# **Phytoprotection**

## phytoprotection

# **The role of antibiosis in the antagonism of different bacteria towards** *Helminthosporium solani***, the causal agent of potato silver scurf**

# **Le rôle de l'antibiose dans l'antagonisme de différentes bactéries contre** *Helminthosporium solani***, agent responsable de la gale argentée de la pomme de terre**

Carole Martinez, Tyler J. Avis, Jean-Nicolas Simard, Jessica Labonté, Richard R. Bélanger and Russell J. Tweddell

#### Volume 87, Number 2, août 2006

URI:<https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/013975ar> DOI:<https://doi.org/10.7202/013975ar>

[See table of contents](https://www.erudit.org/en/journals/phyto/2006-v87-n2-phyto1432/)

#### Publisher(s)

Société de protection des plantes du Québec (SPPQ)

ISSN

0031-9511 (print) 1710-1603 (digital)

[Explore this journal](https://www.erudit.org/en/journals/phyto/)

#### Cite this article

érudit

Martinez, C., Avis, T. J., Simard, J.-N., Labonté, J., Bélanger, R. R. & Tweddell, R. J. (2006). The role of antibiosis in the antagonism of different bacteria towards *Helminthosporium solani*, the causal agent of potato silver scurf / Le rôle de l'antibiose dans l'antagonisme de différentes bactéries contre *Helminthosporium solani*, agent responsable de la gale argentée de la pomme de terre. *Phytoprotection*, *87*(2), 69–75. https://doi.org/10.7202/013975ar

#### Article abstract

Bacterial antagonists of *Helminthosporium solani* were submitted to different tests in order to determine the role of antibiosis in their antagonistic interaction. Among the bacterial strains tested, seven (*Alcaligenes piechaudii, Aquaspirillum autotrophicum, Cellulomonas fimi, Pseudomonas chlororaphis, Pseudomonas putida* (strains 94-19 and E-30) and *Streptomyces griseus*) were shown to produce agar diffusible metabolites inhibiting *H. solani* mycelial growth and/or conidial germination. Differential activity was revealed when diffusible metabolites were extracted from either pure cultures of these antagonists or dual culture in the presence of *H. solani*. The results presented indicate that the methodology employed could be a decisive factor in whether or not antibiosis can be identified as a mode of action of biocontrol agents.

Tous droits réservés © La société de protection des plantes du Québec, 2006 This document is protected by copyright law. Use of the services of Érudit (including reproduction) is subject to its terms and conditions, which can be viewed online.

<https://apropos.erudit.org/en/users/policy-on-use/>

#### This article is disseminated and preserved by Érudit.

Érudit is a non-profit inter-university consortium of the Université de Montréal, Université Laval, and the Université du Québec à Montréal. Its mission is to promote and disseminate research.

<https://www.erudit.org/en/>

# **The role of antibiosis in the antagonism of different bacteria towards** *Helminthosporium solani***, the causal agent of potato silver scurf**

Carole Martinez<sup>1</sup>, Tyler J. Avis<sup>2</sup>, Jean-Nicolas Simard<sup>2</sup>, Jessica Labonté<sup>2</sup>, Richard R. Bélanger<sup>2</sup>, and Russell J. Tweddell<sup>2</sup>

*Received 2006-04-13; accepted 2006-06-22*

## **PHYTOPROTECTION 87 : 69-76**

Bacterial antagonists of *Helminthosporium solani* were submitted to different tests in order to determine the role of antibiosis in their antagonistic interaction. Among the bacterial strains tested, seven (*Alcaligenes piechaudii, Aquaspirillum autotrophicum, Cellulomonas fimi, Pseudomonas chlororaphis, Pseudomonas putida* (strains 94-19 and E-30) and *Streptomyces griseus*) were shown to produce agar diffusible metabolites inhibiting *H. solani* mycelial growth and/or conidial germination. Differential activity was revealed when diffusible metabolites were extracted from either pure cultures of these antagonists or dual culture in the presence of *H. solani*. The results presented indicate that the methodology employed could be a decisive factor in whether or not antibiosis can be identified as a mode of action of biocontrol agents.

Keywords: Antibiosis, bacterial antagonists, diffusible metabolites, *Helminthosporium solani*, potato silver scurf.

