#### **Geoscience Canada**

#### GEOSCIENCE CANADA

### Evidence of Late Ediacaran Hyperextension of the Laurentian Iapetan Margin in the Birchy Complex, Baie Verte Peninsula, Northwest Newfoundland:

Implications for the Opening of Iapetus, Formation of Peri-Laurentian Microcontinents and Taconic – Grampian Orogenesis

Cees R. van Staal, Dave M. Chew, Alexandre Zagorevski, Vicki McNicoll, James Hibbard, Tom Skulski, Sébastien Castonguay, Monica P. Escayola and Paul J. Sylvester

#### Volume 40, Number 2, 2013

URI: https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/geocan40\_2pfh01

See table of contents

#### Publisher(s)

The Geological Association of Canada

ISSN 0315-0941 (print) 1911-4850 (digital)

Explore this journal

#### Cite this article

érudit

van Staal, C. R., Chew, D. M., Zagorevski, A., McNicoll, V., Hibbard, J., Skulski, T., Castonguay, S., Escayola, M. P. & Sylvester, P. J. (2013). Evidence of Late Ediacaran Hyperextension of the Laurentian Iapetan Margin in the Birchy Complex, Baie Verte Peninsula, Northwest Newfoundland:: Implications for the Opening of Iapetus, Formation of Peri-Laurentian Microcontinents and Taconic – Grampian Orogenesis. *Geoscience Canada, 40*(2), 94–117.

#### Article abstract

The Birchy Complex of the Baie Verte Peninsula, northwestern Newfoundland, comprises an assemblage of mafic schist, ultramafic rocks, and metasedimentary rocks that are structurally sandwiched between overlying ca. 490 Ma ophiolite massifs of the Baie Verte oceanic tract and underlying metasedimentary rocks of the Fleur de Lys Supergroup of the Appalachian Humber margin. Birchy Complex gabbro yielded a Late Ediacaran U-Pb zircon ID-TIMS age of 558.3 ± 0.7 Ma, whereas gabbro and an intermediate tuffaceous schist yielded LA–ICPMS concordia zircon ages of 564 ± 7.5 Ma and 556 ± 4 Ma, respectively. These ages overlap the last phase of rift-related magmatism observed along the Humber margin of the northern Appalachians (565-550 Ma). The associated ultramafic rocks were exhumed by the Late Ediacaran and shed detritus into the interleaved sedimentary rocks. Psammite in the overlying Flat Point Formation yielded a detrital zircon population typical of the Laurentian Humber margin in the northern Appalachians. Age relationships and characteristics of the Birchy Complex and adjacent Rattling Brook Group suggest that the ultramafic rocks represent slices of continental lithospheric mantle exhumed onto the seafloor shortly before or coeval with magmatic accretion of mid-ocean ridge basalt-like mafic rocks. Hence, they represent the remnants of an ocean - continent transition zone formed during hyperex-tension of the Humber margin prior to establishment of a mid-ocean ridge farther outboard in the Iapetus Ocean. We propose that microcontinents such as Dashwoods and the Rattling Brook Group formed as a hanging wall block and an extensional crustal allochthon, respectively, analogous to the isolation of the Brianconnais block during the opening of the Alpine Ligurian–Piemonte and Valais oceanic seaways.

All rights reserved © The Geological Association of Canada, 2013

This document is protected by copyright law. Use of the services of Érudit (including reproduction) is subject to its terms and conditions, which can be viewed online.

https://apropos.erudit.org/en/users/policy-on-use/

#### This article is disseminated and preserved by Érudit.

Érudit is a non-profit inter-university consortium of the Université de Montréal, Université Laval, and the Université du Québec à Montréal. Its mission is to promote and disseminate research.

https://www.erudit.org/en/

# PAUL F. HOFFMAN SERIES



Evidence of Late Ediacaran Hyperextension of the Laurentian lapetan Margin in the Birchy Complex, Baie Verte Peninsula, Northwest Newfoundland: Implications for the Opening of lapetus, Formation of Peri-Laurentian Microcontinents and Taconic – Grampian Orogenesis

Cees R. van Staal<sup>1</sup>, Dave M. Chew<sup>2</sup>, Alexandre Zagorevski<sup>3</sup>, Vicki McNicoll<sup>3</sup>, James Hibbard<sup>4</sup>, Tom Skulski<sup>3</sup>, Sébastien Castonguay<sup>5</sup>, Monica P. Escayola<sup>6</sup> and Paul J. Sylvester<sup>7</sup>

'Natural Resources Canada Geological Survey of Canada Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6B 5J3 E-mail: cvanstaa@nrcan.gc.ca

<sup>2</sup>Department of Geology Trinity College Dublin Dublin, Ireland <sup>3</sup>Natural Resources Canada Geological Survey of Canada Ottawa, ON, Canada, K1A 0E8

<sup>4</sup>Department of Marine, Earth and Atmospheric Sciences North Carolina State University Raleigh, NC, USA, 27695-8208

<sup>5</sup>Natural Resources Canada Geological Survey of Canada Québec, QC, Canada, G1K 9.A9

<sup>6</sup>CONICET University of Buenos Aires Buenos Aires, Argentina, C1428EHA

<sup>7</sup>Department of Earth Sciences Memorial University St. John's, NL, Canada, A1B 3X5

#### SUMMARY

The Birchy Complex of the Baie Verte Peninsula, northwestern Newfoundland, comprises an assemblage of mafic schist, ultramafic rocks, and metasedimentary rocks that are structurally sandwiched between overlying ca. 490 Ma ophiolite massifs of the Baie Verte oceanic tract and underlying metasedimentary rocks of the Fleur de Lys Supergroup of the Appalachian Humber margin. Birchy Complex gabbro vielded a Late Ediacaran U-Pb zircon ID–TIMS age of  $558.3 \pm 0.7$  Ma, whereas gabbro and an intermediate tuffaceous schist yielded LA-ICPMS concordia zircon ages of 564  $\pm$  7.5 Ma and 556  $\pm$  4 Ma, respectively. These ages overlap the last phase of rift-related magmatism observed along the Humber margin of the northern Appalachians (565-550 Ma). The asso-

ciated ultramafic rocks were exhumed by the Late Ediacaran and shed detritus into the interleaved sedimentary rocks. Psammite in the overlying Flat Point Formation yielded a detrital zircon population typical of the Laurentian Humber margin in the northern Appalachians. Age relationships and characteristics of the Birchy Complex and adjacent Rattling Brook Group suggest that the ultramafic rocks represent slices of continental lithospheric mantle exhumed onto the seafloor shortly before or coeval with magmatic accretion of mid-ocean ridge basaltlike mafic rocks. Hence, they represent the remnants of an ocean – continent transition zone formed during hyperextension of the Humber margin prior to establishment of a mid-ocean ridge farther outboard in the Iapetus Ocean. We propose that microcontinents such as Dashwoods and the Rattling Brook Group formed as a hanging wall block and an extensional crustal allochthon, respectively, analogous to the isolation of the Briançonnais block during the opening of the Alpine Ligurian-Piemonte and Valais oceanic seaways.

#### SOMMAIRE

Le complexe de Birchy de la péninsule de Baie Verte, dans le nord-ouest de Terre-Neuve, est constitué d'un assemblage de schistes mafiques, de roches ultramafiques et de métasédiments qui sont coincés entre des massifs ophiolitiques d'ascendance océanique de la Baie Verte au-dessus, et des métasédiments du Supergroupe de Fleur de Lys de la marge de Humber des Appalaches en-dessous. Le complexe de gabbro de Birchy a donné une datation U-Pb sur zircon ID-TIMS corresponVolume 40

95

dant à la fin de l'Édiacarien, soit 558,3  $\pm$  0,7 Ma, alors qu'un gabbro et un schiste tufacé intermédiaire montrent une datation LA-ICP-MS Concordia sur zircon de 564  $\pm$  7,5 Ma et 556  $\pm$  4 Ma, respectivement. Ces datations chevauchent la dernière phase de magmatisme de rift observée le long de la marge Humber des Appalaches du Nord (565-550 Ma). Les roches ultramafiques associées ont été exhumées vers la fin de l'Édiacarien et leurs débris ont été imbriqués dans des roches sédimentaires. Les psammites de la Formation de Flat Point susjacente ont donné une population de zircons détritiques typique de la marge laurentienne de Humber des Appalaches du Nord. Les relations chronologiques et les caractéristiques du complexe de Birchy et du groupe de Rattling Brook adjacent, permettent de penser que ces roches ultramafiques pourraient être des écailles de manteau lithosphérique continental qui auraient été exhumées sur le plancher océanique peu avant ou en même temps que l'accrétion magmatique de roches mafiques basaltiques de type dorsale médio-océanique. Par conséquent, elles seraient des vestiges d'une zone de transition océan-continent formée au cours de l'hyper-extension de la marge de Humber avant l'apparition d'une dorsale médio-océanique plus loin au large dans l'océan Iapétus. Nous proposons que des microcontinents comme de Dashwoods et du groupe de Rattling Brook ont constitués respectivement un bloc de toit et un allochtone crustal d'extension, de la même manière que le bloc Brianconnais a été isolé lors de l'ouverture des bras océaniques alpins de Ligurie-Pié-

#### INTRODUCTION

mont et de Valais.

