Management international International Management Gestiòn Internacional



The role of headquarters in managing multiple network interdependencies in the context of international sales activities Le rôle du siège dans la gestion des interdépendances de réseaux multiples dans le contexte des activités de vente à l'international El rol de la sede en la gestión de las múltiples interdependencias de las redes en el contexto de las actividades de venta internacionales

Anastasia Sartorius-Khalapsina

Volume 28, Number spécial, 2024

**Ethique, résilience et nouveaux défis du management international** Ethics, resilience and new challenges of international management Ética, resiliencia y nuevos desafíos de la gestión internacional

URI: https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1116628ar DOI: https://doi.org/10.59876/a-j0kt-vf1h

See table of contents

Publisher(s)

HEC Montréal Université Paris Dauphine

ISSN

1206-1697 (print) 1918-9222 (digital)

Explore this journal

érudit

#### Cite this article

Sartorius-Khalapsina, A. (2024). The role of headquarters in managing multiple network interdependencies in the context of international sales activities. *Management international / International Management / Gestiòn Internacional,* 28(spécial), 50–66. https://doi.org/10.59876/a-j0kt-vf1h

#### Article abstract

Literature on networks often assumes that the role of the corporate HQ is less prominent in multinational enterprises (MNEs). We challenge this logic by examining the role of HQ in the management of multiple network interdependencies. The empirical study presented herein is based on three in-depth case studies of French MNEs in the B2B sector. The findings provide insights into the nature of interdependencies between external customer networks and MNE subunits in the context of international sales activities. They furthermore emphasize the central role of the HQ in coordinating multiple inter-organizational relationships and integrating MNE subunit activities, while arbitrating underlying multilateral collaborative tensions among subsidiaries.

Tous droits réservés © Management international / International Management / Gestión Internacional, 2024

This document is protected by copyright law. Use of the services of Érudit (including reproduction) is subject to its terms and conditions, which can be viewed online.

https://apropos.erudit.org/en/users/policy-on-use/

#### This article is disseminated and preserved by Érudit.

Érudit is a non-profit inter-university consortium of the Université de Montréal, Université Laval, and the Université du Québec à Montréal. Its mission is to promote and disseminate research.

https://www.erudit.org/en/

# The role of headquarters in managing multiple network interdependencies in the context of international sales activities

Le rôle du siège dans la gestion des interdépendances de réseaux multiples dans le contexte des activités de vente à l'international

El rol de la sede en la gestión de las múltiples interdependencias de las redes en el contexto de las actividades de venta internacionales

Anastasia Sartorius-Khalapsina IDRAC Business School

Anastasia.sartorius@idrac-bs.fr

## ABSTRACT

Literature on networks often assumes that the role of the corporate HQ is less prominent in multinational enterprises (MNEs). We challenge this logic by examining the role of HQ in the management of multiple network interdependencies. The empirical study presented herein is based on three in-depth case studies of French MNEs in the B2B sector. The findings provide insights into the nature of interdependencies between external customer networks and MNE subunits in the context of international sales activities. They furthermore emphasize the central role of the HQ in coordinating multiple inter-organizational relationships and integrating MNE subunit activities, while arbitrating underlying multilateral collaborative tensions among subsidiaries.

Keywords: MNE headquarters, HQ role, globalization, interdependence of subsidiaries, multilateral interdependence, international sales activities

## Résumé

La littérature converge sur le principe du rôle moins important du siège dans les firmes multinationales (FMN). Nous remettons en question cette logique en examinant le rôle du siège dans la gestion des interdépendances de réseaux multiples. L'étude empirique est basée sur trois études de cas de FMN françaises dans le secteur B2B. Les résultats permettent de mieux comprendre la nature des interdépendances entre les réseaux de clients et les filiales de la FMN dans le contexte des activités de vente à l'international. Ils soulignent en outre le rôle central du siège dans la coordination des multiples relations inter-organisationnelles et l'intégration des activités des filiales de FMN, tout en arbitrant les tensions de collaboration multilatérale sous-jacentes entre les filiales.

Mots-clés : siège, rôle du siège, globalisation, interdépendance des filiales, interdépendance multilatérale, activités de vente à l'international

#### Resumen

Frecuentemente, se sostiene en la literatura que el rol de las sedes centrales está en declive en las empresas multinacionales (EMN). En este estudio, se cuestiona esta perspectiva al examinar la función de las sedes en la gestión de las complejas interdependencias de las redes. Esta investigación empírica se basa en tres EMN francesas en el sector B2B. Los resultados ofrecen una comprensión de la naturaleza de las interdependencias entre las redes de clientes y las filiales. Así mismo, destacan el papel crucial de las sedes en la coordinación de las diversas relaciones interorganizacionales y en la integración de las actividades de venta internacionales, al mismo tiempo que median en las tensiones subyacentes de colaboración multilateral entre las filiales.

Palabras Clave: sede central, función de la sede central, globalización, interdependencia de las filiales, interdependencia multilateral, actividades de ventas internacionales

**Pour citer cet article**: Sartorius-Khalapsina, A. (2024). The role of headquarters in managing multiple network interdependencies in the context of international sales activities. *Management international*, *28*(special), 50-66.

•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•

The present study is in response to the call to re-examine the role played by corporate headquarters (HQ) in the multinational enterprise (MNE) network in the context of the globalized marketplace (Beugelsdijk *et al.*, 2017; Reichstein-Scholz *et al.*, 2021). The increasing number of international customers, suppliers and competitors illustrates the globalization of the markets (Birkinshaw *et al.*, 2006; Malnight, 1996). With the growing interdependence of external business networks, MNEs experience greater pressure to align their business activities across different foreign markets (Narula, 2014; O'Donnell, 2000). High interdependence between MNE subunits (headquarters and subsidiaries) affects the roles and power relationships at play (Sinkovics *et al.*, 2011). In view of all this, this study addresses the following research question: what is the role of corporate headquarters in the management of multiple (external and internal) network inter-dependencies in the context of international sales activities?

Recent research has outlined the importance of considering internal and external networks simultaneously (Dellestrand *et al.*, 2023; Donada *et al.*, 2020; Melin, 2014; Santistevan, 2022). This paper adopts the dual network perspective and extends the conceptualization of the role of corporate headquarters in MNE network coordination at the firm level through an analysis of dual network interdependencies.

The external network of the MNE comprises customers, suppliers, competitors, institutions, and other actors (Forsgren, 2008). Relationships with customers are viewed as critical to the success of the activities of the MNE. The context of international sales activities is well-suited to study the challenges of MNE network coordination: management of customer relationships and associated cross-border projects requires that the MNE both manages its multiple embeddedness across various foreign markets and coordinates the interdependent activities of its subsidiaries (Birkinshaw *et al.*, 2001; Nell *et al.*, 2011). As such, the present study contributes to the analysis of international sales activities (i.e., international customer network management), an area little covered in previous IB studies (Donada *et al.*, 2020; Reichstein-Scholz *et al.*, 2021).

From the internal network perspective, previous research has mainly considered the corporate HQ through its dyad relationships with subsidiaries (Nell *et al.*, 2017). Little attention has to date been paid to the multiple network context in HQ-subsidiary research (Kostova *et al.*, 2016). The originality of the present study is its investigation into the role of corporate headquarters in aligning the activities of multiple MNE subsidiaries, i.e., its analysis of multilateral inter-subsidiary interdependencies in the management of international sales activities.

The multiple case study research was conducted with three MNEs operating in specialized markets in the B2B sector. The remainder of this paper is set out as follows. We first present the theoretical underpinnings of the study before explaining the methodology used for our empirical investigation. We then analyze and discuss the findings. The implications for IB literature and practitioners are explored in the concluding section.

# Theoretical framework

The literature review was conducted to provide a better understanding of the interdependence of MNE subunits, and the role of headquarters in coordinating externally embedded MNEs.

#### The role of Headquarters in the MNE network

The MNE can be seen as a business network (Bartlett & Ghoshal, 1989; Forsgren, 2008) comprising different subunits (headquarters and subsidiaries), which are in turn embedded in larger inter-organizational business networks (Vahlne & Johanson, 2013). One of the challenges for the modern MNE is the successful management of multiple intra- and inter-organizational networks (Forsgren, 2016).

The network perspective highlights the important role of subsidiaries in business network development (Amann *et al.*, 2017; Nell *et al.*, 2010). Furthermore, the external network of the subsidiary is the source of its decisive power within the MNE (Forsgren, 2008). Thus, the HQ is considered *'one player among others'* (Forsgren, 2008, p. 146) in the fight for control over strategic decisions within the MNE network (Andersson *et al.*, 2007; Ciabuschi *et al.*, 2012).

As argued by Birkinshaw *et al.* (2017), "*this tension is typically resolved through corporate HQ adopting a less dominant role than in the past, with executives focusing on orchestrating resources and on enabling subsidiary units to develop their unique capabilities and to work effectively together*" (p. 426). This statement resumes the observations of several other studies. Thus, some scholars perceive the HQ as an 'orchestrator of resources' (Dell-estrand & Kappen, 2012; Foss & Pedersen, 2002), integrator of intra-organizational knowledge flows (Ciabuschi *et al.*, 2017; Mudambi & Navarra, 2004; Su *et al.*, 2023) or refer to the role of the HQ in diffusing subsidiary initiatives (Dörrenbächer & Gammelgaard, 2016; Williams & Kumar, 2022), and coordinating the firm's internal business activities (Chiao & Ying, 2013). Hereafter in this paper, 'coordination' refers to integration between interdependent subunits to achieve common organizational objectives (Grillat & Mérignac, 2011; Harzing, 1999; Vahlne *et al.*, 2011; Vahlne & Johanson, 2021).