### **[Le rôle de l'antibiose dans l'antagonisme de différentes bactéries contre** *Helminthosporium solani***, agent responsable de la gale argentée de la pomme de terre]**

Des antagonistes bactériens envers *Helminthosporium solani* ont été soumis à différents essais en vue de déterminer le rôle de l'antibiose dans l'antagonisme observé. Parmi les souches bactériennes évaluées, sept (*Alcaligenes piechaudii, Aquaspirillum autotrophicum, Cellulomonas fimi, Pseudomonas chlororaphis, Pseudomonas putida* (souches 94-19 et E-30) et *Streptomyces griseus*) ont produit dans le milieu gélosé des métabolites inhibant la croissance mycélienne et/ou la germination des conidies de *H. solani*. Les métabolites produits en culture pure et en culture mixte ont présenté une activité différente sur la croissance mycélienne de *H. solani*. Les résultats présentés indiquent que la méthodologie employée peut être un facteur déterminant dans l'identification de l'antibiose comme mode d'action d'un agent antagoniste.

Mots clés: Antagonistes bactériens, antibiose, *Helminthosporium solani*, métabolites, gale argentée de la pomme de terre.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Silver scurf of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.), caused by the fungus *Helminthosporium solani* Durieu & Mont., is a surface-blemishing disease spoiling the appearance of potato tubers. Previously recognized as a disease of minor importance, silver scurf has become a leading cause of rejection of commercial potatoes (Errampalli *et al.* 2001). The dramatic increase in the economic importance of silver scurf is mainly attributable to the development of *H. solani* resistance to thiabendazole, which previously provided efficient control as a post-harvest treatment (Mérida and Loria 1994; Platt 1997; Secor and Gudmestad 1999). As a result, alternative strategies are needed to control this disease, which is present in most potato-growing areas.

Recent work has shown that various bacteria isolated from potato-growing soils had the ability to reduce *H. solani* development on potato tubers (Martinez *et al.* 2002; Michaud *et al.* 2002). These antagonistic bacteria, which may eventually find application for the biocontrol of *H. solani*, are *Alcaligenes piechaudii* Kiredjian *et al.*, *Aquaspirillum autotrophicum* Aragno & Schlegel, *Arthrobacter oxydans* Sguros, *Bacillus cereus* Frankland & Frankland (GC subgroup A),

<sup>1.</sup> Centre de recherche en horticulture, Pavillon Envirotron, Université Laval, Québec (Québec), Canada G1K 7P4. Current address: Premier Horticulture, 1 avenue Premier, Rivière-du-Loup (Québec), Canada G5R 6C1

<sup>2.</sup> Centre de recherche en horticulture, Pavillon Envirotron, Université Laval, Québec (Québec), Canada G1K 7P4; corresponding author e-mail: russell.tweddell@crh.ulaval.ca

*Bacillus mycoides* Flügge, *Cellulomonas fimi* (McBeth & Scales) Bergey *et al.*, *Kocuria rosea* (Flügge) Stackebrandt *et al.*, *Kocuria varians* (Migula) Stackebrandt *et al.*, *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* (Guignard & Sauvageau) Bergey *et al.*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Migula biotype F, *Pseudomonas putida* (Trevisan) Migula biotype A (strains 94-19 and E-30), *Rhodococcus erythropolis* (Gray & Thornton) Goodfellow & Alderson, *Rhodococcus globerulus* Goodfellow *et al.* (GC subgroup A), and *Streptomyces griseus* (Krainsky) Waksman & Henrici.

Considering the potentially effective antagonism of these micro-organisms against *H. solani*, the determination of their mode of action is of great importance both on scientific grounds and in view of possibly developing one or more of these bacteria as biocontrol agents in the future. There are four mechanisms by which one micro-organism may limit the growth of another micro-organism: competition for resources, parasitism, systemic induced resistance and antibiosis (i.e. the production and diffusion of toxic compounds (antibiotics); Fravel 1988). Although these mechanisms often work in concert, antibiosis is of great importance in many antagonists as it usually provides the initial mechanism (Bélanger *et al.* 1995), as well as the most rapid means (Avis *et al.* 2000), of limiting the growth of a plant pathogen.

As such, this work attempted to determine the role of antibiosis in the mechanism by which the identified antagonistic bacteria affect *H. solani* development. The objectives of this study were (i) to determine the effect of bacterial strains on mycelial growth of *H. solani* in dual culture on solid media; and (ii) to evaluate the antifungal activity of crude and semipurified bacterial extracts on mycelial growth and conidial germination of *H. solani*.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## **Micro-organisms and growth conditions**

*Helminthosporium solani* (DAOM 233452) was used throughout this study. The fungus was grown (24°C) on V8 agar containing 200 mL L<sup>1</sup> of clarified V8 juice (Campbell's Soup Company, Toronto, Ontario), 3 g  $L<sup>-1</sup>$  of CaCO<sub>3</sub> and 15 g  $L<sup>-1</sup>$  of bacto agar (Difco Laboratories, Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD). The pH was adjusted to 7.2. Stock cultures were maintained on slants at 4°C.