The timing and nature of the opening of the Iapetus Ocean along the Appalachian Humber margin of Laurentia (henceforth simplified to Humber margin) has been a contentious issue for a considerable time. In particular, breakup-related magmatism along the Humber margin spanned at least 200 my and appears to have involved several distinct pulses (Cawood et al. 2001; Tollo et al. 2004; Burton and Southworth 2010). The general consensus is that only the last major mag-



2013

**Figure 1**. Simplified Geology of the Baie Verte Peninsula, northwestern Newfoundland (modified from Hibbard 1983 and Skulski et al. 2010). AAT: Annieopsquotch Accretionary Tract; BVBL: Baie Verte – Brompton Line; DBL: Dog Bay Line; DF: Dover Fault; GRUB: Gander River Untramafic Belt; HMT: Hungry Mountain Thrust; RIL: Red Indian Line.

matic pulse between 615 and 550 Ma is related to opening of the Iapetus Ocean (Kamo et al. 1989; Cawood et al. 2001). This is consistent with the evidence for extensive Ediacaran normal faulting along the Humber margin (e.g. O'Brien and van der Pluijm 2012) and paleomagnetic evidence that suggests Late Ediacaran (ca. 570 Ma) separation of eastern Laurentia from its conjugate margin(s) (Cawood et al. 2001; McCausland et al. 2007). However, rift-related magmatism continued throughout the northern Appalachians for another 20 my (to ca. 550 Ma; Kumarapeli et al. 1989; Bédard and Stevenson 1999; Cawood et al. 2001; Hodych and Cox 2007; Burton and Southworth 2010, and references therein) and the thermal subsidence of Laurentia's (para)autochthonous rifted margin took place at least 40-50 my later (525-520 Ma; Bond et al. 1984;

Williams and Hiscott 1987; Cawood et al. 2001; Waldron and van Staal 2001; Hibbard et al. 2007). The apparent conflict between paleomagnetic and geological data posed a major conundrum and called into question models of late Neoproterozoic opening of Iapetus.

In this contribution, we will discuss new ideas concerning the opening of Iapetus and the formation of peri-cratonic microcontinental blocks in light of our recent work on the Baie Verte Peninsula of northern Newfoundland (Figs. 1, 2). We will first present a brief overview of the previous models of opening of the Iapetus Ocean and then discuss pertinent geological data from the Fleur de Lys Supergroup, particularly the Birchy Complex on the Baie Verte Peninsula (Figs. 1, 2). The Birchy Complex has ophiolitic affinities (Bursnall 1975;



**Figure 2**. Geology of the main body of Birchy Complex and adjacent units near the town of Baie Verte. The ca. 483 Ma U–Pb zircon age date is from V. McNicoll, (pers. comm.).



**Figure 3**. Schematic interpretation of the tectonic setting of units of the Fleur de Lys Supergroup in the Baie Verte Peninsula as proposed by Hibbard (1988), before the U–Pb zircon ages of the Birchy Complex were known.

Hibbard 1983), yet is closely associated and locally interleaved with clastic metasedimentary rocks typical of other units of the Ediacaran - Lower Ordovician Fleur de Lys Supergroup (Fig. 2), notably the Flat Point Formation (Kennedy 1971; Hibbard 1983). The Fleur de Lys Supergroup is generally considered to represent the more oceanward, distal remnant of the Laurentian Humber margin (Fig. 3), based on well-established lithological linkages (e.g. the presence of marble and marble breccia derived from the Humber platform) with the autochthonous, less deformed and metamorphosed parts of this margin (Bursnall and de Wit 1975; Williams 1977; Hibbard 1983; Hibbard et al. 1995; Cawood et al. 2001). We will mainly use new geochronological and geochemical data as evidence that the Birchy Complex and associated rocks of the adjacent Fleur de Lys Supergroup formed during hyperextension of the Humber margin in Newfoundland.

#### EXISTING MODELS CONCERNING THE TIME AND NATURE OF THE OPENING OF IAPETUS

Most modern models of the opening of the Iapetus Ocean are focussed on reconciling the discrepancy between the paleomagnetic and geological data. Typically, a two-stage rift-drift model is invoked. The first rift-drift event suggested by paleomagnetic data occurred between 590 and 570 Ma and resulted in the opening of Iapetus. The second break-up event, which led to the riftdrift transition on the Humber margin, between 540 and 530 Ma, was related to departure of a ribbon-shaped microcontinent, referred to as Dashwoods in the northern Appalachians (Cawood et al. 2001; Waldron and van Staal 2001; Allen et al. 2010). The separation of Dashwoods led to opening of the relatively narrow Taconic seaway (Hibbard et al. 2007; van Staal et al. 2007).

The 570–550 Ma magmatic rocks related to the second rift event generally range in composition from within-plate basalts to mid-ocean ridge basalt (MORB); the volcanic rocks are interleaved with continental marginderived rift-stage clastic sediments (Bédard and Stevenson 1999; Hodych and Cox 2007). In addition, rift-related magmatism included rhyolite, granite (Tollo et al. 2004) and tonalite (Cawood et al. 2001) along the length of the Northern Appalachians. This magmatism supports the existence of a latest Ediacaran and/or Early Cambrian rift-drift event. Other models call upon true polar wander to explain the apparent conflict between the paleomagnetic and geological datasets (Hodych and Cox 2007; Mitchell et al. 2011). This approach is anchored on the inference of a large, long-lived (615-550 Ma) mantle plume centred on southern Quebec (Puffer 2002). However, as pointed out by Burton and Southworth (2010), the broad age span and non-systematic geographical distribution of this phase of magmatism is not readily accommodated by the plume model.

The multiple rift model is viable, but is difficult to test and verify, because geological evidence for the first rift-drift event has not been identified. This rifting event should be recorded most extensively in the outboard Dashwoods ribbon (van Staal et al. 2007). However, with the exception of inherited Late Neoproterozoic zircons in Ordovician Notre Dame arc plutonic rocks (van Staal et al. 2007), evidence for such an event is completely masked by Early Paleozoic deformation, metamorphism and magmatism. In addition, the tectonic mechanism that caused the rifting-off of a continental ribbon like Dashwoods after Iapetus had already opened and was undergoing active spreading remains enigmatic. Potential mechanisms do exist, but generally involve ridge jumps and cessation of spreading along the old ridge (e.g. Yamasaki and Gernigon 2010), rather than the formation of two spreading centers that were active at the same time (cf. Burton and Southworth 2010). An alternative model involving protracted hyperextension of the Humber margin similar to the margins along the Alpine Tethys Ocean (Manatschal et al. 2006; Manatschal and Müntener 2009; Mohn et al. 2010) has not been previously explored but forms a viable solution to these seemingly conflicting datasets.

#### FLEUR DE LYS SUPERGROUP

The Fleur de Lys Supergroup comprises several groups of dominantly metaclastic psammitic and pelitic schist (see Figs. 1, 3), and some units dominated by mafic schist. These groups are generally considered, at least in part, to be coeval (Hibbard 1983; Hibbard et al. 1995), Ediacaran to Early Ordovician rocks deposited on or near the Humber margin (Fig. 3). The Rattling Brook Group and the Birchy Complex of the Fleur de Lys Supergroup were considered by Hibbard et al. (1995) to be the most oceanward (distal) remnants of the Humber margin. As both of these units contain ultramafic slivers, they are key to understanding the nature of the ocean - continent transition in the Iapetus Ocean.

#### **The Birchy Complex**

The Birchy Complex (Hibbard 1983) occurs in the immediate structural footwall of the ca. 490 Ma supra-subduction zone ophiolites (Figs. 1, 2; Hibbard 1983; Dunning and Krogh 1985; Cawood et al. 1996; Bédard et al. 2000; Skulski et al. 2010) of the Baie Verte oceanic tract (van Staal et al. 2007). The Birchy Complex comprises highly strained and metamorphosed polyphase-folded mafic schists (Fig. 4E, F) that are locally interlayered with psammite, graphitic pelite, calc-silicate, coticule, jasper and ultramafic rocks (Figs. 2, 4), and forms a steeply dipping, thin (ca. 1.0 - 2.5 km) structural unit.