From the network perspective, MNEs are characterized by the increasing importance of direct lateral collaboration and the HQ role of moderator (Beddi, 2011). Meanwhile, other scholars have argued the need for more significant HQ involvement in modern MNEs. The HQ's ability to consolidate the information and move decision-making away from the different subsidiaries to a higher level, for a more optimal firm-level perspective, is emphasized (Egelhoff, 2010; Egelhoff & Wolf, 2017). Vahlne *et al.* (2012) argue that the HQ's role implies the preservation of strategic firm-level goals and the related allocation of resources. Other scholars have defined the role of the HQ as that of a 'referee', legitimizing and supporting the most competitive initiatives generated by subsidiaries (Ambos & Birkinshaw, 2010; Bouquet & Birkinshaw, 2008; Narula, 2014). The literature does not present a consensus, and indeed reflects the complexity of the HQ's role. Furthermore, the context of globalizing markets calls for a re-examination of the HQ role in contemporary MNEs: "*in the face of continuing globalization, MNCs worldwide struggle with (re-) positioning their headquarters in the overall MNC network*" (Beugelsdijk *et al.*, 2017, p. 263). Market globalization implies the interdependence of MNE multiple subunits. Surprisingly, very few studies have considered the multilateral relationships of MNE subunits (Ho, 2014; Santistevan, 2022). Moreover, previous research on collaborative activities between MNE subsidiaries has mainly focused on Research & Development (R&D) and production activities (e.g., Hurtado-Torres *et al.*, 2018; Reichstein-Scholz *et al.*, 2021). The present research focuses on the management of international sales activities, considered as crucial for the growth of the company's business (Forsgren, 2008).

#### Interdependence of MNE subunits in international sales activities

Growing numbers of multinational customers operate globally and influence the focal MNE beyond one foreign market (Birkinshaw *et al.*, 2001; Nell *et al.*, 2011). This phenomenon has an important impact on the organization and coordination of the MNE (Birkinshaw *et al.*, 2001; Hui Shi *et al.*, 2010). The call for stronger MNE integration has been generated by customers' demands to manage more and more issues on an increasingly global basis with their suppliers (Birkinshaw *et al.*, 2001; Egelhoff, 2010). This outlines the need to strengthen collaboration among MNE subunits to better satisfy the expectations of multinational customers. Furthermore, the competitive position of the MNE in one foreign market is dependent on its network positions in other markets (Zou & Cavusgil, 2002).

Inter-country coordination (i.e., internal coordination), inter-organizational coordination (i.e., with customers), standardization of marketing activities, and following the costumer into various countries, are defined as the key determinants of supplier MNE performance (Hui Shi *et al.*, 2010). Inter-country coordination includes the alignment of all functional activities across MNE subunits. Birkinshaw *et al.* (2001) point to the development of a customer-focused MNE organization, wherein multinational customers are served worldwide, with centralized coordination conducted by one person or a team within the supplier MNE (Hui Shi *et al.*, 2010; Senn *et al.*, 2013). The multinational customer relationship management also requires closer involvement of senior-level executives (Odlin & Benson-Rea, 2017; Toulan *et al.*, 2006). The importance of effective knowledge sharing across MNE subunits is also emphasized, with a view to better satisfy the demands of multinational customers for global solutions (Birkinshaw *et al.*, 2001; Montgomery & Yip, 2000).

The development of an international customer network implies managing relationships with direct customers, but also with other external network actors interlinked with the direct customers of the MNE (e.g., customers' customers and partners). The literature has remained relatively silent in this regard. In both cases, business network relationships require successful management across multiple foreign markets, and this depends on the effective alignment of multiple MNE subunits (O'Donnell, 2000; Hui Shi *et al.*, 2010). In light of the above, this study seeks to provide further empirical evidence on the nature of external customer network interdependencies, multilateral inter-subsidiary relationships in managing cross-border activities and, based on this, seeks to reexamine the role of the corporate HQ in managing multiple network interdependencies in the context of international sales activities.

# Methodology and characteristics of case-studies

#### Data collection

We conducted qualitative case study research in order to gain insight into complex and context-specific phenomena (Yin, 2014). The complexity of the studied phenomenon lies in the interdependence of multiple actors, across both internal and external business networks. The cross-border nature of the network activities studied also calls for a qualitative approach (Ghauri, 2004). Given the limited empirical investigation of international sales activities in the literature (Reichstein-Scholz *et al.*, 2021), we use a multiple case study approach to provide a more in-depth understanding of these strategic activities (Yin, 2014).

In the case selection process, we looked for companies engaged in cross-border customer network activities. We therefore focused on MNEs which operate in specialized market segments in the B2B sector. Selected companies had to be independent, long established, and internationally oriented. In addition, to minimize the industry effect, we decided to focus on firms from traditional industries. We selected three multinational companies, renamed Alpha, Beta and Gamma for reasons of confidentiality, all located in the French Rhône-Alpes region. Company Alpha is a major player, both in France and abroad, in the field of design and construction of industrial facilities for raw material transformation. The commercial strategy of Alpha is based on offering tailor-made integrated solutions, from design engineering to site construction and maintenance. The company successfully developed unique expertise which is recognized by worldwide actors such as Danone, L'Oréal and Pfizer. Company Beta manufactures and commercializes a specific type of industrial textile: an intermediate product sold to manufacturers which use it in their final products, tent manufacturers, for example. Beta is currently one of the market leaders in the international arena within certain market segments. such as major construction projects for Olympic Games worldwide. Company Gamma is a first-tier supplier in the automotive industry. It develops and commercializes a specific type of spare part for engines, serving the world's leading car manufacturing companies including Ford, General Motors, Volkswagen and Stellantis.

The three case firms all work with multinational customers. In addition, Beta works on different kinds of international opportunities in 'architecture' and 'furniture' markets, when the project is carried out in one country, however, negotiation and product promotion are conducted in several other foreign countries with different external actors, which influence (or participate in) the customer's project. In contrast to working with the different entities of a single multinational customer, network relationships in such projects will be managed with different external actors across multiple foreign markets. The key characteristics of the firms studied are presented in Table 1.

Our research design addresses the call for an analysis of multiple subsidiaries within a single MNE (Athreye *et al.*, 2014; Boussebaa, 2015). We conducted interviews with executives and managers on both corporate and subsidiary levels, and with managers on several organizational levels. Previous studies revealed the importance of lower-level managers regarding intra-firm collaboration issues (Beddi, 2015; Boussebaa, 2015), for example, global key account managers. A total of 34 in-depth semi-structured interviews were conducted in three case firms (Table 2).

### TABLE 1 Characteristics of case study firms

	Alpha (one Strategic Business Unit studied*)	Beta	Gamma				
Firm profile							
Creation 1920s		1980s	1940s				
Type of business	Family firm	Listed family firm	Family firm				
Total sales (2018)	(Approx. 200 M €*)	Approx. 200 M €	Approx. 250 M €				
Workforce (2018)	Approx. 3000 (Approx. 800*)	Approx. 800	Approx. 1500				
Firm activity		-					
Sector	B2B	B2B	B2B				
Activity	Design, manufacture, sale and mainten- ance of specific equipment for indus- trial facilities	Design, manufacture, and sale of a specific type of industrial textile	Design, manufac- ture, and sale of a specific type of spare parts				
Customer network	<b>(</b>						
Industries served	Food, cosmetic and pharmaceutical industries*	Architecture, industry and furniture industries	Automotive industry				
Strategic customers	Multinational customers (e.g. L'Oréal, Danone, InBev, Heineken) *	Multinational customers in some market segments (e.g., 'modular structures', 'military'); local customers offering strategic international opportunities in some other market segments (e.g. 'architecture')	Multinational car manufacturers (e.g., Volkswagen, General Motors) and Tier-1 suppliers (e.g., Bosch, Delphi)				
International expa	insion						
Turnover abroad	(90%*)	75%	75%				
Workforce abroad	(80%*)	50%	55%				
Internationaliza- tion strategy	Following multi- national customers	Following multinational custom- ers, targeting specific projects worldwide	Following multinational customers				
Network of foreign subsidiaries	10 countries* (Belgium, Poland, Russia, United States, Mexico, Canada, Argentina, Chile, China, India)	8 countries (Switzerland, Germany, Turkey, USA, Brazil, India, Japan, China)	9 countries (Germany, Spain, Turkey, Italy, USA, Mexico, Brazil, China, Japan)				
Subsidiary activity	Sales activity + Including 1 subsidiary with production facilities	Sales activity + Including 1 subsidiary with production facilities	Sales activity + Including 3 regional centers with production facilities				

\* We studied one Strategic Business Unit of Alpha.

Firm	Total number of interviews	Interviewees (Headquarter level)	Interviewees (Subsidiary level)
Alpha	17	10 interviews (with CEO, international marketing and communication manager, marketing director of the group, directors of 2 divisional subunits, 2 key account managers, and 3 other sales managers)	7 interviews (with 4 country managers and 3 business development managers of the follwing subsidiaries: USA, Mexico, Argentina, Poland, Belgium, and China)
Beta	10	4 interviews (with sales and marketing director, Industry Business Group manager, North area manager, and project manager)	6 interviews (with 5 country managers and 1 business development manager of the following subsidiaries: Germany, USA, Turkey, China, India
Gamma	7	3 interviews (with CEO, sales director, and marketing director)	4 interviews (with 2 country managers, sales director and key account manager of the following subsidiaries: Spain, USA, Mexico, China)

Interviewees were asked a set of open-ended questions concerning the identity of the MNE/subsidiary, the company's international customer network development, and internal network coordination (see Appendix 1). Each interview was registered and transcribed. We also collected companies' internal documents and press releases for data triangulation (Miles & Huberman, 1994).