The bacterial antagonists tested were previously isolated from soil (Martinez *et al.* 2002; Michaud *et al.* 2002). These micro-organisms were: *A. piechaudii, A. autotrophicum, A. oxydans, B. cereus* (GC subgroup A), *B. mycoides, C. fimi, K. rosea, K. varians, P. chlororaphis, P. fluorescens, P. putida* biotype A (strains 94-19 and E-30), *R. erythropolis, R. globerulus* (GC subgroup A) and *S. griseus*. All strains were grown in tryptic soy broth (TSB; Sigma-Aldrich, Mississauga, ON) for 24 h on a rotary shaker at 24°C, with the exception of *S. griseus*, which was grown for 4 d under the same conditions.

## **Effect of bacteria on mycelial growth of** *H. solani*

A mycelial disk (8 mm diam) of a 5-wk-old culture of *H. solani* was placed on one side of a Petri dish containing potato dextrose agar (PDA; Difco Laboratories). Ten microlitres of each bacterial suspension (5 x 10<sup>5</sup> CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) or 10  $\mu$ L of sterile TSB (control) was placed 1 cm away from the *H. solani* disk, and dishes were incubated at 24°C in the dark. The presence of inhibition zones was recorded 14 d after inoculation. The experiment was performed in triplicate.

## **Effect of bacterial exudates released on solid media on** *H. solani* **mycelial growth**

#### *Preparation of extracts obtained from pure bacterial cultures*

Cellophane membranes (Hutchinson, Chalettes/Loing, France) were boiled in distilled water for 5 min and sterilized (Whipps 1987). Selected bacteria were streaked on sterile cellophane membranes covering agar plates containing 24 g  $L<sup>1</sup>$  potato dextrose broth (PDB; Difco Laboratories) and 17 g L-1 Phytagel (Sigma-Aldrich), and grown at 24°C for 3 d. The cellophane membranes were then removed and the media were lyophilized. Agar plates covered by a cellophane membrane on which TSB alone was streaked were used as controls.

#### *Preparation of extracts obtained from bacteria in dual culture with* H. solani

A mycelial disk (8 mm diam) of a 5-wk-old culture of *H. solani* was placed on one side of a Petri dish containing PDB-Phytagel, prepared as described previously. Ten microlitres of each bacterial suspension  $(5 \times 10^5$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) was placed 1 cm away from the *H. solani* disk and dishes were incubated at 24°C in the dark. When inhibition zones appeared, the medium between the bacteria and *H. solani* was recovered and lyophilized. An equivalent zone of the medium on which *H. solani* had grown in the presence of 10 µL TSB was used as the control.

### *Effect of the extracts on mycelial growth*

Lyophilized media samples were ground and extracted with 50 mL of 80% methanol for 1 h. Evaporation of methanol was performed with a roto-evaporator (Rotovapor R-114, Büchi, Switzerland) and the volume was reduced to 2 mL. Ten millilitres of water were added and the samples were eluted with reversephase C-18 columns (Sep-pak Cartridges, Waters Corporation, Milford, MA), previously washed with methanol and conditioned with water. Elutions were performed with a methanol:water gradient (0, 20, 50, 80 and 100% of methanol identified F1 through F5, respectively). Six millilitres of each of the five fractions were evaporated to dryness with a roto-evaporator. After evaporation, fractions F1 and F2 were dissolved in 1 mL of methanol:water (1:1), and fractions F3, F4 and F5 were dissolved in 1 mL of 100% methanol. The fractions were stored at -20°C until use. To evaluate the effect of the fractions on *H. solani* mycelial growth, 100  $\mu$ L of each fraction were absorbed on a disk of paper filter (4 mm diam). Each disk was placed 5 mm away from a 7-d-old culture of *H. solani*. Presence (+) or absence (-) of an inhibition zone was recorded after a 6-d incubation period at 24°C in the dark. Each

bioassay was performed in triplicate. Fractions were considered inhibitory when an inhibition zone appeared in at least two repetitions.