The ultramafic rocks in the Birchy Complex vary from brecciated talc- and/or tremolite- bearing serpentinite, to listwanite (Fig. 4C) and bright green fuchsite - actinolite/tremolite schist. They principally occur as metreto decimetre-scale lenses in highly deformed graphite-bearing mica schist and other metasedimentary rocks (Fig. 4B). Notably, prominent bodies of bright green fuchsite actinolite/tremolite schist, which probably represent metamorphosed chromite-bearing pyroxenite and/or websterite bodies (see below), stand out and outline highly boudinaged and isoclinally folded horizons within the graphitic schist. Metasedimentary rocks locally contain detrital chromite, suggesting that they were in part derived from the ultramafic rocks. The protoliths of the mafic schists include metagabbro (Fig. 4B), lava, and pyroclastic and/or epiclastic rocks (Fig.4E;

Hibbard 1983). No positive evidence for pillow structures has been identified, but the mafic schists locally include small lenses of jasper and epidosite (Fig. 4D) and are interlayered with coticule (Fig. 4F), suggesting that the schists represent highly deformed and metamorphosed submarine flows and/or high-level sills. Detailed structural mapping by Kennedy (1971), Bursnall (1975) and Hibbard (1983) indicates that the contact between the Birchy Complex and sedimentary rocks of the Fleur de Lys Supergroup (i.e. Flat Point Formation) is generally conformable and shows little or no evidence for accommodating enhanced shear strain (Figs. 2, 3).

#### Mafic and Ultramafic Rocks in the Rattling Brook Group

A narrow, discontinuous, linear belt of strongly metamorphosed Alpine-type ultramafic rocks (Bursnall 1975), interleaved with psammite and graphitic pelite and also associated with small lenses of amphibolite, occurs near the western boundary of the Rattling Brook Group in the northwestern part of the Baie Verte Peninsula (Fig. 2; Kennedy 1971; Hibbard 1983). These ultramafic rocks are associated with a major  $D_1$  shear zone that was complexly refolded by tight to isoclinal  $F_2$  folds (Kennedy 1971), which precludes kinematic analysis. This shear zone was previously referred to as the Bishie Cove slide (Fig. 2; Kennedy 1971), which we interpret as an early thrust that emplaced the Rattling Brook Group above correlative rocks in the Old House Cove Group (Figs. 2, 3; Kennedy 1971; Hibbard 1983). In addition to the presence of slivers of ultramafic tectonite, a thrust origin is consistent with the association of  $D_1$ fabrics with local truncation of tectonostratigraphy in its footwall along strike (Hibbard 1983) and relatively high pressure (>10 kb) Taconic metamorphic assemblages (Kennedy 1971; Castonguay et al. 2010; Willner et al. 2012), suggesting that they formed during subduction. The ultramafic rocks adjacent to the Bishie Cove thrust commonly have been metamorphosed to soapstone, carbonate-bearing serpentinite and talc tremolite - carbonate-bearing ultramafic schists. Graphitic schist hosts ultra-

97

2013



**Figure 4.** Representative photographs of the tectonites present in the Birchy Complex. A. Contact between mafic schist (right) and serpentinite (left) in Birchy Complex along shore east of Coachman's Cove. B. Slivers of tightly folded ( $F_2$ ) actinolite/tremolite-fuchsite schist in broken-up dark metapelite and psammite. C. Brecciated serpentinite and listwanite. D. Complexly folded ( $F_2$  and  $F_3$ ) mafic schist with lenses of jasper and epidosite. E.  $F_3$  fold in ca. 556 Ma intermediate tuff. F. Complexly folded ( $F_1$  and  $F_2$ ) coticule layers in mafic schist.

mafic rocks in both the Rattling Brook Group and Birchy Complex (Hibbard 1983), suggesting that they are correlative.

#### Existing Tectonic Interpretation of the Birchy Complex, Rattling Brook Group and Related Rocks

Correlatives of the Birchy Complex occur in the Mings Bight Group (e.g. Pelée Point schist; Fig. 1), which, like the Rattling Brook Group, is also dominated by meta-clastic rocks of the Fleur de Lys Supergroup (Hibbard 1983). The close spatial relationships between metasedimentary rocks of the Fleur de Lys Supergroup and ultramafic and mafic rocks of supposedly oceanic character in the Birchy Complex, the Mings Bight Group and Rattling Brook Group, led Hibbard (1988) to hypothesize that some of the sedimentary rocks in the Fleur de Lys Supergroups were deposited on oceanic lithosphere situated adjacent to the Humber margin; that is, the Fleur de Lys Supergroup overstepped the ocean - continent transition zone (Fig. 3). If a stratigraphic relationship between sedimentary rocks of the Fleur de Lys Supergroup (Flat Point Formation) and Birchy Complex is correct, the latter should represent ocean - continent transition lithosphere and/or juvenile oceanic lithosphere formed near this zone.

The contrasting association of ultramafic and sedimentary rocks and the highly dismembered tectonic character led to the suggestion that the Birchy Complex and correlatives represent zones of tectonic mélange that accommodated the initial stages of Early to Middle Ordovician obduction of the Baie Verte oceanic tract ophiolites onto the Humber margin (Bursnall 1975; Williams 1977; Hibbard et al. 1995). Although such a kinematic model is consistent with Middle Ordovician (Taconic, ca. 465 Ma) <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar ages of muscovite and hornblende in the Birchy Complex mafic schists (van Staal et al. 2009a; Castonguay et al. 2010), metamorphic studies reveal that the Birchy Complex was buried to significantly greater depths (≥10 kb) during the Taconic than the structurally overlying Baie Verte oceanic tract ( $\leq 7$  kb; Willner et al. 2012). Their present tectonic juxtaposition therefore took place subsequent to peak-Taconic burial metamorphism.

#### U-PB ZIRCON AGES OF THE BIRCHY COMPLEX AND FLAT POINT FORMATION

To test Hibbard's (1988) hypothesis and constrain the age of the Birchy Complex, U–Pb geochronology of metagabbro and a tuffaceous schist of intermediate composition from the Birchy Complex were conducted by both the isotope dilution thermal ionization mass spectrometry (ID-TIMS) and laser-ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICPMS) techniques. Detrital zircon analyses from a Flat Point Formation psammite, sampled adjacent to the Birchy Complex on the shore of Coachman's Harbour (Fig. 2) were also undertaken by LA-ICPMS.

#### Analytical Methods

U–Pb ID–TIMS analyses were conducted at the Geological Survey of Canatory at the Geological Survey of Canada, Ottawa. Heavy minerals were concentrated from the rock sample using standard crushing, grinding, and separation on a Wilfley table and by heavy liquid techniques. Mineral separates were sorted by magnetic susceptibility using a Frantz<sup>™</sup> isodynamic separator and zircon grains were hand-picked and grouped on the basis of crystal morphology and quality using a binocular microscope. All zircon fractions analyzed were strongly air abraded

# Table 1. U-Pb ID-TIMS analytical data.

									Ist	otopic Ratic	)S <sup>6</sup>						Age	s (Ma) <sup>8</sup>			
Fraction <sup>1</sup>	<b>Description<sup>2</sup></b>	Wt. ug	n D	Pb <sup>3</sup>	$^{206}Pb^4$	$Pb^{\delta}$	208Pb	207Pb	±1SE Abs	<sup>206</sup> Pb	±1SE Abs	Corr.' Coeff.	<sup>207</sup> Pb <sup>206</sup> Pb	±1SE Abs	<sup>206</sup> Pb	±2SE	<sup>235</sup> U	±2SE	<sup>207</sup> Pb	±2SE	% Disc
SNB-06-017 (9	3251): Birchy Complex	metagabł	MTU) orc	NAD83,	zone 21, 56	53533E-55	544585N)														
A1 (Z; 14)	Co,Clr,Eu,El,fIn	22	43	4	459	11	0.20	0.73838	0.00391	0.09034	0.00012	0.556	0.05928	0.00028	557.5	1.4	561.5	4.6	577.4	20.3	3.6
A2 (Z; 14)	Co,Clr,Eu,El,fIn	31	46	ŝ	4819	2	0.31	0.73466	0.00101	0.09047	0.00010	0.834	0.05889	0.00004	558.3	1.2	559.3	1.2	563.1	3.3	0.9
B1 (Z; 10)	Co,Clr,Tab,Frag,fIn	21	87	6	295	37	0.23	0.73623	0.00404	0.09031	0.00015	0.665	0.05913	0.00027	557.4	1.8	560.2	4.7	571.7	19.7	2.6
B2 (Z; 24)	Co,Clr,Tab,Frag,fIn	21	93	6	2420	4	0.20	0.73511	0.00157	0.09048	0.00016	0.917	0.05893	0.00005	558.4	1.9	559.5	1.8	564.4	3.8	1.1
C1 (Z; 15)	Co,Clr,Eu,Pr,fIn	22	120	12	2162	└~	0.25	0.73410	0.00115	0.09034	0.00008	0.777	0.05893	0.00006	557.6	1.0	559.0	1.4	564.6	4.5	1.3
D1 (Z; 1)	pBr,Clr,Eu,Pr,fI	19	121	12	1026	13	0.21	0.73790	0.00184	0.09053	0.00010	0.597	0.05911	0.00012	558.7	1.1	561.2	2.2	571.2	8.9	2.3
Notes:																					
<sup>1</sup> Z=zircon. Nu	umber in bracket refers to	the numb	per of grai	ins in the a	analysis. All	l zircon gr	ains were l	ihysically ai	r abraded.												
<sup>2</sup> Fraction desci	riptions: Co=Colourless,	pBr=pale	brown, Cl	lr=Clear, I	3u=Euhedr	al, Pr=Pri	smatic, El-	=Elongate,	Frag=Fragn	nent, Tab=T	abular, fIn	=Few Inch	usions.								
<sup>3</sup> Radiogenic Pl	-0																				

