

# Small but Significant Errata/Typos in the Paper “The Distinction Between False Dilemma and False Disjunctive Syllogism”

Taeda Tomić 

Volume 44, Number 4, 2024

URI: <https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1116154ar>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22329/il.v44i4.8796>

[See table of contents](#)

Publisher(s)

Informal Logic

ISSN

0824-2577 (print)

2293-734X (digital)

[Explore this journal](#)

Cite this document

Tomić, T. (2024). Small but Significant Errata/Typos in the Paper “The Distinction Between False Dilemma and False Disjunctive Syllogism”. *Informal Logic*, 44(4), 683–683. <https://doi.org/10.22329/il.v44i4.8796>


# Small but Significant Errata/Typos in the Paper “The Distinction Between False Dilemma and False Disjunctive Syllogism”

**Taeda Tomić**

*Department of Philosophy,  
Uppsala University  
Postal Box 627  
751 26 Uppsala, Sweden  
taeda.tomic@filosofi.uu.se*

In the paper *The Distinction Between False Dilemma and False Disjunctive Syllogism*, by Taeda Tomić, *Informal Logic* vol. 41, No 4 (2021), pp. 607-639, there are typos in Figure 12, on page 630. In the last row of the figure, direct after “Thus”, the connective should be disjunction instead of the conjunction in the conclusion (written after the rightwards double arrow); and the propositional letter “D”, as well as the entire disjunctive sequence should also be included. It should be:  $C \vee D \vee E \vee F \vee \dots \vee F_n$ ; instead of:  $C \wedge E \wedge F$ .

Thus the figure 12 without the typos should be:



*Figure 12: General structure of the profile of dialogue for active criticism of unsound disjunctive syllogism, focusing on the incomplete disjunctive premise*