#### Data analysis

The data analysis process was based on two key steps: the creation of analytic codes and themes, and the theoretical elaboration (Gioia *et al.*, 2013). We conducted content analysis using Nvivo for systematic data examination. Figure 1 resumes how we moved from the initial codes to aggregated dimensions for further theoretical conceptualization of the findings.

The first step of data analysis was based on an inductive approach. Multiple 1<sup>st</sup> order codes emerged from the data. These were first represented with the words of the respondents, then later reformulated with stronger conceptualization due to very different examples from multiple cases with diverse activities. Certain other codes were merged. This helped us to reduce the number of codes and identify the most relevant issues for further conceptualization. Along with common concepts, new codes emerged (e.g., 'diffused decision-making on the customer side', 'coordination of collective activities with selective customers').

## FIGURE 1 Data structure

1st order codes	2nd order themes	Aggregated dimensions
<ul> <li>Global demand (quality standards &amp; prices)</li> <li>Importance of local support</li> <li>Product adaptation/standardization</li> <li>Partnership relationships</li> </ul>	Customer demand	
- Customer (de)centralized organization - Diffused decision-making on the customer side (dif. hierarchical levels, across different countries, etc.)	Customer organization	Multiple external customer network
<ul> <li>Subunits of multinational customers interlinked in decision-making</li> <li>Subcontractor relationships between customers</li> <li>M&amp;A involving customers</li> <li>Involvement of third actors in the customer project</li> <li>Influence of customers (demand, geographic dispersion)</li> </ul>	Interdependencies between customer network actors across borders	interdependencies
<ul> <li>Interdependence in decision-making (customer strategy)</li> <li>Alignment in price/quality of local projects</li> <li>Support in networking/negotiation</li> <li>Consolidation of partial customer knowledge</li> </ul>	Interdependencies between multiple vendor MNE subsidiaries	Intra-organizational network
<ul> <li>Conflicts on prioritization of opportunities</li> <li>Non-reciprocal support between subsidiaries</li> <li>Difficulty to define the contribution of each subsidiary</li> <li>Working together without hierarchical links</li> </ul>	Collaborative tensions among multiple subsidiaries	interdependencies
<ul> <li>Firm-level partnership relationship development</li> <li>Centralized coordination of collective relationships</li> <li>Prioritization of opportunities &amp; support &amp; resource allocation in firm-level balance</li> </ul>	Centralized coordination (by corporate HQ)	Firm-level coordination of international sales
<ul> <li>Building a global overview of customer activities</li> <li>Global customer strategy development</li> <li>Coordination of collective activities with selective customers (within selective market segments)</li> </ul>	Delegated coordination (by core subsidiary)	activities

The next step of the data analysis, drawing on an abductive approach, generated the second level of data abstraction. We constantly compared the data both across the three cases and with the previous literature. Some 2<sup>nd</sup> order themes matched previous literature (e.g., 'customer demand', etc.), while others - contributing novelty to the conducted research (e.g., 'interdependencies between customer network actors across borders', 'collaborative tensions among multiple subsidiaries', etc.). Through further theoretical abstraction we derived the final aggregated dimensions resuming the research question and representing our contribution to the theory about the nature of inter- and intra-organizational interdependencies and firm-level coordination of cross-border activities, with a focus on the role of corporate headquarters in the management of multiple network interdependencies.

The first aggregated dimension outlines the context of market globalization given the growing interdependence of customer business actors worldwide. This contributes novelty to the research, exploring business network management patterns beyond direct customer-supplier relationships and across multiple foreign markets. The second aggregated dimension refers to the interdependence between MNE subunits and focuses on multilateral intra-firm relationships. This draws particular attention to the nature of collaborative tensions among multiple subsidiaries of the vendor MNE. Finally, we cross-examined the evidence on the coordination of external customer network interdependencies and the management of multilateral inter-subsidiary relationships and investigated when coordination was carried out by the corporate HQ or delegated to core subsidiaries.

# Analysis of results

The following presentation of the findings reflects the data structure of the empirical study conducted.

#### Multiple external customer network interdependencies

The study initially enabled us to examine the nature of external customer actor interdependencies across multiple foreign markets. Table 3 presents the evidence from our three case studies. Some types of customer actor interdependencies were not observed in Alpha nor Beta. This illustrates the differences in external network structures in diverse market segments, nevertheless providing valuable insight for further conceptualization of MNE dual network coordination based on common patterns of the three cases studied.

#### TABLE 3

#### Interdependencies between customer actors across foreign markets: evidence from three case studies

Network	Cross-border interdependencies managed by the vendor MNE		Evidence		
actors			Beta	Gamma	
Multinational customers	<ul> <li>Interdependence between subunits of the customer:</li> <li>management of projects on country-by-country basis with the coordinated negotiation and quality/ pricing alignment;</li> <li>management of cross-border projects where several subunits of the multinational customer are involved in the project.</li> </ul>	x	x	x x	
Several customers (local or multinational) from different foreign markets	<ul> <li>Interdependence between customers:</li> <li>management of relationships with customers from different foreign markets after their merger &amp; acquisition (M&amp;A) deals;</li> <li>management of relationships with the customers interlinked by the subcontractor relationships.</li> </ul>		x x	x	
Customer (local or multinational) and other foreign network actors	<ul> <li>Interdependences between the customer and other foreign network actors:</li> <li>management of relationships with the customer's customers;</li> <li>management of relationships with network actors from different countries involved in the customer's project (e.g., general contractor, architect, designer, etc.).</li> </ul>		x x		

The three firms studied work with multinational customers in a way which can be more or less centralized. The interviews outline the key role of the vendor MNE HQ in establishing long-term collaborative relationships with the customer's HQ: *"[The Executive director] spends quite a bit of time coming over twice a year to visit their corporate office. By investing senior resources into the relationships, we forge trust."* [Sales director, USA, Alpha]. The subsidiaries of multinational customers can also be interlinked to varying degrees. See Appendix 2 for illustrative quotations. For example, the subsidiaries of supplier Alpha work on the manufacturing plant construction projects of their multinational customers: they work independently with different customer subunits, but the customer's satisfaction depends on the successful completion of each project. A contrasting example is the case of Gamma's customer, Fiat. All customer subunits made a 'group decision' while choosing a supplier for the global purchasing of spare parts. The corporate HQ and several subsidiaries of the supplier Gamma are strongly related as a result of the collaborative negotiation in the course of that project. These and other observations discussed below illustrate how the interdependencies of (external) customer actors impact the interdependencies between the vendor MNE subunits on the intra-firm level and their coordination (Mayrhofer, 2011).

The interviewees also outlined the importance of mergers and acquisitions (M&A) between customers as another type of external network interdependencies to manage. This arguably influences who plays the role of key decision-maker within the customer firm and may result in the involvement of new vendor MNE subunits in customer relationship management across borders.

One of the problems we had was when Geely, a Chinese company, bought Volvo. We had contacts with Geely in China, but Volvo... there was no real reason for us to look after this customer. And all of a sudden, Volvo is very much involved in the development of things in China. [...] Very quickly, we had to go, to try to meet the people and try to form a relationship with them. (Sales director, HQ, Gamma)

Another situation observed was when two customers from different foreign markets became interlinked through subcontractor relationships, as was the case of Beta. The vendor MNE then faces a new challenge of price transparency between their two direct customers.

The findings also provide evidence about complex cross-border projects with the involvement of a (multinational or local) customer and other third network actors in a project. Thus, in the 'architecture' industry, Beta needs to build and develop relationships with multiple interdependent external actors such as the general contractor (and their subcontractor, if necessary) as well as with architects and designers, to gain a given specific project. We take the example of a football stadium in Qatar.

First, you have the international architects' offices that you might build relationships with. Populus is very well-known for stadiums. We might be in contact with them in the UK for a project in Qatar [...] The next one will be the general contractor, a company like Vinci, Bouygues, they will build the stadium [...] And then we also have some confectioners. They will buy the raw materials from Beta, and then they will cut the material to size, based on the instructions of the engineering office [...] So, that requires a lot of communication and project management because different people are involved. (North area manager, HQ, Beta)

The example of the football stadium project illustrates the importance of coordination of negotiation and product promotion activities across different foreign markets ('international specification activities', to use the words of the interviewee). Beta subsidiaries notably appreciate the fact that HQ coordinates collaborative specification activities.

For the stadium projects, we have a market manager in France. We work with this lady for special projects when we need her assistance with specifications. Because some of the project specifications are not carried out in India, maybe they are done in Singapore or maybe in Australia, maybe in the US. So, we coordinate with her for the specification part. (Country manager, India, Beta)

Relationships with the customers of customers are also regarded as very important by Beta, a company which sells intermediate products. For example, Beta makes prescriptions for end users in Australia, while selling its product to tent manufacturers in Germany, which in turn export their tents to the Australian market: "If you find tent producers deliver to Australia, they are obliged to buy our material. Because the customer said: 'only Beta's products' That's a very positive point. We had provided perfect specifications in the past" (Senior business development manager, Germany, Beta).

The findings provide a large picture of complex cross-border projects and illustrate the active role of the HQ in managing interdependent relationships with customer actors and in coordinating collective activities of vendor MNE subsidiaries.

#### Intra-organizational network interdependencies

This study enabled us to analyze the multilateral intra-firm relationships within supplier MNEs. We further discuss the nature of interdependences and possible collaborative tensions among of MNE multiple subsidiaries.