Corrected for blank Pb and U and common Pb, errors quoted are 1 sigma absolute; procedural blank values for this study ranged from <0.1-0.1 pg for U and 0.5-2 pg for Pb; Pb blank isotopic composition is based on the analysis of procedural blanks; corrections for common Pb were made using Stacey and Kramers (1975) compositions

Correlation Coefficient

Total common Pb in analysis corrected for fractionation and spike

'Measured ratio, corrected for spike and fractionation

common Pb,

errors quoted are 2 sigma in Ma <sup>8</sup>Corrected for blank and

(Krogh 1982). U-Pb ID-TIMS techniques utilized in this study are modified after Parrish et al. (1987), with treatment of analytical errors following Roddick (1987). U-Pb ID-TIMS analytical results are presented in Table 1 and displayed in a concordia plot (Figure 5A). The concordia diagram was produced and concordia age was calculated, with decay-constant errors included, using Isoplot v. 3.00 (Ludwig 2003). Zircons for the

LA-ICPMS analyses were separated from the crushed samples by conventional means at the Department of Geology, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland. The sub-300 µm fraction was processed using a Gemeni (Rogers) mineral separation table, and then the heavy fraction was passed through a Frantz magnetic separator at 1 A. The non-paramagnetic portion was then placed in a filter funnel with di-iodomethane and the resulting heavy fraction passed again through the Frantz magnetic separator at full current. All zircons were then hand picked in ethanol using a binocular microscope, mounted in a 25 mm epoxy resin disk, and polished to reveal their grain interiors. The mounts were gold-coated and imaged using an FEI Quanta 400 SEM equipped with a solid-state, twin-segment BSE detector at the Micro-Analysis Facility at Memorial University, Newfoundland (MUN). A cathodoluminescence probe was used to image internal structures, overgrowths and zonation (Fig. 6 A, B, C).

Isotopic data were obtained by LA-ICPMS at the MicroAnalysis Facility at Memorial University, Newfoundland and closely follow the procedures outlined in Pollock et al. (2009). Zircons were ablated in situ using a Lambda Physik COMPexPro 110 ArF excimer laser operating at a

deep UV wavelength of 193 nm and a pulse width of 20 ns. A 10 µm laser beam was delivered to the sample surface and fired at a 10 Hz repetition rate using an energy density of 3 Jcm<sup>-2</sup>. During ablation the sample was mounted in a sealed sample chamber and moved beneath the laser to produce a square 40  $\mu$ m × 40  $\mu$ m pit, to minimize the depth of ablation and reduce laser-induced elemental fractionation at the ablation site. The ablated sample was flushed from the sample cell and transported to the ICPMS system using a helium carrier gas (Q = 1.3l/min), which reduces sample redeposition and elemental fractionation while increasing sensitivity for deep UV ablation. Mercury was filtered from the helium using gold-coated glass wool placed in the carrier gas line feeding the ablation cell. All analyses were performed by high-resolution ICPMS on a Finnigan Element XR system equipped with a dual-mode secondary electron multiplier operating in both counting and analogue modes. Data were collected using a 30 s measurement of the gas background before activation of the laser followed by 180 s of measurement with the laser on and zircon being ablated. The U and Pb isotopic ratios from the zircon were acquired along with a mixed <sup>203</sup>Tl-<sup>205</sup>Tl-<sup>209</sup>Bi-<sup>233</sup>U-<sup>237</sup>Np tracer solution (concentration of 10 ppb each) that was nebulized simultaneously with the ablated solid sample. Aspiration of the tracer solution allowed for a real-time instrument mass bias correction using the known isotopic ratios of the tracer solution measured while the sample was ablated; this technique is largely independent of matrix effects that can variably influence measured isotopic ratios and hence the resulting ages (Košler and Sylvester 2003).

Raw data for <sup>207</sup>Pb, <sup>206</sup>Pb, <sup>204</sup>Pb and <sup>238</sup>U were reduced using the macrobased spreadsheet program LAM-DATE (Košler et al. 2008). The <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb, <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U and <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>235</sup>U ratios were calculated and blank corrected for each analysis. Laser-induced U/Pb fractionation was typically less than 0.05% per a.m.u. based on repeat measurements of the 206Pb/238U ratio of the reference standards. This fractionation was corrected using the intercept method of Sylvester and Ghaderi

2013



**Figure 5**. A. Concordia diagram with U–Pb ID–TIMS zircon analyses from the Birchy Complex metagabbro. B. Gabbro was sampled in a low strain pod where original igneous texture is locally preserved.



**Figure 6.** A. Representative cathodoluminescence image of a zircon grain from sample DC 09/08-30. B, C. Representative cathodoluminescence images of zircon grains from sample DC 09/08-41. D. Concordia diagram for metagabbro sample DC 09/08-30 (LA–ICPMS). E. Concordia diagram for tuffaceous intermediate schist sample DC 09/08-41 (LA–ICPMS, U–Pb zircon). F. Weighted mean of the <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U ages for zircon from the tuffaceous schist (DC 09/08-41; LA–ICPMS). G. Relative probability plot for detrital zircon analyses in Flat Point Formation psammite (sample DC 09/08-34; LA–ICPMS).

(1997). For each analysis, time-resolved signals were inspected to ensure that only stable flat signal intervals were used in the age calculation. Measured <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb ratios were not interceptcorrected; instead, the average ratio of the ablation interval selected for the age calculation was used. Analyses were rejected from the final dataset where the <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb ratio calculated from the intercept-corrected <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U and <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>235</sup>U ratios did not fall within the  $1\sigma$  uncertainty of the measured average <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>207</sup>Pb ratio. Analyses that fell more than 5% above the <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U–<sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>235</sup>U concordia were also rejected. These two conservative filters ensured that any analyses that may have not been properly corrected for laser-induced U/Pb fractionation were eliminated from further consideration. High instrumental Hg backgrounds prohibited accurate measurement of <sup>204</sup>Pb. Thus, in the few analyses where <sup>204</sup>Pb was detected above background, the analysis was simply rejected from the dataset rather than attempting common Pb corrections.

Accuracy and reproducibility of U-Pb analyses in the MUN laboratory are routinely monitored by measurements of natural zircon standards of known U-Pb ID-TIMS age. To monitor the efficiency of mass bias and laser-induced fractionation corrections, standard reference materials 91500 zircon (1065 ± 3 Ma; Wiedenbeck et al. 1995) and Plešovice zircon  $(337.13 \pm 0.37 \text{ Ma}; \text{Sláma et al. } 2008)$ were analysed in this study before and after every eight unknowns. Age determinations were calculated using the U decay constants and the present-day  $^{238}$ U/ $^{235}$ U ratio of 137.88 of Jaffey et al. (1971). Final ages and concordia diagrams were produced using the Isoplot/Ex macro (Ludwig 2003). Analytical data are listed in Table 2 and illustrated graphically in Fig. 6. The concordia ages for all analyses of 91500 and Plešovice zircon performed over the course of this study were 1066.7  $\pm$ 5.3 Ma (n = 77) and 337.7  $\pm$  2.2 Ma (n = 52), respectively (95% confidence interval, with decay-constant errors included).