#### **Effect of bacterial exudates on conidial germination of** *H. solani*

#### *Effect of liquid culture filtrates*

Bacteria were grown in Erlenmeyer flasks (100 mL) containing 50 mL of TSB for 24 h at 24°C on a rotary shaker (150 rpm). The culture filtrates were recovered by centrifugation (10 min; 4800 x *g*) and sterilized with a syringe filter (0.2 μM). *Helminthosporium solani* conidia (5 x 10<sup>4</sup>) in 1 mL of each culture filtrate or sterile TSB (control) were placed in a 1.5 mL microtube. Microtubes were incubated at 24°C in the dark under agitation (rotary shaker; 150 rpm), and conidial germination was noted after a 24-h incubation period (fungistatic effect). In order to evaluate the fungitoxic effect of the filtrates, conidia were recovered by centrifugation (3200 rpm; 5 min), rinsed three times with TSB and incubated for an additional 48 h in TSB on a rotary shaker (150 rpm; 24°C) in the dark prior to measuring conidial germination. In both assays, the level of germination was evaluated on 100 conidia with a microscope. The conidia were considered germinated when the length of the germ tube was equal to 1.5 times the length of the conidia. Inhibition of conidial germination was calculated as follows: [(Number of germinated conidia in the control - Number of germinated conidia in the filtrate)/ Number of germinated conidia in the control] x 100. The experimental unit was a microtube containing 1 mL of *H. solani* conidial suspension and the experiment was performed according to a completely randomized design with three repetitions. Analysis of variance was carried out with the GLM procedure of SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and treatment means were compared using Fisher's protected LSD test (*P* < 0.05).

*Effect of bacterial exudates released on solid media* Sterile cellophane membranes were placed on 10 mL of PDA in Petri dishes. A 24-h-old TSB liquid culture  $(5 \times 10^5 \text{ CFU} \text{ mL}^{-1})$  of each bacterial antagonist (except for *S. griseus*) or sterile TSB (control) was streaked on the cellophane membranes. Petri dishes were incubated at 24°C for 3 d. For *S. griseus*, a 4-d-old TSB liquid culture was streaked on the cellophane membranes and incubated at 24°C until it sporulated. The cellophane membranes were then removed and 1 mL of *H. solani* conidial suspension (10<sup>4</sup> conidia mL<sup>-1</sup>) was spread on PDA. Petri dishes were incubated at 24°C for 6 d and germination was evaluated visually. Each bacterium was tested in triplicate.

# **RESULTS**

#### **Effect of bacteria on mycelial growth of** *H. solani*

In confrontation assays, an inhibition zone was observed between *H. solani* and the bacteria *A. piechaudii, A. autotrophicum, C. fimi, P. chlororaphis, P. putida* (94-19 and E-30) and *S. griseus* (Table 1), revealing that *H. solani* mycelial growth was inhibited by these bacteria.

**Table 1. Effect of bacterial antagonists on the mycelial growth of** *Helminthosporium solani* **in dual culture** 



Presence (+) or absence (-) of an inhibition zone between the antagonist and *H. solani* for each of three repetitions.

**b** Tryptic Soy Broth.

### **Effect of bacterial exudates released on solid media on** *H. solani* **mycelial growth**

Fractions extracted from pure culture of the bacteria that inhibited *H. solani* growth in dual culture (*A. piechaudii, A. autotrophicum, C. fimi, P. chlororaphis, P. putida* (94-19 and E30) and *S. griseus*) were tested for their effect on mycelial growth of *H. solani*. For all the bacteria tested, at least one fraction exhibited inhibition of *H. solani* mycelial growth (Table 2). Fraction F4 was active in *A. piechaudii*, F3 in *A. autotrophicum*, F4 in *C. fimi*, F4 and F5 in *P. chlororaphis*, F4 in *P. putida* (94-19), F4 and F5 in *P. putida* (E-30), and F2 through F4 in *S. griseus*.

Fractions extracted from the inhibition zone between *H. solani* and each of the seven selected antagonists were also tested for their effect on mycelial growth of *H. solani* (Table 2). At least one fraction inhibited the growth of *H. solani* in *A. piechaudii, C. fimi, P. chlororaphis, P. putida* (94-19) and *S. griseus*. *Aquaspirillum autotrophicum* and *P. putida* (E-30) did not contain consistently active fractions. Active fractions in the bacterial antagonists were: F4 and F5 in *A. piechaudii*, F2 in *C. fimi*, F4 in *P. chlororaphis*, F4 in *P. putida* (94-19), and F2 and F3 in *S. griseus*.

### **Effect of bacterial exudates on conidial germination of** *H. solani*

For the fungistatic assay, culture filtrates from *B. cereus, K. rosea* and *P. fluorescens* significantly inhibited conidial germination when compared with the control with inhibition rates of 59.7, 24.8, and 73.3%, respectively (Table 3). Filtrates from *R. erythropolis* and *R. globerulus* significantly stimulated conidial germination. In the fungitoxic assay, *B. cereus* and *K. rosea* culture filtrates significantly decreased *H. solani* conidial germination with inhibition rate of 40.4 and 45.1%, respectively (Table 3), while *A. piechaudii, P. fluorescens* and *R. globerulus* filtrates significantly stimulated *H. solani* conidial germination.