#### Interdependencies of multiple MNE subsidiaries

Interdependencies among vendor MNE subsidiaries in international sales activities concern different aspects of customer relationship management. Firstly, in most market segments, multinational customers become increasingly integrated. This creates the increasing need for the vendor MNE subunits to align their strategic decision-making, e.g., in terms of global customer strategy and global product/service solutions. It secondly involves the alignment of subsidiaries in terms of quality and prices, as commonly discussed in the literature (Birkinshaw *et al.*, 2001; Montgomery & Yip, 2000; Hui Shi *et al.*, 2010). The quality reputation is perceived as a competitive advantage and affects the firm's integration (Fan *et al.*, 2012).

Some of our big competitors, such as GA or Tetra, they are much bigger than us [...]. The problem they face is that they cannot guarantee to a global company such as Danone that the quality of the project they will deliver will be the same in Russia, or in Africa, or in North America. (Danone global key account manager, HQ, Alpha)

The coordination of such activities involves the relationships of pooled interdependence among the vendor MNE subsidiaries, i.e., when subsidiaries perform their activities independently, but the final satisfaction of the customer depends on the contribution of each subunit (successful realization of each local project) (Thompson, 1967). In the above-described example, Alpha works with the different subunits of the multinational customers on a country-by-country basis because customer subsidiaries are independent in their construction projects. This suggests that the individual interests of Alpha subsidiaries are linked indirectly to the collaborative goals of Alpha. Similar observations of pooled interdependence in managing cross-border collective activities are made in all three case firms. As an exception, in the case of Gamma, several subsidiaries are strongly interlinked to negotiate global projects with certain specific customers like Fiat. This evokes a discussion on the mutual interests of Gamma's subsidiaries. Another common example is when one subsidiary controls the relationships with the global decision-makers of the customer, and is solicited to support the negotiation of sister subsidiary projects (Beddi, 2012; Boussebaa, 2015; Millman, 1996). This implies the dependence of one subsidiary on another: "we cannot succeed at Nissan in Mexico if we do not get help at Nissan in Japan" (Country manager, USA, Gamma).

One more situation, that has received less exposure in previous research, concerns the renegotiation of contracts with a change in the key decision-makers on the customer side due to M&A among customer actors (observed in cases Beta and Gamma). In the example discussed above, regarding the acquisition of Volvo by Geely (Gamma), the collaboration on those network activities implies the sequential interdependence of Gamma's subsidiaries (Thompson, 1967): one subsidiary is involved in the prescription/ negotiation of another subsidiary's project, i.e., one subsidiary must accomplish the task before another subsidiary can finalize the project.

Next, in situations when the customers of customers or other partners (architects, designers, etc.), which influence the customer's decision, are geographically dispersed worldwide, several vendor MNE subsidiaries are required to collaborate through interdependent activities of negotiation and product prescription (see the above example of the stadium construction project in Qatar by Beta). Support provided in networking and negotiation implies the sequential interdependence of Beta's subsidiaries (Thompson, 1967). Such sequential interdependence is subject to stronger potential conflicts of interests: "for example, our furniture expert, here in the US, is responsible for the specification of around 20% of sales in Asia in that market segment. So, his bonus is linked to that" (Country manager, USA, Beta).

Finally, strong interdependence among multiple subsidiaries of the vendor MNE is explained by their partial knowledge about geographically dispersed customer actors.

We cross-check the information we have. So, people locally can send some information about the project. We can inform them on the status in terms of budget. Is the budget validated by the [customer] HQ? If no budget has been validated, it is not necessary to spend thousands of hours quoting on the project if you are not sure it will be launched. (Director of the 'Food' divisional subunit, HQ, Alpha)

To conclude, the cross-case analysis emphasizes how collaborative cross-border sales activities imply the pooled or sequential interdependence of the vendor MNE subsidiaries, and rarely their mutual interests.

#### Collaborative tensions among multiple subsidiaries of the vendor MNE

The complex nature of multilateral intra-firm relationships explains the nature of collaborative tensions observed within the studied firms. The evidence from the three companies is provided in Table 4.

As largely discussed in the literature and observed in all three firms studied, the vendor MNE has to deal with the conflicts regarding the prioritization of firm-level opportunities which may overlook the interests of individual subsidiaries (Mudambi & Navarra, 2004; Oehmichen & Puck, 2016). In Gamma, the HQ seeks to define firm-level priorities with a view to helping each subsidiary/region meet their individual objectives: *"I basically come up with a list, which says what are the projects we need to complete this year. And then you divide them by regions, Europe, Asia, the Americas, to make sure that each of the regions will achieve their targets"* (Sales director, HQ, Gamma).

#### TABLE 4

# Collaborative tensions in the management of interdependent business activities

	Evidence					
Tensions	Alpha	Beta	Gamma			
Multiple subunits are interdependent in prioritizing firm-level opportunities and strategic decision- making.	Some tensions in choosing strategic priorities and allocating resources among subsidiaries.	Subunits are interdependent when defining the global customer strategy.	Some tensions between global objectives and local priorities in China (the growing role of Chinese car manufacturers).			
Difficulty to determine the contribution of each subunit to the cross-border project.	Strong tensions observed due to the lack of organizational rules and routines installed to frame the collaboration of subunits (e.g., projects with double margin).	Some tensions, but collaboration on cross-border activities is considered to be "part of the job". Performance assessment system takes into consideration the major contribution of sister subsidiaries.	Less tension observed as individual interests of subsidiaries depend directly on collaborative achievements (e.g. project with Fiat).			
Support between two subsidiaries is not always reciprocal; complex interrelated relationships between several subunits.	Less tension observed.	Unidirectional support in project prescription (e.g., between Germany and Australia, between China and USA).	Subsidiaries controlling relationships with the customer's global decision- makers are more often solicited.			
Working together without hierarchical links.	Multiple examples with coordination by global key account managers.	Multiple examples in the 'architecture' sector, coordination of cross-border project is delegated to the HQ.	Difficulties to explain the importance of local projects and garner support from sister subsidiaries.			

Another common theme arising from the data concerns the individual financial performance interests of subsidiaries which create a barrier to inter-subsidiary collaboration (Boussebaa, 2015).

You can imagine, if our team in England starts working with the architects, with the engineering office, but at the end of the day they don't get the business, because the business will be purchased from Russia or from Turkey ... this could generate a bit of frustration because they essentially get the job done but don't receive the credit for it. (North area manager, HQ, Beta)

The analysis of collaborative tensions from the HQ-multiple subsidiary perspective enables us to make some conclusions. One notable consideration is that the support between subsidiaries is not always reciprocal, e.g., unidirectional support in project negotiation from several other subsidiaries of Beta for sales in Qatar. The firm-level coordination among interdependent subunits calls for a balance in exchanges and support for successful collaboration: "there are some projects which have been developed and are now given to the German teams which were initiated maybe in the UK, maybe in the US, maybe in Poland. And, on the other side, there are projects initiated in Germany which are completed in Italy, or in Poland" (Country manager, Germany, Beta).

The findings of cross-case analysis also outline how multiple MNE subsidiaries face the challenge of working without hierarchical links, and the consequent difficulty to determine the contribution of each subunit to the cross-border project: "you always have to struggle, where do I have my resources for the project? Is it a local project? a global one? Do you have one person with the ability to drive the local organization, while not having an authoritative or hierarchical link to them?" (Marketing director, HQ, Gamma). The collaborative tensions observed outline the importance of having an overview of the whole MNE network to better understand the needs and challenges of MNE network coordination.

The above reasoning on both the interdependence of geographically dispersed external customer actors as well as the need for alignment among multiple MNE subsidiaries on the intra-firm level provides a foundation for further discussion on the role of HQ in managing multiple network interdependencies.

#### Firm-level coordination of multiple network interdependencies

The three case studies presented herein outline the importance of the firm-level coordination of cross-border sales activities, carried out by the corporate HQ, on the one side, and by certain core subsidiaries, on the other side.

#### Coordination by corporate HQ

The analysis here particularly highlights the role of HQ in managing external customer networks and in coordinating subsidiaries' collective activities. First, as described above, the HQ provides important support in top-to-top management negotiation with the external network actors (Hui Shi *et al.*, 2010; Toulan *et al.*, 2006). Second, in all cases studied, the importance of HQ's role in orchestrating relationships with customer actors from a firm-level perspective is also outlined: "that's the role of the market managers [based in HQ], to coordinate. Let's take, for example, a project initiated by a British architect office, but which will be produced through a German confectioner for installation in Qatar. Here, you can only solve this through the market manager, they will be the one person who is aware of the global project" (Country manager, Germany, Beta). These observations argue for the direct involvement of the HQ in managing external customer networks.

The second line of reasoning relates to the role of HQ in managing internal network interdependencies. Indeed, in all cases, and in line with the previous literature (Egelhoff, 2010; Vahlne *et al.*, 2012), prioritization of business opportunities calls for HQ arbitration. This can be explained by the fact that sales activities rarely imply mutual interests of subsidiaries: "when you have all these opportunities, the director of a US subsidiary and directors of divisional subunits [HQ] have to get together and say 'ok, what is the overall greater good? Because we can't do everything. What's the biggest opportunity for Alpha as a whole?" (Sales director, USA, Alpha).

The present study places particular attention on the role of the HQ in managing collaborative tensions among subsidiaries. Thus, in the above-discussed example of international project negotiation ('international specifications') activities in case of Beta, the subsidiary calls for a higher level of centralized coordination. The 'one-to-many' collaboration form is more difficult to put in place due to the lack of reciprocity

in network activities and lack of hierarchical links among subsidiaries. The three firms studied promote the corporate culture of collaboration and sharing (Harzing, 1999), but more importantly, it is observed that the HQ's commitment to supporting subsidiaries helps to compensate the non-reciprocal nature of direct-support relationships between subsidiaries: "We need to ensure that when we need our corporate office for any given reason, for questions on products, marketing or logistics, we need to make sure that our teams are there and can take care of us" (Country manager, USA, Beta).