#### **Birchy Complex Metagabbro**

Metagabbro constitutes a significant component of the Birchy Complex

Ratio	Ch/U		0.464	0.040	1.739	1.058	2.160	1.327	0.726	/ 10.2	1.985	1.635	0.854	2.122		0.469	0.880	0.554	0.472	0.240	0.563	0.463	0.603	0.810	0.690	0.340 1 358	0.422	0.500	0.658	0.775	0.370	0.171	0.848	0.365	0.694	2012.U 2012.U	0.596	0.877	0.664	1.122 2.122	0.580	1.037	0.150	0.302	0.462	0.158	0.300	0.331	1.269	0.829	1.134
	L U882		137	218 756	251	327	124	326	208	C 6	172	234	202 172	720		76	17	44	57	113	60	142	243	66	15	4 7 2	63	278	67	217	75/27	193	70	152	198	00 801	78	331	26	29	170 223	52	278	122	274	302	79	781 01	01 118	52	35
ns nom	hT <sup>222</sup>		64	222 148	436	346	267	432	151	177	459	383	200 100	1528		ц С	15	24	27	27	34	66	147	22	C7 6	C4 F	27	139	44 ;	168	C/ 8C	33 2	60	55	137	- 22	6.4	290	17	33	98 10.2	54	42	37	127	48	24	258	150	43	39
ncentratio	rouzonuty ordance)		0.19	CC.U 74.0	0.57	0.95	0.84	0.70	0.43	0.80	0.82	0.49	0/0	0.96		0.04	0.05	0.75	0.75	0.76	0.69	0.00	0.20	0.38	0.29	0.84	0.83	0.07	0.07	0.76	0.05	0.18	0.31	0.09	0.35	0 <i>C</i> .U 70 0	0.76	0.31	0.58	0.74	0.16	0.59	0.91	0.78	0.95	0.13	0.70	0.52	0.62	0.55	0.41
Co MSWD P	of conce		1.71	0.53 0.53	0.33	0.00	0.04	0.15	0.63	0.00	c0.0	0.47	0.00	0.00		0.01	3.71	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.16	12.28	1.64	0./8	71.12	/ C.7	0.05	3.18	3.30	0.09	0.00	1.78	1.01	2.88	0.88	0.00	0.09	1.01	0.31	0.11	3 88	0.29	0.01	0.08	0.00	2.27	0.15	0.41	0.24	0.36	0.68
28 arror	20 CHU		24.74	32.128	27.87	55.80	37.72	21.45	26.44	20.02	CC.22	51.06	27.13	36.12		40.25	66.92	45.75	55.17	53.99	63.67	47.81	134.17	24.55	77.77	70.05	40.37	34.45	50.28	29.61	11.56	48.28	55.26	41.68	42.06	20.C/ 20.Th	40.38	32.49	66.65	139.30	61.76	55.68	37.82	46.00	28.50	67.79	44.36	17 567	32.17	42.60	60.78
Concordia	age (Ma)		557	50C	573	570	569	577	559	700	/ 90	2/2	040 895	540		1055	1318	1091	1486	1371	2906	2440	1519	8667	1104	1022	1353	1831	1047	1014	1142	1124	1063	1879	1119	CCU1 8776	1075	1602	1051	1559	1213	1091	1074	1919	1046	2677	1319	1020	1035	1364	1295
Ages 1_ph/ph_ph_	oncordancy		99 1	100	111	105	112	108	90 \$	80 0	66 00	2.6	98 2/	115		105	86	100	101	104	102	90	79	8 8	06 85	100	102	98	71	8 8	уу 115	C11 27	87	96	97 24	84 100	97	66	102	92	93 85	104	100	103	100	94	102	104	103	92	98
alculated	Ma c		58	61 8	24	25	30	18	37	69 6	77 2	36 26	6 5 2	16		74	39	31	22	16	6	~	6	01 S	67	7C	18	4	71	4 [	74	14	33	10	15	0 <del>1</del> 0 x	27	10	41	27	19	31	13	12	13	ŝ	23	6 <sup>0</sup>	20	31	29
Dh /200h	ru/ ru Ma		552	800 923	511	544	510	533	625	625	5/5	614 710	01/	472		1007	1496	1100	1483	1307	2881	2532	1832	1200	1209	1021	1336	1821	1453	1033	1011	1125	1203	1889	1132	2021	1111	1590	1045	1673	1059	1062	1070	1870	1050	2741	1297	989	1010	1487	1300
Server 20	Ma		15	7 70	19	33	22	13	14	<u>;</u>	13	2 L	c1 (	24		дС	39	26	41	41	75	50	87	C0 C0	78	0C	28	34	26	19	67	38 20	32	40	30	44 44	24	28	40	95	49	35	26	38	18	68	29	17	19	23	41
1 17857 AG	Ma Ma		547	CCC 173	565	571	571	574	563	200	200	200	24C	541		1056	1279	1095	1495	1361	2934	2288	1450	2906	1085	1024	1357	1779	1035	1011	1253	1086	1048	1822	1099	CCU1 7376	1079	1579	1063	1538	989 1243	1102	1072	1928	1046	2588	1326	1028	1040	1370	1272
1 <b>S</b> arror 2	Ma Ma		15	1/ 75	14	34	23	12	53	00 1	51 S	07 2	0 <del>0</del>	19		35	64	33	29	28	32	24	74	77	67.5	сс 35	52	17	57	17	9 X	24	38	21	22	84 74	25	16	44	L- 7	51	35.0	5 2	24	17	34	26	13	2 é	37	33
01Ph / 2351 1	гы/ U Ma		567	/ 0C	575	568	566	580	544	/ 00/1	200	580 1 1	0000 895	540		1054	1374	1084	1483	1373	2907	2439	1568	1126	1124	11/19	1351	1830	1146	1017	1141	1129	1090	1880	1126	7758	1071	1604	1036	1570	1325	1081	1075	1918	1045	2680	1314	1017	1028	1346	1306
18 arror	10 61101		0.000756	0100000	0.000625	0.000667	0.000795	0.000467	0.001036	0.000200	0.000609	0.00092	0.000671	0.000405	77 55457261	00000	0.0019	0.0012	0.0011	0.0007	0.0012	0.0007	0.0006	0.0013	0.0012	0.0011	0.0008	0.0005	0.0034	0.0005	0.000/0	0.0005	0.0013	0.0006	0.0006	6100'0	0.0010	0.0005	0.0015	0.0015	/000/0	0.0012	0.0005	0.0007	0.0005	0.0006	0.0010	0.0003	0.0007	0.0015	0.0013
207Dh / 206Dh	<b>L</b> D/ <b>L</b> D		0.058597	0.058793	0.057499	0.058388	0.057472	0.058090	0.060595	0.000000	6.019c0.0	0.060299	0.0632/0	0.056500	1 2111 05625	70000 012 F	0.0934	0.0762	0.0928	0.0846	0.2069	0.1674	0.1120	0.21/2	C080.0	0.0735	0.0859	0.1113	0.0913	0.0737	0.0757	0.0771	0.0803	0.1156	0.0774	0.1003	0.0766	0.0982	0.0741	0.1027	0.0747	0.0747	0.0750	0.1144	0.0743	0.1899	0.0842	0.0721	0.0728	0.0929	0.0843
pic Ratios	OTIN	84, 5544566]	0.409866	0.495501	0.530307	0.386319	0.390716	0.424851	0.240458	0.101.00	0.35250	0.375096	77/007.0 77/007.0	0.508338	CTUT TO T	0 <b>3331</b>	0.3020	0.2613	0.4158	0.4547	0.4795	0.5036	0.3604	0.486/	0.5284	0.19/0	0.3971	0.5401	0.1645	0.3874	0.3060	0.5293	0.2871	0.5126	0.4601	0.2004	0.3201	0.4959	0.3016	0.3594	0.5689	0.3338	0.4213	0.4160	0.3459	0.4472	0.3434	0.4251	0.3201	0.1923	0.4003
easured Isoto	10 61101	1 21U 056358	0.002462	0.00341/	0.003198	0.005597	0.003807	0.002217	0.002405	0.0022/0	0.00218/	0.003041	0.0024/5	0.004046	Incol Decol.	attung prook	0.0074	0.0048	0.0081	0.0079	0.0184	0.0111	0.0169	0.0158	0.0055	0.0047	0.0054	0.0070	0.0047	0.0034	010040	0.0069	0.0058	0.0082	0.0055	0.0108	0.0045	0.0056	0.0073	0.0186	0.0089	0.0064	0.0047	0.0079	0.0032	0.0159	0.0055	0.0031	0.0035	0.0045	0.0078
M6 206 Db, /2381 I	- /g.I	mplex [UTh	0.088479	0.092620	0.091637	0.092571	0.092612	0.093183	0.091211	0.091209	0.091/38	0.067700	0.00/000	0.087600	Josmotion D.	COLINATION, N. 0 1781	0.2195	0.1852	0.2611	0.2351	0.5763	0.4261	0.2522	5695.0 0.1020	0.1838 0.1832	0.1722	0.2344	0.3178	0.1742	0.1697	9691.0 0 2145	0.1835	0.1765	0.3267	0.1860	10/170	0.1823	0.2775	0.1794	0.2694	0.1659	0.1865	0.1810	0.3486	0.1762	0.4941	0.2283	0.1728	0.1750	0.2367	0.2182
18 arror	10 GILOI	ro, Birchy Co	0.025417	0.028/00	0.025046	0.058721	0.039254	0.021548	0.038838	0.0202U.U	0.025422	0.034060	0.0429/0	0.031903	The Delay D	, Flat Folmt F	0.1608	0.0947	0.1230	0.1057	0.5497	0.2604	0.3414	/ 564.0	0.08/6	0.0684	0.0812	0.1031	0.1727	0.0451	0.07	0.0727	0.1093	0.1307	0.0654	0.15/0	0.0718	0.0779	0.1193	0.3558	0.0852	0.0970	0.0581	0.1537	0.0473	0.4670	0.0926	0.0358	0.0552	0.1367	0.1172
235T 1	- LD/ - O	0, metagabbi	0.748647	0.722130	0.761270	0.750346	0.746188	0.769699	0.708233	0.720089	0./22/09	0.//0513	0.740213	0.702248	A second the	4, psamme: 1 8240	2.8681	1.9069	3.3086	2.8646	16.5086	10.0423	3.6834	1/.380/	2.0259	2.104 <i>5</i> 1 7266	2.7844	5.0652	2.0908	1.7220	00/07	2.0400	1.9266	5.3691	2.0297	14 1 285	1.8703	3.8513	1.7739	3.6944	1.8018 2.6861	1.9000	1.8825	5.6124	1.7986	13.0057	2.6462	1.7230 2.6061	1.7534	2.7646	2.6198
Analysis	sistimity	DC 09/08-3	oc26a88	0026a89	oc26a91	oc26a92	oc26a93	oc26a94	no03a33	noU2a24	c232000	noU3a3/	noU3a38	no03a43	DC 00 /06 3	DC 09/00-3	oc27a64	oc27a65	oc27a66	oc27a67	oc27a68	oc27a72	oc27a74	0002/a/2	0CZ/a/0	0C2/a//	oc27a82	oc27a83	oc27a84	0c27a85	062/250	0c2/a90	oc27a93	oc27a94	0c27a95	062/298	oc27a100	oc27a101	oc27a102	oc27a103	0c27a106	0c2/a10/ oc27a108	oc27a109	oc27a110	oc27a113	oc27a114	oc27a115	0c27a116	oc27a121	oc27a122	oc27a123

Table 2. LA-ICPMS U–Pb zircon data, samples DC 09/08-30, DC 09/08-34, DC 09/08-41.