**Table 2. Effect of semi-purified extracts from bacteria grown in pure culture and in dual culture on the mycelial growth of** *Helminthosporium solani*

 $\degree$  Fractions from C-18 cartridges eluted with: 1 = 100% water; 2 = 20% methanol; 3 = 50% methanol; 4 = 80% methanol; and 5 = 100% methanol.

**b** Extracts recovered from the inhibition zone between the bacterium and *H. solani*.

 $\epsilon$  Presence (+) or absence (-) of an inhibition zone for each of three replicates.

Conidial germination was also affected by bacterial exudates released on agar. Indeed, precultures of *A. piechaudii, P. chlororaphis* and *S. griseus* on a cellophane membrane covering PDA limited the subsequent germination of *H. solani* conidia either totally (*P. chlororaphis*) or partially (*A. piechaudii* and *S. griseus*) (Fig. 1). Precultures of *A. autotrophicum, C. fimi, P. putida* (E-30) and *P. putida* (94-19) (see Fig. 1B as an example of negative control) did not reduce conidial germination of *H. solani*.

# **DISCUSSION**

This study employed different methodologies to evaluate antibiosis in previously identified bacterial antagonists of *H. solani*. As such, solid or liquid cultures of the antagonists were carried out with or without the presence of the pathogen, and semipurified or crude bacterial culture extracts were tested for inhibition of both mycelial growth and conidial germination of *H. solani*. With this experimental design, antibiosis was assessed with regards to specific conditions governing the differential production of antifungal compounds from selected bacteria.

<b>Bacterial antagonist</b>	Inhibition of conidial germination $(\%)^{\circ}$				
	<b>Fungistatic effect</b>		<b>Fungitoxic effect</b>		
Control		$0.0$ cde		$0.0$ cde	
Alcaligenes piechaudii	$-11.6$ bcd		$-111.2 a$		
Aquaspirillum autotrophicum	$-14.1$ bc		$-18.4 \text{ c}$		
Arthrobacter oxydans		$-8.0$ bcde		$-8.0$ cde	
Bacillus cereus	59.7 $q$		40.4 f		
<b>Bacillus mycoides</b>	$12.0$ ef		12.0 e		
Cellulomonas fimi		$-2.1$ cde	$-13.2 \text{ c}$		
Kocuria rosea	24.8 f		45.1 $q$		
Kocuria varians	10.3 def			3.9 cde	
Pseudomonas chlororaphis	$-14.5$ bc		$-14.5$ bc		
Pseudomonas fluorescens	73.3 g		$-57.7b$		
Pseudomonas putida (94-19)		$5.2$ cdef	$-10.1$ cde		
Pseudomonas putida (E-30)	11.8 ef		9.4 de		
Rhodococcus erythropolis	$-28.1$ ab		$-14.3 \text{ c}$		
Rhodococcus globerulus	$-40.2 a$		$-51.7b$		
Streptomyces griseus	$-$ b		$- -$		

**Table 3. Effect of culture filtrates from bacterial antagonists on conidial germination of** *Helminthosporium solani*

<sup>a</sup> Inhibition of conidial germination was calculated as follows: [(Number of germinated conidia in the control - Number of germinated conidia in the filtrate) / Number of germinated conidia in the control] x 100. Each value represents the mean of three replicates. Numbers within a column followed by a same letter are not significantly different according to Fisher's protected LSD test (*P* < 0.05). Negative values mean stimulation of conidial germination.

**b** Not determined.

In confrontation assays on solid media, including when precultures on cellophane membranes were used, *A. piechaudii, P. chlororaphis* and *S. griseus* inhibited both mycelial growth and conidial germination of *H. solani*, suggesting that antibiosis is a contributor to the antagonistic effect of these bacteria through the diffusion of antifungal compounds into the medium. *Alcaligenes piechaudii, P. chlororaphis* and *S. griseus* had previously been shown to produce antibiotics (Assis *et al.* 1998; Chin-A-Woeng *et al.* 1998; Huddleston *et al.* 1997), though not against *H. solani*.

*Arthrobacter oxydans, B. mycoides, K. varians, R. erythropolis* and *R. globerulus* did not inhibit mycelial growth or conidial germination of *H. solani*, suggesting that antibiosis is not a mechanism by which these bacteria antagonize the pathogen. Although *B. mycoides* (Papou and Gryn`ko 1994), *K. varians* (Molet *et al.* 2004; O'Mahony *et al.* 2001) and *Rhodococcus* sp. (Hiroyuki *et al.* 1999) had previously been shown to produce antibiotics, no antibiosis could be revealed with these species against *H. solani* in this study. In accordance with results presented herein, *A. oxydans* had not been previously reported to produce antibiotics.