In other words, the research outlines the importance of the HQ's added value. While assuring balance in exchanges among multiple MNE subsidiaries (balance in prioritizing business opportunities on a firm level; the role of guarantor of the in-return support between subunits), it encourages subsidiaries' contributions to achieving firm-level objectives.

#### **Coordination by core subsidiaries**

In parallel, it is observed that the coordination of international sales activities is partly delegated to certain selected subsidiaries. For instance, in the case of Beta, the 'modular structures' market segment is well developed in Germany. Thanks to its proximity to key international actors in the marketplace, the German subsidiary plays an important role in coordinating intra-firm collaboration and in providing support to sister subsidiaries in this specific market segment.

[Manager from Germany], he is also our expert for modular structures, he supports the local teams in developing their local business. So, he is really the expert of modular structures and every week he visits a country. Also, we have nobody to take care of modular structures in the UK for the time being, so he is managing a couple of customers himself [in the UK] as an interim solution. (North area manager, HQ, Beta)

In the case of Gamma, three core subsidiaries (with area sales managers) are responsible for the overall management of relationships with specific multinational customers.

I have three area sales managers. in the US, Asia, and Germany. I am responsible for the rest of Europe. I want these area sales managers to take more responsibility in terms of global accounts. [...] We are pushing them down to the level of area sales managers, and saying 'ok, in North America, [name of the person], he will be responsible globally for the sales to Ford and to GM.' (Sales director, HQ, Gamma)

The selected subsidiaries are assigned responsibilities to build a global overview of customer activities, develop the customer strategy and coordinate the interdependent activities of multiple sister subsidiaries. The coordination role is assigned to those core subsidiaries either within the limits of specific market segment(s) or in relation to specific strategic customers.

In the case of Alpha, we did not observe any delegation of firm-level coordination to subsidiaries. This appears to be linked to the nature of interdependent activities: in the case of similar activities with few workflows among subsidiaries, the subsidiaries do not seem to have a better position than the corporate HQ within the internal MNE network to ensure the coordination of those activities. The differences among the firms studied somehow reinforce the common conclusions: the delegation of firm-level coordination is possible, but the nature of interdependent activities and potential collaborative tensions favor (or do not) the delegation of coordination.

# Discussion and contributions

This study contributes to the debate on the role of MNE corporate HQ in the context of the globalized marketplace.

#### HQ role in coordinating multiple inter-organizational relationships

The theoretical framework highlights the strategic role of subsidiaries in business network development (Amann *et al.*, 2017; Melin, 2014; Nell *et al.*, 2010). The empirical study outlines how the role of the HQ in managing the international customer network merits further investigation. First, the HQ plays a major and unique role in developing partnership relations with customers worldwide. In this way, the HQ positively contributes to the subsidiary performance through its active involvement in local network activities (while participating in the negotiation of strategic projects, etc.). This feeds the debate on the positive or negative impact of HQ involvement in subsidiary activities (Ciabuschi *et al.*, 2017; Decreton *et al.*, 2019; Nell & Ambos, 2013; Valentino *et al.*, 2022). The present study posits the positive effect of HQ involvement supported by the demand of external customer network actors.

Secondly, the empirical evidence highlights the role of the HQ in coordinating collective activities of vendor MNE subsidiaries in the management of business network activities across foreign markets. This is in response to the call for more research on the coordination of multiple inter-organizational relationships (Santistevan, 2022). The findings herein propose an explanation as to when and why the coordination of such inter-organizational relationships can be efficiently carried out by HQ. This can be explained by the nature of collective activities and collaborative tensions among multiple MNE subunits. Indeed, cross-border sales activities are characterized by strong interdependence among vendor MNE subsidiaries with potential conflicts of interests. Multilateral relationships are also characterized by a lack of hierarchical links which make it difficult for the subsidiaries concerned to deal with the underlying conflicts of interests on their own (Benito et al., 2014; Victor & Blackburn, 1987). In such cases, the coordination of collective activities carried out by the HQ is perceived as desirable by subsidiaries. These observations demonstrate a parallel to the HQ's role of 'referee' in conflict management (Ambos & Birkinshaw, 2010; Bouquet & Birkinshaw, 2008; Narula, 2014). In comparison, the present study expands the conceptualization of the HQ's role in the MNE network, explaining its role in coordinating multiple inter-organizational relationships with customer actors and providing insight into the nature of multilateral intra-organizational relationships.

# HQ's role in the alignment of multilateral intra-organizational relationships

Previous literature has widely discussed the role of HQ as a facilitator in providing a favorable context for inter-subsidiary collaboration (Beddi, 2011; Birkinshaw *et al.*, 2017; Chiao & Ying, 2013). The present study adopts the multilateral intra-firm relationship perspective and provides a new line of reasoning on HQ's role in aligning MNE subsidiaries.

The interviews reveal how lack of reciprocity across multiple subsidiaries may hinder their collaboration. Indeed, existing literature outlines the importance of the mutual character of commitment between actors (Anderson & Weitz, 1992; Vahlne & Johanson, 2021) but refers to dyadic relationships. The present study highlights how the HQ support

provided to subsidiary activities compensates the non-reciprocal nature of inter-subsidiary collaborative relationships. This helps to deal with collaborative tensions, thus encouraging subsidiaries' involvement in projects for the benefit of the firm as a whole. In other words, the research presented herein outlines the importance of the HQ's role in managing complex intra-firm interdependencies due to its role as guarantor of the in-return support between subunits, or, more generally, though balancing intra-firm exchanges. Thus, the multilateral perspective provides a new line of reasoning and outlines how HQ-subsidiary relationships impact direct inter-subsidiary relationships.

#### HQ as the end orchestrator of the MNE network

The results illustrate how a core subsidiary can be well placed to coordinate collective activities towards customer actors on the company level. These observations contribute to the discussion on the growing role of the intermediate organizational level (Alfoldi *et al.*, 2012; Pla-Barber *et al.*, 2021; Vahlne & Johanson, 2021). How do these observations enrich the discussion about the complex role of the corporate HQ?

The delegated firm-level coordination may concern activities in which the core subsidiaries are directly involved. This is why coordination is sometimes seen to be delegated only on specific market segments or towards specific customers, this helps to build effective intra-firm collaboration without complete centralization of coordination. However, the related collaborative tensions, e.g., lack of reciprocity between subsidiaries, remain valid. The HQ ensures that the lateral collaboration and delegated coordination on the subsidiary level remain possible. In addition, when it is necessary to prioritize opportunities and share resources across the firm (among market segments, among customers), subsidiary cannot reproduce the HQ's hierarchical decision-making authority (Vahlne & Jonsson, 2017).

Some scholars argue for a stronger role for the HQ in optimal firm-level coordination due to its stronger capacity for hierarchical information processing and thus more optimal decision-making (Egelhoff, 2010; Egelhoff & Wolf, 2017). The present study suggests that information processing is one of the stronger capabilities of core subsidiaries. In contrast, the HQ role is distinguished by its capacity to deal with collaborative tensions among multiple MNE subsidiaries.

# Conclusion

This concluding section summarizes the theoretical and managerial contributions made herein and indicates the limitations of the study, as well as directions for future research.

#### Theoretical contributions

This research challenges extant literature on the role of the corporate HQ. While previous literature has provided versatile and somewhat contradictory conclusions about the role of the corporate HQ in the MNE network, this study seeks to provide a compelling logic with a new line of reasoning based on multiple network perspective. It combines the impact of both intra- and inter-organizational networks on the role of the HQ, which has hitherto been largely neglected in the literature (Kingkaew & Dahms, 2018).

First of all, previous literature has generally assumed that the role of the HQ in externally embedded MNEs is reduced, given the difficulty for the corporate HQ to influence subsidiaries' network activities (Birkinshaw *et al.*, 2017; Beugelsdijk *et al.*, 2017; Forsgren, 2008). In contrast, the findings herein explain that, while the HQ's support can be less valuable for the local network development of a highly externally embedded subsidiary, the management of customer networks across multiple foreign markets instead calls for a stronger corporate HQ role in MNE network coordination. The empirical evidence actually highlights the important role of HQ in managing strategic customer relationships worldwide, as well as in coordinating collective cross-border network activities.

Secondly, the focus on international sales activities made it possible to investigate the role of the corporate HQ in aligning the highly locally embedded activities of MNE subsidiaries. As observed, cross-border projects with mutual interests of MNE subsidiaries remain rare. Furthermore, network resources (Huggins, 2010) are particularly difficult to share. This context creates strong interdependence among vendor MNE subsidiaries and a stronger need for collaboration. The HQ's role as a facilitator of inter-subsidiary collaboration is outlined, but with a different conceptualization regarding compensation of the imbalanced reciprocity in intra-firm exchanges at the firm level. This research responds to the call to move beyond the HQ-subsidiary dyad perspective (Galli Geleilate *et al.*, 2020; Nell *et al.*, 2017) and emphasizes the particular importance of the firm-level (HQ-several subsidiaries) perspective in MNE coordination.

Thirdly, this study differentiates the roles of corporate HQ and core subsidiaries in managing collective cross-border activities, thereby offering a more fine-grained understanding of MNE network coordination at the firm level. This brings us to the final conclusion: while involvement of subsidiaries in the coordination of global activities contributes to the flattening of the MNE (Pla-Barber *et al.*, 2021), the role of the corporate HQ in managing multiple network interdependencies remains important and, thus, the HQ retains a prominent role in the MNE network.