2013

101

41	
<u> </u>	
6/	
0	
ğ	
¥.	
8-8	
D/C	
00	
Ď	
Ó,	
8-3	
0/	
60	
S	
ss I	
ple	
anc	
a, s	
dat	
uc	
irco	
b z	
-Pl	
D	
MS	
CP	
<b>√</b> -I	
L/	
(pə	
tinu	
Jon.	
))	
e 2	
plu	
Ĩ	

Σ

Analysis	0.82/q <b>4</b>	18 error	Ust2/d <b>f</b> 206	16 error	Rho	<sup>207</sup> Ե/206Pb	1 <b>8</b> error <sup>207</sup> P	b/™U 1δ.	rror 206 Pb	.∕²™U 1 <b>δ</b> e	rror <sup>207</sup> Pb	/ <sup>20</sup> Pb 18 e	rror U-Pb/J	Pb-Pb Co	ncordia 28	error N	ASWD Probabi	ility pp	udd m	Ratio	
								Ma	Ma	Ma	Ma	Ma	Ma concor	dancy a	ge (Ma)	Ma	(of concordance	ce) <sup>232</sup> T	J <sup>822</sup> H	Th/U	
DC 09/08-3	4, psammite,	, Flat Point l	Formation, F	Aattling Brook	Group [UT]	A 21U 056357	7 5545736] (Co	ntinued)													
oc27a124	2.5822	0.0786	0.2195	0.0055	0.4097	0.0844	0.0006	1296	22	1279	29	1302	14	98	1291	41.56	0.34	0.56	78 18	0.412	
oc27a125	1.8966	0.0656	0.1807	0.0043	0.3432	0.0761	0.0007	1080	23	1071	23	1098	18	98	1075	38.13	0.12	0.73 4	41 12	0.323	
oc27a126	1.8027	0.0467	0.1714	0.0039	0.4346	0.0732	0.0007	1046	17	1020	21	1018	18	100	1038	31.58	1.64	0.20 25	59 18	1.433	
oc27a129	1.8451 2.0552	0.0562	0.1826	0.0038	0.3456	0.0746	0.0005	1062 1124	5 2	1081	21	1057	14	102	1071	33.46 20 72	0.67	0.41 11	14 28	0.406	
0CZ/a130	2.0000 1.5457	0.2101	0.1004	0.0100	0.2070	0.0720	0.0019	949	17 24	1052	07 LC	986	11	107	1023	07.81	1 39	0.24		0.02.0	
oc27a132	2.1666	0.1084	0.1990	0.0056	0.2796	0.0830	0.0008	1170	35	1170	30	1270	19	92	1170	51.20	0.00	0.99	, 17 14	0.489	
oc27a133	1.6923	0.0798	0.1629	0.0058	0.3767	0.0730	0.0012	1006	30	973	32	1013	34	96	066	51.82	0.89	0.35 (	59 3	1.917	
oc27a134	11.7766	0.3566	0.4572	0.0133	0.4804	0.1832	0.0007	2587	28	2427	59	2682	9	91	2585	56.75	9.78	0.00 12	24.	0.532	
oc29a06	3.3084	0.1129	0.2512	0.0049	0.2879	0.0922	0.0011	1483	27	1445	25	1471	22	98	1462	42.06	1.51	0.22 15	20	1.235	
oc29a07	5.6723	0.3871	0.3161	0.0176	0.4080	0.1330	0.0015	1927	59	1771	86 27	2137	20	83	1890	115.57	3.65	0.06	31 33	0.885	
0029408	1.9222	0.0/8/	0.1842	0.0064	0.4227	0.0/4/	0.0006	1089	7/	0601	00	1001	C1 7	103	1089	50.74	0.00	20.08	20	0.130	
0C29aU9 00-10	1162.61	0.2041	0.0000	1610.0	0.4015	0.1948	0.0013	1101	сс с	1175	67	2/85	11	103	2825	40.0/	0.04	00.0	201	786.0	
0029a10 0629a21	2.2000 1 8340	0.2775	0.2000	0.0003	0.1035	0.0725	0.0018	1058	76 8	c/11	02 02	000	50 50	114 114	11/8	40.00 70.00	10.0	0.34	ο 1 0	0.446	
0c29a22	2.4662	0.1225	7902.0	0.0095	0.4605	0.0883	0.0010	1262	36	1211	0 1 2	1390	oc 12	87	1251	69.60	1.20	10.0	11	0.232	
oc29a23	12.2608	0.2644	0.4763	0.0100	0.4859	0.1818	0.0008	2625	20	2511	44	2669	- 1-	94	2626	40.45	9.01	00.0	22	0.406	
oc29a24	1.9230	0.0998	0.1890	0.0058	0.2942	0.0749	0.0008	1089	35	1116	31	1066	21	105	1104	52.49	0.47	0.49	36 11	0.312	
oc29a25	1.6827	0.1477	0.1691	0.0085	0.2862	0.0741	0.0015	1002	56	1007	47	1045	42	96	1005	81.11	0.01	0.93	14	0.486	
oc29a26	14.8079	0.3653	0.5382	0.0148	0.5574	0.1931	0.0014	2803	23	2776	62	2769	12	100	2806	45.77	0.27	0.61	31 5.	0.570	
oc29a30	1.8261	0.1011	0.1792	0.0050	0.2513	0.0757	0.0011	1055	36	1062	27	1088	30	98	1060	48.40	0.04	0.85	35 6.	0.562	
oc29a31	3.1407	0.1021	0.2388	0.0063	0.4038	0.0940	0.0007	1443	25	1381	33	1508	14	92	1424	47.04	3.75	0.05 (	56 14	0.440	
oc29a32	1.8222	0.1430	0.1754	0.0109	0.3970	0.0742	0.0014	1053	51	1042	60	1046	38	100	1049	92.16	0.04	0.85 2	28 3.	0.851	
oc29a33	5.1087	0.1123	0.3129	0.0067	0.4862	0.1151	0.0005	1838	19	1755	33	1882	8	93	1832	37.34	8.29	0.00 10	55 26	0.633	
oc29a35	3.2374	0.1212	0.2538	0.0071	0.3734	0.0892	0.0010	1466	29	1458	36	1408	22	104	1463	52.93	0.05	0.82	44	0.709	
oc29a40	2.8178	0.1091	0.2369	0.0073	0.3960	0.0873	0.0007	1360	29	1370	38	1366	15	100	1363	53.73	0.07	0.79 4	t2 11:	0.376	
oc29a41	4.1211	0.1180	0.2924	0.0080	0.4756	0.1004	0.0006	1659	23	1654	40	1632	12	101	1658	46.41	0.02	0.89 19	33 15	1.227	
oc29a43	1.8251	0.1001	0.1832	0.0069	0.3448	0.0749	0.0007	1055	36 20	1084	38	1066	18	102	1068	60.02	0.50	0.48	55 16 16	0.341	
oc29a44	4.2698	0.1016	0.2912	0.0072	0.5215	0.1027	0.0008	1688	20	1648	36	1674	14	86 8	1687	39.15	1.69 2.63	0.19 (	10.	0.588	
0029349	2000.2	0.1246	0.2227	0.0054	0.468/	0.0848	0.0010	1519	55 16	1296	10	1510	25 1 8	96 f	1515	6/.40	0.23	0.03	20 0	0.84/	
0029450	1.8438	0.0059	0.1808	1600.0	0.5/08	0.0/49	0.000/0	1001	C7 -	10/1	Ω7 7	1000	5 r	101	C001	40.18 20 E E	71.0	0./0	0/ TQ	700.0	
0629451	8670.0	867U.U	100000	00000	0.4000	2711.U	0.000.0	1304	10 10	1855	17	1840	71 6	101	1100	01.00	1/.0	0.40 1.0	0.5	0.000	
0029432	2012.2 7535 C	0.05770	0.1902	0.0054	0.41/0	0.070.0	00000	1102	00 01	1170	0¢	1107	10	66 90	1106	35 50	20.1	0.20	27 62	0.422	
002/433	3 5144	0.1401	0.2523	0.0085	100.0	0.1044	0.000.0	1530	30	1451	44	1703	11	с с С	1511	CL 09	595	0.06 20.0		0.101	
0c29a59	1.9174	0.0347	0.1798	0.0028	0.4322	0.0771	0.0003	1087	12	1066	15	1123	6	95	1081	22.60	2.02	0.15	13 89	0.048	
oc29a60	4.8510	0.1436	0.3267	0.0078	0.4041	0.1090	0.0008	1794	25	1822	38	1783	13	102	1799	47.88	0.62	0.43 13	31 12	1.094	
oc29a61	2.4333	0.1563	0.2164	0.0082	0.2949	0.0830	0.0016	1252	46	1263	43	1270	38	66	1258	71.86	0.04	0.85 2	25 1:	1.357	
oc29a62	2.8265	7660.0	0.2326	0.0068	0.4158	0.0895	0.0008	1363	26	1348	36	1415	16	95	1359	49.94	0.18	0.67 11	11 17	0.633	
oc29a66	3.2607	0.0979	0.2551	0.0064	0.4209	0.0926	0.0006	1472	23	1465	33	1480 1410	12	99	1470	44.59 50.40	0.05	0.83	78 19.	0.399	
0C29a0/	5.1044	6971.0	CCC7.U	C2000	c104.0	CK8U.U	6000.0	4C41	70	1400	<del>1</del>	1412	19	104	144Z	64.6C	/ C.U	7 CH.U	C,	4CC.U 0	
DC 09/08-4	1, intermedi:	ate tuff, Birc	hy Complex	[UTM 21U 05	63439 55434 0.750680	93] 0.064830	0.001206	610	33	0 1 1	7	092	30	47	560	06.96	06.9	100	- - -	10,69	
oc26a07	0.743181	0.078960	0.091079	0.001849	0.260512	0.059968	0.001200	564	01	562	+	602	38	C 03	562	02.02	0.070	10.0	1 2	100.00	
oczoao/ oc26a06	0.749850	0.0202050	0.088876	0.001943	0 299477	0.059406	0.000763	568	16	549	12	582	28	04	100 100	21.08	1.33	0.25 1	5 C	1 100	
oc26a14	0.767419	0.032971	0.088144	0.002407	0.317813	0.062152	0.001013	578	19	545	14	679	35	80	554	26.07	2.87	60.0	5 12	0.630	
oc26a08	0.760799	0.055720	0.091238	0.004279	0.320149	0.059689	0.001394	574	32	563	25	592	51	95	567	45.45	0.12	0.73 2	25 4	0.614	
oc26a09	0.789201	0.034594	0.090106	0.003948	0.499786	0.059080	0.000941	591	20	556	23	570	35	98	579	36.77	2.52	0.11 4	19 8	0.612	
oc26a10	0.774941	0.031345	0.090921	0.002929	0.398260	0.058452	0.000740	583	18	561	17	547	28	103	571	29.58	1.24	0.27	00	0.725	
oc26a13	0.726984	0.028370	0.091668	0.001784	0.249333	0.058351	0.000993	555	17	565	11	543	37	104	563	19.57	0.38	0.54 2	13 6	0.667	
oc26a15	0.733357	0.016861	0.089459	0.001241	0.301566	0.059093	0.000557	559	10	552		571	21	76	554	13.34	0.35	0.55 21	1 23	0.903	
oc26a16	0./16995	0.042/25	0.092639	0.002550	0.251528	0.057619	0.000962	549	c7 F	5/1	15	515	5/	111	566	28.15	0.73	0.39 4	9 i 1 1	0.638	
осдба18	0.777389	0.023014	0.091645	0.001819	0.335172	0.059508	0.000567	584	<u>1</u> 1	565	× 1	586	21 21	nد 16	572	19.19	1.80	0.18 11 0.18 11	13 5 14 5 14 5	0.776	
oc26a23	0.700425	0.020662	0.088515	0.001913	0.366297	0.057740	0.000425	539	12	547	11	520	16	105	543	19.46	0.33	0.56 52	31 38	1.399	
oc26a24	0.702273	0.046426	0.089618	0.003040	0.256582	0.058450	0.001234	540	28	553	18	547	46	101	550	33.26	0.21	0.65 2	21 3.	0.625	
																			ľ	ontinued	

