarters north of the Golden Horn with the predominantly Muslim ones south of it; and almost always the latter suffers by the comparison.

This highly recommended book gives serious attention to the 19th-century cityscape of one of the world's most fascinating urban conglomerates. Besides providing a wealth of information, often relating as much to social history as to urban form, this volume offers a veritable miniarchive of maps, diagrams, and black-andwhite photographs, many appearing here for the first time and all carefully and unambiguously arranged on the large format pages. The publisher too merits kudos for a product of superb technical execution at an affordable price.

Richard Blackburn Department of Middle East and Islamic Studies University of Toronto