#### Managerial contributions

This research makes several managerial contributions. First and foremost, managers are advised to pay particular attention to customer relationship development across different inter-organizational levels: relationships with the HQ and subsidiaries of the multinational customer; or with multiple network actors involved in the customer project both directly and indirectly (customers of customers, etc.). Second, the insights of the study may help the managers to frame their policy on the coordination of the collective cross-border activities. Managers must be aware of the nature of potential conflicts of interests between multiple subsidiaries interlinked through cross-border sales activities. HQ involvement in and support of the subsidiary's activities can be used as a tool for dealing with collaborative tensions. The delegation of coordination in specific markets segment or for specific strategic customers may also help to cope with the complexity engendered by the growing number of tightly interwoven activities which require a firm-level strategic perspective.

#### Limitations and future research

The limitations of the study point to directions for future research. Thus, the three MNEs studied are family firms. The specificity of family firms is considered to have stronger weight in smaller family companies (Valenza *et al.*, 2021) and such functional activities as R&D (Carney, 1998). It thus, presumably, have less influence in the presently studied context of sales activities of strongly internationalized MNEs. From a broader perspective, we encourage future research to examine the possible difference between family and

non-family firms in business network management. Future studies could also simultaneously collect data from MNEs and their customers.

Analyzing MNEs from the B2B market sector represents the originality of this study. The firms studied are smaller in size than frequently studied large multinationals. It is common for companies with the profile of 'specialists' in narrow market segments (Simon, 1996). The fact that their international subsidiary network is mainly composed of sales subsidiaries with the relative centralization of production and R&D activities at the HQ level may generate questioning regarding the subsidiary's dependence on expertise and resources controlled by the corporate HQ. In spite of this, as outlined by other scholars, the MNE's configuration and coordination are developed in the course of functional activities (Malnight, 1996; Schmid et al., 2016). In the present research, we focused on the coordination of international sales activities. The empirical observations are aligned with the theoretical considerations of the business network view, which is that subsidiaries play the central role in business network development (Amann et al., 2017; Nell et al., 2010) and that subsidiary autonomy in decision-making is not the same for all value chain activities (Reichstein-Scholz et al., 2021). Thus, we assume that empirically derived conceptualization of the role of the corporate HQ in MNE network coordination - in the context of international sales activities - remain generally valid. As far as the central research question, we argue that the stronger role of the corporate HQ is explained by the growing interdependence of multiple business networks due to increasingly globalized markets, and not by the size of the MNE. Finally, the multilateral perspective in the analysis of the HQ-subsidiary relationships also provides significant scope for further theoretical and empirical investigation of the MNE network. Future research could investigate the coordination mechanisms underpinning effective management of multilateral interdependent relationships, and the question of peer control.

# References

- Amann, B., Jaussaud, J. & Schaaper, J. (2017). Control in Subsidiary Networks in Asia: Toward an Extension of the Centralisation-Formalisation-Socialisation (CFS) Model. *Management international* /International Management/Gestion Internacional, 21(4), 89–108. https://doi.org/10.7202/1053580ar
- Alfoldi, E. A., Clegg, L. J., & McGaughey, S. L. (2012). Coordination at the Edge of the Empire: The Delegation of Headquarters Functions through Regional Management Mandates. *Journal of International Management*, 18(3), 276292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intman.2012.06.003
- Ambos, T. C., & Birkinshaw, J. (2010). Headquarters' Attention and Its Effect on Subsidiary Performance. Management International Review (MIR), 50(4), 449469. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11575-010-0041-4
- Anderson, E., & Weitz, B. (1992). The Use of Pledges to build and Sustain Commitment in Distribution Channels. *Journal of Marketing Research (JMR)*, 29(1), 1834. https://doi.org/10.2307/3172490
- Andersson, U., Forsgren, M., & Holm, U. (2007). Balancing subsidiary influence in the federative MNC: A business network view. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 38(5), 802818. https:// doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8400292
- Athreye, S., Tuncay-Celikel, A., & Ujjual, V. (2014). Internationalisation of R&D into Emerging Markets: Fiat's R&D in Brazil, Turkey and India. *Long Range Planning*, 47(12), 100114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. lrp.2013.10.003
- Bartlett, C. A., & Ghoshal, S. (1989). *Managing across borders: the transnational solution*, Boston: Harvard Business School Press, 274 p.

- Beddi, H. (2011). Quel est le rôle du siège dans les firmes multinationales? *Revue française de gestion*, 212(3), 7792. https://www.cairn.info/revue--2011-3-page-77.htm
- Beddi, H. (2012). Les relations siège-filiales dans les firmes multinationales: Vers une approche différenciée? *Management international*, *17*(1), 89-101. https://doi.org/10.7202/1013679ar
- Beddi, H. (2015). Pouvoir et conflit dans les relations siège-filiales des firmes multinationales. Management International/International Management/Gestión Internacional, 19. https://doi. org/10.7202/1013679ar
- Benito, G. R. G., Lunnan, R., & Tomassen, S. (2014). The Virtue of in-between Pragmatism A Balancing Act between Responsiveness and Integration in a Multinational Company. In T. Pedersen, M. Venzin, T. M. Devinney, & L. Tihanyi (Éds.), Advances in International Management (Vol. 27, p. 7597). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/S1571-502720140000027002
- Beugelsdijk, S., Nell, P. C., & Ambos, B. (2017). When Do Distance Effects Become Empirically Observable? An Investigation in the Context of Headquarters Value Creation for Subsidiaries. *Journal of International Management*, 23(3), 255-267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intman.2017.03.002
- Birkinshaw, J., Ambos, T. C., & Bouquet, C. (2017). Boundary Spanning Activities of Corporate HQ Executives Insights from a Longitudinal Study. *Journal of Management Studies (John Wiley & Sons, Inc.*), 54(4), 422454. https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12260
- Birkinshaw, J., Braunerhjelm, P., Holm, U., & Terjesen, S. (2006). Why do some multinational corporations relocate their headquarters overseas? *Strategic Management Journal*, 27(7), 681700. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.541
- Birkinshaw, Toulan, & Arnold. (2001). Global account management in multinational corporations: Theory and evidence, *Journal of International Business Studies*, 32(2), 231-248. http://www.jstor. org/stable/3069558
- Boussebaa, M. (2015). Control in the multinational enterprise: The polycentric case of global professional service firms. *Journal of World Business*, *50*(4), 696703. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jwb.2014.11.002
- Bouquet, C., & Birkinshaw, J. (2008). Weight versus voice: How foreign subsidiaries gain attention from corporate headquarters. *Academy of Management journal*, *51*(3), 577601. http://www.jstor. org/stable/20159527
- Carney, M. (1998). A Management Capacity Constraint? Obstacles to the Development of the Overseas Chinese Family Business. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management* 15, 137–162. https://doi.org/10.1023/A: 1015433429765
- Ciabuschi, F., Dellestrand, H., & Holm, U. (2012). The role of headquarters in the contemporary MNC. *Journal of International Management*, *18*(3), 213223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. intman.2012.06.004
- Ciabuschi, F., Forsgren, M., & Martín Martín, O. (2017). Value Creation at the Subsidiary Level: Testing the MNC Headquarters Parenting Advantage Logic. *Long Range Planning*, *50*(1), 4862. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2016.03.004
- Chiao, Y.-C., & Ying, K.-P. (2013). Network effect and subsidiary autonomy in multinational corporations: An investigation of Taiwanese subsidiaries. *International Business Review*, 22(4), 652662. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2012.10.001
- Decreton, B., Nell, P. C., & Stea, D. (2019). Headquarters involvement, socialization, and entrepreneurial behaviors in MNC subsidiaries. *Long Range Planning*, 52(4), 101839. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. lrp.2018.05.005
- Dellestrand, H., Holm, U., & Lindahl, O. (2023). Moving beyond the transfer dyad: Exploring network influences on transfer effectiveness. *International Business Review*, 32(2), 101950. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2021.101950

- Dellestrand, H., & Kappen, P. (2012). The effects of spatial and contextual factors on headquarters resource allocation to MNE subsidiaries. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 43(3), 219243. https://doi.org/10.1057/jibs.2011.57
- Donada, C., Mothe, C., & Nogatchewsky, G. (2020). Modes de coordination et performance des relations client-fournisseur: l'effet modérateur des risques collaboratifs. Management international /International Management/Gestion Internacional, 24(2), 124–137. https://doi.org/10.7202/1072646ar
- Dörrenbacher, C., & Gammelgaard, J. (2016). Subsidiary Initiative Taking in Multinational Corporations: The Relationship Between Power and Issue Selling. *Organization Studies*, 37(9), 1249-1270. https:// doi.org/10.1177/0170840616634130
- Egelhoff, W. G. (2010). How the parent headquarters adds value to an MNC. *Management international review*, *50*(4), 413431. https://www.jstor.org/stable/40985345
- Egelhoff, W. G., & Wolf, J. (2017). The Role of Headquarters in the Contemporary MNC: A Contingency Model. In C. DöRrenbächer & M. Geppert (Éds.), *Research in the Sociology of Organizations* (Vol. 49, p. 7198). Emerald Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/S0733-558X20160000049003
- Fan, D., Zhu, C. J., & Nyland, C. (2012). Factors affecting global integration of Chinese multinationals in Australia: A qualitative analysis. *International Business Review*, 21(1), 1326. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2011.03.005
- Forsgren, M (2008). *Theories of the Multinational Firm. A Multidimensional Creature in the Global Economy*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing, 196 p.
- Forsgren, M. (2016). A note on the revisited Uppsala internationalization process model-the implications of business networks and entrepreneurship. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 47(9), 1135-1144. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-016-0014-3
- Foss, N. J., & Pedersen, T. (2002). Transferring knowledge in MNCs: The role of sources of subsidiary knowledge and organizational context. *Journal of International Management*, 8(1), 4967. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S1075-4253(01)00054-0
- Galli Geleilate, J.-M., Andrews, D. S., & Fainshmidt, S. (2020). Subsidiary autonomy and subsidiary performance: A meta-analysis. *Journal of World Business*, 55(4), 101049. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jwb.2019.101049
- Ghauri, P. N. (2004). Conducting and analysing case studies in international business. In: Piekkari R, Welch C (eds) Handbook of qualitative research methods for international business. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar, 109-124.
- Gioia, D. A., Corley, K. G., & Hamilton, A. L. (2013). Seeking Qualitative Rigor in Inductive Research: Notes on the Gioia Methodology. Organizational Research Methods, 16(1), 1531. https://doi. org/10.1177/1094428112452151
- Grillat, M.-L. & Mérignac, O. (2011). Stratégie de contrôle des activités internationales: la GIRH comme levier clé d'intégration et de coordination des firmes multinationales. *Management international* /International Management/Gestiòn Internacional, 16(1), 85–100. https://doi.org/10.7202/1006920ar
- Harzing, A.-W. (1999). *Managing the multinationals: an international study of control mechanisms*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Ho, Y.-C. (2014). Multilateral knowledge transfer and multiple embeddedness. *The Multinational Business Review*, 22(2), 155175. https://doi.org/10.1108/MBR-04-2014-0010
- Huggins, R. (2010). Forms of Network Resource: Knowledge Access and the Role of Inter-Firm Networks. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, *12*(3), 335352. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2009.00266.x
- Hui Shi, L., White, J. C., Zou, S., & Cavusgil, S. T. (2010). Global account management strategies: Drivers and outcomes. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 41(4), 620638. https://doi. org/10.1057/jibs.2009.26