**Figure 1. Effect of exudates released by precultured antagonistic bacteria on conidial germination of** *Helminthosporium solani* **on solid media.** (A) *Pseudomonas chlororaphis;* (B) *Pseudomonas putida* (94-19)*;* (C) *Streptomyces griseus;* (D) *Alcaligenes piechaudii;* (E) control.

*Aquaspirillum autotrophicum, C. fimi* and *P. putida* (both strains) demonstrated antibiosis against mycelial growth, but not conidial germination of *H. solani*. The production of antifungal compounds by *A. autotrophicum*, *C. fimi* and *P. putida* had not been demonstrated previously. Furthermore, the production of siderophores (Sultana *et al.* 2001) or induced resistance (Ongena *et al.* 2004) had been determined as the principal modes of action by which *P. putida* strains exerted their antagonistic activity.

*Bacillus cereus, K. rosea* and *P. fluorescens* demonstrated antibiosis against conidial germination of *H. solani*, but did not inhibit its mycelial growth. *Bacillus cereus* (Handelsman *et al.* 1990, 1991; Silo-Suh *et al.* 1994; Smith *et al.* 1993) and *P. fluorescens* (Howell and Stipanovic 1979; Jung and Kim 2004; Lynch 1990; Marchand *et al.* 2000; Thrane et al. 2000) had previously been shown to produce antibiotics, whereas toxic metabolites have not been reported in *K. rosea*. Of particular interest is the filtrate of *P. fluorescens* that displays a strong fungistatic effect on conidial germination. When this same filtrate is washed away from the conidia, germination is stimulated.

When the medium in the confrontation zone from the seven bacteria inhibiting mycelial growth was recovered and extracted, some semi-purified fractions from *A. piechaudii, C. fimi, P. chlororaphis, P. putida* (94-19) and *S. griseus* exhibited the expected inhibition of mycelial growth in *H. solani*. Fractions from the confrontation zone of *A. autotrophicum* and *P. putida* (E-30) did not demonstrate consistent inhibition of *H. solani* mycelial growth. With pure cultures from the same bacteria, semi-purified fractions also demonstrated antifungal activity against *H. solani*. However, differential antifungal metabolite production was obtained when comparing fractions from dual culture or pure culture systems. For all tested bacteria, with the exception of *P. putida* (94-19), at least one fraction had a different inhibitory effect when comparing dual culture or pure culture systems. Most strikingly, the inhibitory effect of *C. fimi* on *H. solani* switched from fraction F2 in dual culture to F4 in pure culture. This would indicate that different antifungal compounds (or groups of compounds) would be produced depending on the presence or absence of the fungal pathogen. Four likely hypotheses would explain these differences: (i) certain antifungal compounds are only produced in the absence of the pathogen; (ii) certain compounds are only induced in the presence of the pathogen; (iii) the pathogen could detoxify or inhibit certain compounds that are produced in pure culture; and (iv) the same compound could be produced in the absence and presence of the pathogen but in variable quantity, including a low concentration that does not reveal inhibition in *H. solani*. Along these lines, Sonnenbichler *et al.* (1994) have shown that biosynthesis of a toxic metabolite in *Gloeophyllum abiertinum* was enhanced up to 400-fold in the presence of *Heterobasidion annosum*.

Results also suggest that it is possible that metabolites different from those implicated in mycelial growth inhibition would be involved in the inhibition of conidial germination. Indeed, *A. autotrophicum, C. fimi* and *P. putida* inhibited mycelial growth without affecting conidial germination while *B. cereus, K. rosea* and

*P. fluorescens* demonstrated antibiosis against conidial germination of *H. solani*, but did not inhibit its mycelial growth. However, the possibility that *A. autotrophicum, C. fimi* and *P. putida* produced metabolites inhibiting conidial germination only in the presence of *H. solani* may not be excluded. Also not to be ruled out is the possibility that metabolic detoxification by *H. solani* occurred when the antifungal compounds from *B. cereus, K. rosea* and *P. fluorescens* were gradually produced in dual culture, whereas the high initial concentrations of antifungal metabolites (in the semi-purified fractions) to which conidia were exposed may have overwhelmed the detoxification mechanisms, thus revealing the antifungal effect of the compound(s) for these bacteria. Similar results were obtained with dual cultures of competing *Armillaria* species, where metabolites from pure cultures possessed inhibitory activity but were detoxified in dual culture (Sonnenbichler *et al.* 1997).