lotol.

4
ò
$^{\circ}$
6
0
$\odot$
Õ
4
1
80
$\leq$
6
$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$
Q
Д
<u> </u>
30
~
30
$\leq$
6
$\tilde{\Box}$
ğ
Ц
S
le
d
Ц
S
ۍ
lt,
q
d
5
Ŋ
.12
2
T
Ď
$\sim$
Ţ
6
$\sim$
H
Į-∱
[-A-]
LA-I
ed LA-I
ided LA-I
cluded) LA-I
ncluded) LA-I
Concluded LA-I
(Concluded) LA-I
2. (Concluded) LA-I
2. (Concluded) LA-I
ole 2. (Concluded) LA-I
able 2. (Concluded) LA-I

	TTRE DEFE	ų	Me Meri	easured Isotoj	oic Ratios	10000 FULL	<b>N</b>	17861 10	PC 200	34 1 Bit/		Calc	ulated Ages			T C C C C C	Concentral	tions		e P	
Analysis	0 / Q.J	10 error		IO error	Kho	a4/a4	10 error	or n_/a	error "Fr	Ma No.	Ma Ma	Ma Io	error U-Pb/Pb-Pb Ma concordancy	Loncordi	a 20 err	or Mow L	J Probabili	ty ppm	11sz	Th/II	
								TAT	DIA	TAT	1110	TAT	INTEL CONTROL MENTA	מצר (יוויי		101 01			°		
DC 09/08-	41, intermedi	ate tuff, Birci	y Complex [	UTM 21U 05	63439 55434	93] (Conclude	<i>(</i> )														
oc26a25	0.675258	0.034851	0.090432	0.002014	0.215798	0.057422	0.001028	524	21	558	12	508	39 110	55	2 22	34 2.4	9 0.1	11 47	57	0.824	
oc26a26	0.741030	0.030417	0.091461	0.002385	0.317586	0.057932	0.000821	563	18	564	14	527	31 107	56	4 25.	17 0.0	0.0	5 44	67	0.652	
oc26a27	0.671069	0.050595	0.094381	0.002849	0.200171	0.058588	0.001469	521	31	581	17	552	55 105	57	0 31.	42 3.6	20.0	06 16	26	0.626	
oc26a60	0.715514	0.047778	0.091133	0.002744	0.225458	0.058005	0.001275	548	28	562	16	530	48 106	56	0 30.	48 0.2	4 0.6	53 39	46	0.847	
oc26a32	0.780270	0.044683	0.088950	0.002723	0.267311	0.064275	0.001124	586	25	549	16	751	37 73	55	7 30.2	30 1.8	7 0.1	17 36	55	0.651	
oc26a33	0.704265	0.037609	0.090375	0.002730	0.282857	0.059556	0.001031	541	22	558	16	587	38 95	55	3 29.	29 0.4	6.0	40 40	60	0.670	
oc26a34	0.791575	0.051608	0.092389	0.003199	0.265538	0.064599	0.001272	592	29	570	19	761	42 75	57	5 35.	24 0.5	4 0.4	46 34	49	0.692	
oc26a35	0.706621	0.042117	0.087966	0.003812	0.363516	0.055615	0.001073	543	25	543	23	437	43 124	54	3 39.	12 0.0	0.0	98 34	51	0.664	
oc26a40	0.679107	0.081440	0.092309	0.004708	0.212627	0.059660	0.002015	526	49	569	28	591	73 96	56	1 52.0	00 0.7:	2 0.3	39 8	15	0.508	
oc26a41	0.755696	0.032796	0.089547	0.002791	0.359072	0.059666	0.001010	572	19	553	17	591	37 93	56	0 29.	0.8.	5 0.3	36 56	68	0.835	
oc26a42	0.731216	0.023199	0.087335	0.001794	0.323692	0.059941	0.000707	557	14	540	11	601	26 90	54	5 19.	21 1.4	0.2	22 167	186	0.895	
oc26a43	0.778008	0.035987	0.091200	0.002380	0.282121	0.062746	0.001030	584	21	563	14	700	35 80	56	8 26.	03 1.0	2 0.3	31 78	64	1.227	
oc26a44	0.699833	0.045824	0.092321	0.002405	0.198960	0.058927	0.001223	539	27	569	14	564	45 101	56	4 26.	90 1.2	0.2	27 28	46	0.616	
oc26a45	0.722453	0.031500	0.089960	0.002569	0.327442	0.058325	0.000983	552	19	555	15	542	37 102	55	4 26.	95 0.0	3 0.8	87 44	62	0.712	
oc26a50	0.620816	0.051593	0.089410	0.003106	0.208999	0.055382	0.001253	490	32	552	18	428	50 129	53	9 34.	22 3.4	9.0	06 24	36	0.665	
oc26a51	0.731366	0.043981	0.089277	0.002753	0.256370	0.060430	0.001303	557	26	551	16	619	47 89	55	3 30.	40 0.0	5 0.8	32 19	35	0.541	
oc26a52	0.771524	0.029189	0.091961	0.001820	0.261550	0.060074	0.000955	581	17	567	11	606	34 94	57	0 20.	0.6	0.4	14 53	65	0.819	
oc26a53	0.762097	0.036612	0.090949	0.002907	0.332619	0.058895	0.001006	575	21	561	17	563	37 100	56	6 30.	58 0.3	9.0	53 59	56	1.060	
oc26a54	0.770081	0.038046	0.089085	0.002831	0.321581	0.060267	0.001083	580	22	550	17	613	39 90	55	9 30.	47 1.6	7 0.2	20 69	68	1.020	
oc26a55	0.669276	0.039172	0.085227	0.002542	0.254837	0.059681	0.000918	520	24	527	15	592	33 89	52	6 28.	0.0 0.0	8 0.7	78 41	93	0.437	
oc26a59	0.701888	0.044106	0.090499	0.002724	0.239506	0.056887	0.001321	540	26	558	16	487	51 115	55	4 29.	99 0.4	7 0.5	50 31	39	0.785	
oc26a61	0.703381	0.043312	0.086791	0.002695	0.252113	0.059979	0.001294	541	26	537	16	603	47 89	53	7 29.	91 0.0	3.0.8	87 23	54	0.432	
oc26a62	0.696463	0.041980	0.091424	0.001842	0.167119	0.059766	0.001374	537	25	564	11	595	50 95	56	1 20.	96 1.1	5 0.2	28 39	62	0.632	
oc26a63	0.769094	0.030857	0.091282	0.002104	0.287301	0.059292	0.000904	579	18	563	12	578	33 97	56	7 22.	38 0.7	5 0.3	38 68	102	0.661	
oc26a64	0.718902	0.042114	0.088834	0.002514	0.241542	0.059467	0.001142	550	25	549	15	584	42 94	54	9 27.	94 0.0	0.0	96 75	70	1.068	
oc26a68	0.707118	0.029444	0.090099	0.001946	0.259408	0.057766	0.000758	543	18	556	12	521	29 107	55	3 21.	24 0.5	2 0.4	47 68	6	0.750	
oc26a69	0.717865	0.016995	0.088569	0.001450	0.345783	0.057558	0.000465	549	10	547	6	513	18 107	54	8 15.	10 0.0	2 0.8	33 444	348	1.277	
oc26a70	0.776971	0.044480	0.090183	0.003074	0.297659	0.060744	0.001265	584	25	557	18	630	45 88	56	4 33.	45 1.0	4 0.3	31 38	46	0.818	
oc26a71	0.674801	0.050771	0.088672	0.003076	0.230495	0.057346	0.001021	524	31	548	18	505	39 108	54	3 34.	0.5	8 0.4	45 41	65	0.626	
oc26a72	0.733719	0.051124	0.089279	0.003468	0.278763	0.062670	0.001391	559	30	551	21	697	47 79	55	3 37.	34 0.0	6 0.8	31 30	44	0.691	
oc26a73	0.628764	0.060593	0.090248	0.004225	0.242877	0.055096	0.001374	495	38	557	25	416	56 134	54	0 45.4	43 2.4	7 0.1	12 17	28	0.597	
oc26a78	0.715515	0.039154	0.088667	0.002555	0.263286	0.059024	0.001038	548	23	548	15	568	38 96	54	8 28.	0.0	0.0	99 42	57	0.737	