- Hurtado-Torres, N. E., Aragón-Correa, J. A., & Ortiz-de-Mandojana, N. (2018). How does R&D internationalization in multinational firms affect their innovative performance? The moderating role of international collaboration in the energy industry. *International Business Review*, 27(3), 514527. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2017.10.003
- Kingkaew, S., & Dahms, S. (2018). Headquarters value added and subsidiary performance: Insights from Thailand. *Review of International Business and Strategy*, 28(2), 150-68. https://doi.org/10.1108/ RIBS-11-2017-0099
- Kostova, T., Marano, V., & Tallman, S. (2016). Headquarters–subsidiary relationships in MNCs: Fifty years of evolving research. *Journal of World Business*, *51*(1), 176184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jwb.2015.09.003
- Malnight, T. W. (1996). The Transition from Decentralized to Network-Based MNC Structures: An Evolutionary Perspective. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 27(1), 4365. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8490125
- Mayrhofer, U. (2011). La gestion des relations siège-filiales. Un enjeu stratégique pour les firmes multinationales. *Revue française de gestion*, 37(212), 6575. https://doi.org/10.3166/rfg.212.65-75
- Melin, C. (2014). La coordination des relations intra- et inter-organisationnelles au sein de l'usine mondiale: le cas Renault Trucks (groupe Volvo). *Management international / International Management / Gestiòn Internacional, 18*, 142–164. https://doi.org/10.7202/1027870ar
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications, Inc.
- Millman, T. F. (1996). Global key account management and systems selling. *International Business Review*, 5(6), 631645. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-5931(96)00031-5
- Montgomery, D. B., & Yip, G. S. (2000). The Challenge of Global Customer Management. *Marketing Management*, 9(4), 2229.
- Mudambi, R., & Navarra, P. (2004). Is knowledge power? Knowledge flows, subsidiary power and rent-seeking within MNCs. Journal of International Business Studies, 35(5), 385–406. http:// www.jstor.org/stable/3875201
- Narula, R. (2014). Exploring the Paradox of Competence-creating Subsidiaries: Balancing Bandwidth and Dispersion in MNEs. Long Range Planning, 47(12), 415. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2013.10.006
- Nell, P. C., & Ambos, B. (2013). Parenting advantage in the MNC: An embeddedness perspective on the value added by headquarters: MNC Embeddedness and the Value Added by Headquarters. *Strategic Management Journal*, 34(9), 10861103. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2058
- Nell, P. C., Ambos, B., & Schlegelmilch, B. B. (2011). The MNC as an externally embedded organization: An investigation of embeddedness overlap in local subsidiary networks. *Journal of World Business*, 46(4), 497505. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2010.10.010
- Nell, P. C., Andersson, U., & Schlegelmilch, B. B. (2010). Subsidiary contribution to firm-level competitive advantage – disentangling the effects of MNC external embeddedness. In J. Pla-Barber & J. Alegre (Éds.), *Reshaping the Boundaries of the Firm in an Era of Global Interdependence* (Vol. 5, p. 173195). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. http://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/ abs/10.1108/S1745-8862%282010%29000005012
- Nell, P. C., Kappen, P., & Laamanen, T. (2017). Reconceptualising Hierarchies: The Disaggregation and Dispersion of Headquarters in Multinational Corporations. *Journal of Management Studies*, 54(8), 11211143. https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12313
- Odlin, D., & Benson-Rea, M. (2017). Competing on the edge: Implications of network position for internationalizing small- and medium-sized enterprises. *International Business Review*, 26(4), 736748. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2017.01.003
- O'Donnell, S. W. (2000). Managing Foreign Subsidiaries: Agents of Headquarters, or an Interdependent Network? *Strategic Management Journal*, *21*(5), 525548. http://www.jstor.org/stable/3094138

- Oehmichen, J., & Puck, J. (2016). Embeddedness, Ownership Mode and Dynamics, and the Performance of MNE Subsidiaries. *Journal of International Management*, 22(1), 1728. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intman.2015.11.002
- Pla-Barber, J., Botella-Andreu, A., & Villar, C. (2021). Intermediate units in multinational corporations: A resource dependency view on coordinative versus entrepreneurial roles. *International Business Review*, 30(1), 101773. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2020.101773
- Reichstein-Scholz, H., Giroud, A., Yamin, M., & Andersson, U. (2021). Sales to centre stage! Determinants of the division in strategic sales decisions within the MNE. *International Business Review*, 30(6), 101859. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2021.101859
- Santistevan, D. (2022). Boundary-spanning coordination: Insights into lateral collaboration and lateral alignment in multinational enterprises. *Journal of World Business*, 57(3), 101291. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2021.101291
- Schmid, S., Grosche, P., & Mayrhofer, U. (2016). Configuration and coordination of international marketing activities. *International Business Review*, 25(2), 535547. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ibusrev.2015.09.005
- Senn, C., Thoma, A., & Yip, G. S. (2013). Customer-Centric Leadership: How to Manage Strategic Customers as Assets in B2B Markets. *California Management Review*, 55(3), 2759. https://doi. org/10.1525/cmr.2013.55.3.27
- Simon, H. (1996). *Hidden Champions: Lessons from 500 of the World's Best Unknown Companies*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- Sinkovics, R. R., Roath, A. S., & Cavusgil, S. T. (2011). International integration and coordination in MNEs: Implications for international management. *Management International Review*, 51(2), 121-127. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11575-011-0076-1
- Su, C., Ciabuschi, F., & Kong, L. (2023). Headquarters parenting advantage in Chinese MNEs: The moderating role of top managers' political and international experience. *International Business Review*, 32(2), 101842. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2021.101842
- Thompson, J. D. (1967). Organizations in Action, New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Toulan, O., Birkinshaw, J., & Arnold, D. (2006). The Role of Interorganizational Fit in Global Account Management. International Studies of Management and Organization, 36(4), 6181. https://doi. org/10.2753/IM00020-8825360403

- Vahlne, J.-E., & Johanson, J. (2013). The Uppsala model on evolution of the multinational business enterprise—From internalization to coordination of networks. *International Marketing Review*, 30(3), 189210. http://dx.doi.org.ezscd.univ-lyon3.fr/10.1108/02651331311321963
- Vahlne, J.-E., & Johanson, J. (2021). Coping with complexity by making trust an important dimension in governance and coordination. *International Business Review*, 30(2), 101798. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2021.101798
- Vahlne, J.-E., & Jonsson, A. (2017). Ambidexterity as a dynamic capability in the globalization of the multinational business enterprise (MBE): Case studies of AB Volvo and IKEA. International Business Review, 26(1), 5770. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2016.05.006
- Vahlne, J.-E., Ivarsson, I., & Johanson, J. (2011). The tortuous road to globalization for Volvo's heavy truck business: Extending the scope of the Uppsala model. *International Business Review*, 20(1), 114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2010.05.003
- Vahlne, J.-E., Schweizer, R., & Johanson, J. (2012). Overcoming the Liability of Outsidership—The Challenge of HQ of the Global Firm. *Journal of International Management*, 18(3), 224232. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.intman.2012.04.002
- Valentino, A., Mayrhofer, U., & Caroli, M. (2022). Let's stay connected: The impact of social and business networks on foreign subsidiary performance. *Management International*, 26(6), 117-132. https://doi.org/10.7202/1095752ar
- Valenza, G., Caputo, A., & Calabrò, A. (2021). Is small and medium-sized beautiful? The structure and evolution of family SMEs research. *Journal of Family Business Management*, 13(2), 453-485. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFBM-03-2021-0024
- Victor, B., & Blackburn, R. S. (1987). Interdependence: An Alternative Conceptualization. Academy of Management Review, 12(3), 486498. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1987.4306563
- Williams, C., & Kumar, M. (2022). Diffusing MNE subsidiary initiatives across national cultural distance: The role of organizational values sharing and knowledge sharing. *Management International*, 26(6), 13. https://doi.org/10.7202/1095747ar
- Yin, R. K. (2014). Case Study Research Design and Methods (5th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Zou, S., & Cavusgil, S. T. (2002). The GMS: A Broad Conceptualization of Global Marketing Strategy and Its Effect on Firm Performance. *Journal of Marketing*, 66(4), 40–56. https://doi.org/10.1509/ jmkg.66.4.40.18519