Séveno *et al.* (2001) reported that the production of the antibiotic phenazine was six times higher in solid culture when compared with liquid culture in *Pseudomonas aureofaciens*. In the present study, we also observed that the production of antibiotic compounds may be influenced by the type of medium used (liquid or solid). Indeed, filtrates from pure liquid cultures of *A. piechaudii* and *P. chlororaphis* did not exhibit the antifungal activity on conidial germination they had displayed on solid media. This would indicate that liquid culture of these strains does not induce the production of antifungal compounds, or at least not in amounts that significantly reduce conidial germination.

To our knowledge, this is the first report of antagonists utilizing the production of antifungal metabolites (antibiosis) as a potential component in the biocontrol of *H. solani*. Future work will attempt to isolate and characterize the antifungal metabolites implicated in these interactions, as well as elucidate their specific modes of action. In addition, the results presented herein suggest that care should be taken when identifying the antimicrobial compounds responsible for biocontrol as different compounds may be present depending on the specific methodology employed prior to metabolite extraction. As such, the choice of a specific methodology could eventually expose antibiosis as a mode of action of a particular biocontrol organism whereas other methodologies may not detect antibiosis.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors thank Caroline Labbé and Denise Auclair for their technical assistance. This work was supported by the National Science and Engineering Research Council (Canada).