# **APPENDIX 1**

# Interview Guides

We used two independent but overlapping sets of guestions for the interviews with managers from the HQ and from the subsidiaries. Hereafter, we present the structure of the inte

interview guides.
Interview Guide (headquarters' perspective): main topics covered
International development
- History of the company
- Internationalization of activities
- Foreign markets: choice & entry mode decisions
- Local and international competition
- Role of customers in the company's international expansion
Customer network management:
- Customer relationship development in different local markets (local clients, global clients, following clients into new markets)
- External and internal factors influencing customer relationship management across different foreign markets (market characteristics, type of subsidiary, etc.)
- Management of multinational and local customers across foreign markets
- Synergy effects from the management of customer relationships across multiple markets
- Impact of strategy and organizational structure of customers
Internal network coordination:
- Organizational structure of the firm
- Nature of headquarters-subsidiary relationships
- Nature of direct inter-subsidiary relationships
- Customer relationship management at the subsidiary level (subsidiary autonomy, etc.)
- HQ's awareness and involvement in local customer relationship management
- Collaboration on vertical and horizonal levels around customer relationship management
- Potential conflicts of interests at different organizational levels
- Responsibility of subunits for the overall customer relationship management on a global scale
- HQ-subsidiary interdependencies concerning customer relationship management
- Integration mechanisms for customer network coordination

- Organizational changes linked to customer relationship coordination across multiple foreign markets (allocation of coordination responsibilities, etc.)

#### Conclusion (impact and results):

Overall assessment by interviewees of results and challenges of the network activities' coordination on an international level (benefits; facilitating factors and barriers; future objectives)

APPENDIX 1
Interview Guides
Interview Guide (subsidiary's perspective): main topics covered
International development in local market:
- Entry mode strategy
- Local business opportunities
- Impact of customer relationships on local market development
Customer network management:
- Customer relationship development in the local market
- Customer relationship development in other foreign markets
- External and internal factors influencing customer relationship management
- Management of multinational and local customers
- Impact of customers' strategy and organizational structure
Internal network coordination:
- Relationships with the headquarters
- Customer relationship management at the subsidiary level
- Subsidiary autonomy in customer network management
- HQ's awareness and involvement in local customer relationship management
- Collaboration with other subsidiaries in customer relationship management (formal or informal collaboration, mediation by headquarters, conflicts of interest,)
- Responsibility of subunits for overall customer relationship management on a global scale
- HQ-subsidiary interdependencies concerning customer relationship management
- Integration mechanisms for customer network coordination
- Changes in relationships with the HQ and sister subsidiaries linked to customer relationship coordination across multiple foreign markets (allocation of coordination responsibilities, etc.)
Conclusion (impact and results):

Overall assessment by interviewees of results and challenges of coordination of the network activities' on an international level (benefits; facilitating factors and barriers; future objectives)

APPENDIX 2 Data supporting representative quotations				
Representative quotations	Codes	Themes		
"Some of the customers are requesting one single price for the world" (North area manager, HQ, Beta)	Global demand			
"We were just awarded a project in Japan last year [with Danone]. We do have an office in China which is close enough to support the Japanese team in Danone. But they are pushing us to hire more people, to train them to be more autonomous and efficient in fixing problems when they occur." (Danone global key account manager (GKAM), HQ, Alpha)	Importance of local support			
"It really depends. For example, the Roc group, which is also in 'modular structures', and where we have developed specific products for the US market with them because of legislation." (Country manager, USA, Beta)	Product adaptation/ standardization	Customer demand		
"Last year, we officially signed a partnership contract with Danone. That's a good first step. My problem now is that even if top-to-top managers signed this document, we always face the situation where people in the plant act and react to us as a classic supplier." (Danone GKAM, HQ, Alpha)	Partnership relationships			
"If you have a very centralized customer, then you have to be very well coordinated. Some other customers, that are fully decentralized. Then, on your side, you are going to deal with different subunits directly. Whatever the customer's organization, on your side, you need to be coordinated." (Sales and marketing director, HQ, Beta)	Customer (de)centralized organization	Customer		
"Four levels of hierarchythe buyer down here, this is a buyer's boss, the buyer's boss's boss, and the hierarchy continues. This person [buyer] is based in Portugal, this person [the buyer's boss] is based in Paris, this person is based in the UK and this person is based in Luxembourg." (Sales director, HQ, Gamma)	Diffused decision-making on the customer side	organization		
"As soon as the project reaches a certain level of criticality, which can be either technical or economical, then we know that the corporate team of Danone is also involved." (Danone GKAM, HQ, Alpha)	Subunits of multinational customers interlinked in decision-making			
"[Our Scandinavian customers] work increasingly with subcontractors in Poland. And then, you have a bit of complexity, because we usually invoice Scandinavian countries, then they send the material to Poland. [] Now our customers in Poland have started to be the subcontractor for these Scandinavian countries, and so they would like to have the same price as we have in Scandinavia." (North area manager, HQ, Beta)	Subcontractor relationships between customers	1		
"PSA is with Dongfeng, so it's DPCA. I know personally that PSA had a lot of problems with Dongfeng, because they don't accept the suppliers of PSA. So you can have a contract with PSA. They say 'ok, you come in the business, not just here in France, but also in China'. It is interesting in terms of production. So, you sign the bottom line, and then you deliver half of the volume to PSA in France. But when it comes to China, they say 'no, no, we will order from the Chinese supplier."" [Sales director, HQ, Gamma]	M&A involving customers	Interdependencies between customer network actors across borders		
"This chair has perhaps been designed by a Dutch company for a French company, and it will be produced in China. So, the decision for the design will be taken in France. And the sale of the product will be done in China to the manufacturer. And we need to coordinate and to share information." (Sales and marketing director, HQ, Beta)	Involvement of third actors in the customer project			
"A typical example is Australia. In Australia, we did specification, specification, specification, and today, if you find tent producers deliver to Australia, they are obliged to buy our material. Because the customer said: 'only Beta's products'. That's a very positive point. We had provided perfect specifications in the past". (Senior business development manager, Germany, Beta)	Influence of customers of customers			
"There is an annual global meeting that takes place in France every year in May. That's when we globally review these key accounts." (Country manager, USA, Gamma)	Interdependence in decision- making (customer strategy)			
"We have to be very careful to keep one of our specificities, which is this ability to deliver the same quality of projects everywhere in the world." (Danone GKAM, HQ, Alpha)	Alignment in price/quality of local projects	Interdependencies between multiple		
"We have our team in Europe doing the specification job, but then the follow-up of the customer and even the purchase will be done locally in the Far East." (North area manager, HQ, Beta)	Support in networking/ negotiation	vendor MNE subsidiaries		
"The person who is aware of the global project will contact the local salesperson, for instance, in this case, the German salesman, and say 'Yes, I received the information that they will give the tender to the confectioner X, so you go there immediately'." (Country manager, Germany, Beta)	Consolidation of partial customer knowledge			

APPENDIX 2 Data supporting representative quotations				
Representative quotations	Codes	Themes		
"Sometimes a business developer has been trying to develop relationships with a prospect. And it takes a long time to get URS [User Requirements Specification] and once he gets them, he says [to the HQ] 'oh great, can we submit a tender, can we bid please?' [] sometimes it's a real pity because we [HQ] don't have sufficient resources to answer their need." [Sales manager, divisional subunit 'Health', HQ, Alpha]	Conflicts on prioritization of opportunities			
"We are in a position in which it is not so easy to contribute to the international specification, but our contribution is to work with the general contractor, which is a Chinese company, but working in a Middle Eastern market. For this kind of case, we could help more. But for producing specifications here in China and helping a project overseas, we do not have these kinds of cases." (Country manager, China, Beta)	Non-reciprocal support between subsidiaries	Collaborative tensions		
"There's a clear rule in the company: cross-border (international) business anyway is part of the job', you cannot say 'OK, you spent 80% of your time on this one, 50% of your time on this one, it's too complex". (Country manager, Germany, Beta)	Difficulty to define the contribution of each subsidiary	among multiple subsidiaries		
"Real life is - even though we told you we needed you, you are not doing it. Well, that's where the sales director is valuable." (Country manager, USA, Gamma)	Working together without hierarchical links			
"Danone is a really big client so [the President] is involved very closely in the relationships." (Danone GKAM, HQ, Alpha)	Firm-level partnership relationship development			
"The coordination tends to go a lot through the Business Group manager [from HQ]. The Business Group manager tends to kind of centralize. But of course, I don't always go through him, if I know that a colleague of mine elsewhere in the world and I work well, I don't necessarily have to go to the Business Group manager. But if there's a need for coordination, the Business Group manager's mediation comes into effect." (Country manager, USA, Beta)	Centralized coordination of collective relationships	Centralized coordination		
"We would not be happy to know that one wins and another loses. You need to keep the balance between them. That's my job. I come to take care on both sides to avoid frustration." (Director of divisional subunit, Alpha)	Prioritization of opportunities & support & resource allocation in firm-level balance	(by corporate HQ)		
"We monitor potential business, for example, in the future we are thinking that piece is going to become very popular, at least in that region." (Key account manager, Mexico, Gamma)	Building a global overview of customer activities			
"We have a KAM in Germany. In this type of business ['modular structure' market], he is working together with the Business Group manager and coordinating all the customer's strategies, whatever the country." (Sales and marketing director, HQ, Beta)	Global customer strategy development	Delegated coordination		
"I have three area sales managers. in the US, Asia, and Germany. I am responsible for the rest of Europe. I want these area sales managers to take more responsibility in terms of global accounts." (Sales director, HQ, Gamma)	Coordination of collective activities with selective customers (within selective market segments)	(by core subsidiary)		