## **REFERENCES**

- **Assis, S.M.P., E.B. Silveira, R.L.R. Mariano, and D. Menezes. 1998.** Endophytic bacteria - Method for isolation and antagonistic potential against cabbage black rot. Summa Phytopathol. 24 : 216-220.
- **Avis, T.J., R.R. Boulanger, and R.R. Bélanger. 2000.** Synthesis and biological characterization of (z)-9-heptadecenoic and (z)-6-methyl-9-heptadecenoic acids: fatty acids with antibiotic activity produced by *Pseudozyma flocculosa*. J. Chem. Ecol. 26 : 987-1000.
- **Bélanger, R.R., N. Dufour, J. Caron, and N. Benhamou. 1995.** Chronological events associated with the antagonistic properties of *Trichoderma harzianum* against *Botrytis cinerea*: indirect evidence for sequential role of antibiosis and parasitism. Biocontrol Sci. Technol. 5 : 41-54.
- **Chin-A-Woeng, T.F.C., G.V. Bloemberg, A.J. van der Bij, K.M.G.M. van der Drift, J. Schripsema, B. Kroon, R.J. Scheffer, C. Keel, P.A.H.M. Bakker, H.V. Tichy, F.J. de Bruijn, J.E. Thomas-Oates, and B.J.J. Lugtenberg. 1998.** Biocontrol by phenazine-1-carboxamide-producing *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* PCL1391 of tomato root rot caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici*. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 11 : 1069-1077.
- **Errampalli, D., J.M. Saunders, and J.D. Holley. 2001.** Emergence of silver scurf (*Helminthosporium solani*) as an economically important disease of potato. Plant Pathol. 50 : 141-153.
- **Fravel, D.R. 1988.** Role of antibiosis in the biocontrol of plant diseases. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 26 : 75-91.
- **Handelsman, J., S. Raffel, E.J. Mester, L. Wunderlich, and C.R. Gau. 1990.** Biological control of damping-off of alfalfa seedlings with *Bacillus cereus* UW85. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 56 : 713-718.
- **Handelsman, J., W.C. Nesmith, and S.J. Raffel. 1991.** Microassay for biological and chemical control of infection of tobacco by *Phytophthora parasitica* var. *nicotianae*. Curr. Microbiol. 22 : 317-319.
- **Hiroyuki, C., A. Hitosi, K. Rei, T. Tadashi, S. Kasuya, D. Kazuyuki, and Y. Takeo. 1999.** Rhodopeptins (Mer-N1033), novel cyclic tetrapeptides with antifungal activity from *Rhodococcus* sp.: I. Taxonomy, fermentation, isolation, physico-chemical properties and biological activities. J. Antibiot. 52 : 695-699.
- **Howell, C.R. and R.D. Stipanovic. 1979.** Control of *Rhizoctonia solani* on cotton seedlings with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and with an antibiotic produced by the bacterium. Phytopathology 69 : 480-482.
- **Huddleston, A.S., N. Cresswell, M.C.P. Neves, J.E. Beringer, S. Baumberg, D.I. Thomas, and E.M.H. Wellington. 1997.** Molecular detection of streptomycin-producing *Streptomycetes* in Brazilian soils. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63 : 1288-1297.
- **Jung, H.K., and S.D. Kim. 2004.** Selection and antagonistic mechanism of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* 4059 against phythophthora blight disease. Korean J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 32 : 312-316.
- **Lynch, J.M. 1990.** Microbial metabolites. Pages 177-206 *in* J.M. Lynch (ed.), The Rhizosphere. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- **Marchand, P.A., D.M. Weller, and R.F. Bonsall. 2000.** Convenient synthesis of 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol, a natural antibiotic involved in the control of take-all disease of wheat. J. Agric. Food Chem. 48 : 1882-1887.
- **Martinez, C., M. Michaud, R.R. Bélanger, and R.J. Tweddell. 2002.** Identification of soils suppressive against *Helminthosporium solani*, the causal agent of potato silver scurf. Soil Biol. Biochem. 34 : 1861-1868.
- **Mérida, C.L., and R. Loria. 1994.** Comparison of thiabendazole-sensitive and-resistant *Helminthosporium solani* isolates from New York. Plant Dis. 78 : 187-192.
- **Michaud, M., C. Martinez, A.-M. Simao-Beaunoir, R.R. Bélanger, and R.J. Tweddell. 2002.** Selection of antagonist microorganisms against *Helminthosporium solani*, causal agent of potato silver scurf. Plant Dis. 86 : 717-720.
- **Molet, B., J. Peel, D. Pridmore, N. Rekhif, and B. Suri. 2004.** Bactericide compositions prepared and obtained from *Micrococcus varians*. Off. Gaz. U.S. Pat. Trademark Off. Pat. p. 1279.
- **O'Mahony, T., N. Rekhit, C. Cavadini, and G.F. Fitzgerald. 2001.** The application of a fermented food ingredient containing 'variacin' a novel antimicrobial produced by *Kocuria varians*, to control the growth of *Bacillus cereus* in chilled dairy products. J. Appl. Microbiol. 90 : 106-114.
- **Ongena, M., F. Duby, F. Rossignol, M.L. Fauconnier, J. Dommes, and P. Thonart. 2004.** Stimulation of the lipoxygenase pathway is associated with systemic resistance induced in bean by a nonpathogenic *Pseudomonas* strain. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 17 : 1009-1018.
- **Papou, F.A., and N.M. Gryn`ko. 1994.** Ecologically safe methods of protection of seed white head cabbage and winter cauliflower. Vesti Akademii Agrarnykh Navuk Belarussi 3 : 54-59.
- **Platt, H.W. 1997.** Resistance to thiabendazole in *Fusarium* species and *Helminthosporium solani* in potato tubers treated commercially in eastern Canada. Phytoprotection 78 : 1-10.
- **Secor, G.A., and N. Gudmestad. 1999.** Managing fungal diseases of potato. Can. J. Plant Pathol. 21 : 213-221.
- **Séveno, N.A., J.A.W. Morgan, and E.M.H. Wellington. 2001.** Growth of *Pseudomonas aureofaciens* PGS12 and the dynamics of HHL and phenazines production in liquid culture, on nutrient agar, and on plant roots. Microb. Ecol. 41 : 314-324.
- **Silo-Suh, L.A., B.J. Lethbridge, S.J. Raffel, H. He, J. Clardy, and J. Handelsman. 1994.** Biological activities of two fungistatic antibiotics produced by *Bacillus cereus* UW85. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 60 : 2023-2030.
- **Smith, K.P., M.J. Havey, and J. Handelsman. 1993.** Suppression of cottony leak of cucumber with *Bacillus cereus* strain UW85. Plant Dis. 77 : 139-142.
- **Sonnenbichler, J., J. Dietrich, and H. Peipp. 1994.** Secondary fungal metabolites and their biological activities. V. Investigations concerning the induction of the biosynthesis of toxic secondary metabolites in basidiomycetes. Biol. Chem. Hoppe-Seyler 375 : 71-79.
- **Sonnenbichler, J., J.J. Guillaumin, H. Peipp, and D. Schwarz. 1997.** Secondary metabolites from dual cultures of genetically different *Armillaria* isolates. Eur. J. For. Pathol. 27 : 241-249.
- **Sultana, R., B.S. Siddiqui, K. Taraz, H. Budzikiewicz, and J.M. Meyer. 2001.** An isopyoverdin from *Pseudomonas putida* CFML 90-33. Tetrahedron 57 : 1019-1023.
- **Thrane, C., T.H. Nielsen, M.N. Nielsen, J. Sorensen, and S. Olsson. 2000.** Viscosinamide-producing *Pseudomonas fluorescens* DR54 exerts a biocontrol effect on *Pythium ultimum* in sugar beet rhizosphere. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 33 : 139-146.
- **Whipps, J.M. 1987.** Effect of media on growth and interactions between a range of soil-borne glasshouse pathogens and antagonistic fungi. New Phytol. 107 : 127- 142.