oc26a79	0.719780	0.038476	0.089343	0.002809	0.294131	0.059194	0.000974	551	23	552	17	574	36 96	55	1 30.	23 0.0	0.0	96 48	68	0.701	
oc26a80	0.703541	0.039155	0.092311	0.002649	0.257796	0.058389	0.001055	541	23	569	16	544	39 105	56	2 28.	53 1.3.	5 0.2	25 40	58	0.696	
oc26a81	0.657010	0.041842	0.089977	0.002625	0.229079	0.055127	0.001069	513	26	555	16	417	43 133	54	6 28.	79 2.6	0.1	11 35	54	0.643	
oc26a82	0.767298	0.037891	0.088937	0.002621	0.298440	0.062013	0.001109	578	22	549	16	675	38 81	55	7 28.	51 1.6	1 0.2	20 28	42	0.651	
oc26a83	0.675687	0.055658	0.092485	0.003183	0.208934	0.056645	0.001368	524	34	570	19	478	53 119	56	1 35.	19 1.7	8 0.1	18 27	38	0.718	

mafic schists in Coachman's Harbour (Fig. 4A). The strain is heterogeneous and low-strain pods locally preserve primary igneous subophitic textures that are pseudomorphed by greenschist to albite - amphibolite facies mineral assemblages (Fig. 5B). Two samples of metagabbro were collected in Coachman's Harbour (Fig. 2). They comprise a relatively weakly strained, coarse-grained leucogabbro pod described in detail in Hibbard (1983, p. 49) (SNB-06-017; Fig. 5) and the enveloping schistose metagabbro (DC 09/08-30; Fig. 6). The low-strain leucogabbro (sample SNB-06-017, z9251) yielded abundant high quality zircon grains (100 to 200 µm) including delicate elongate crystals, prismatic grains, and flat, tabular fragments. Six single-grain and multigrain fractions were analyzed using U-Pb ID-TIMS. All six analyses overlap on concordia and each other (Table 1; Figure 4A) and yield a weighted average <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U age of  $558.0 \pm 0.5$  Ma (mean square weighted deviation (MSWD) = 0.73; probability of fit = 0.60). A concordia age, with decay-constant errors included, is calculated to be 558.3  $\pm$  0.7 Ma (MSWD of concordance and equivalence = 1.5, probability = 0.14, n = 6). The age of  $558.3 \pm 0.7$  Ma (Fig. 5A) is interpreted to be the crystallization age of the Birchy Complex leucogabbro.

The schistose metagabbro (DC 09/08-30) yielded a homogenous population of short prismatic zircons up to 100 µm. Cathodoluminescence imaging revealed homogenous grain interiors or oscillatory idiomorphic growth zoning (e.g. Fig. 6A) and common very thin (< 10  $\mu$ m) low U rims. LA-ICPMS analysis of the cores of fourteen zircon grains yielded a concordia age of  $563.9 \pm 7.5$  Ma (MSWD = 0.89; Fig. 6D), interpreted to represent the crystallization age of the metagabbro. This age is within analytical uncertainty of the ID-TIMS U–Pb zircon age.

#### **Tuffaceous Schist**

The Birchy Complex mafic to intermediate schist was sampled along the coast ca. 1 km south of Coachman's Harbour. The sampled locality is characterized by a thin, brown-weathering, tuffaceous schist of mafic to intermediate composition (DC09/08-41) cut by gabbroic sheets (Fig. 4E). The zircon population comprises small (between 75–150 µm in diameter), stubby prismatic zircons, with aspect ratios between 1.5 and 3.0. The grains typically exhibited only minor rounding, but were commonly fractured. Cathodoluminescence imaging revealed predominantly homogenous grain interiors (Fig. 6B) displaying local oscillatory idiomorphic growth zoning (e.g. Fig. 6C). LA-ICPMS analysis of 51 spots on separate grains yielded a concordia age of 556.3  $\pm$  3.6 Ma and a weighted mean  ${}^{206}\text{Pb}/{}^{238}\text{U}$  age of 556.4  $\pm$  3.5 Ma (MSWD = 0.61; Fig. 6E, F). This is within analytical uncertainty of the ID-TIMS and LA-ICPMS U-Pb zircon ages of the intrusive metagabbros. suggesting that all mafic to intermediate schists of the Birchy Complex are consanguineous and have a Late Ediacaran age of ca. 558 Ma.

#### **Flat Point Formation Psammite**

The Flat Point Formation was formerly included with the Rattling Brook Group, but following Kennedy (1971) is interpreted as a stratigraphic cover to the Birchy Complex. A psammite of the Flat Point Formation (DC 09/08-34) was sampled for U-Pb detrital zircon analysis. In general, most of the detrital zircons analyzed (Fig. 6G) yield Mesoproterozoic ages (1.0 - 1.5 Ga), and display a prominent Grenvillian peak typical of the Laurentian basement widely exposed in the Grenville province of southern Labrador and unconformably underlying parts of the Humber margin in western Newfoundland (Heaman et al. 2002; Gower et al. 2008). Smaller Paleoproterozoic (1.8 – 2.0 Ga) and Neoarchean (2.4 - 3.0 Ga)peaks are also consistent with a proximal Laurentian provenance. The youngest detrital zircon yielded a U-Pb concordia age of  $990 \pm 52$  Ma.

Metamorphosed correlatives of the Fleur de Lys rocks in west-central Newfoundland (Hibbard 1988) yield a very similar Precambrian age distribution that corresponds closely to those measured by Cawood and Nemchin (2001).

# GEOCHEMISTRY OF THE BIRCHY SCHIST

#### **Analytical Methods**

Mafic and ultramafic rocks prefaced by DC were analyzed for major oxides and trace elements by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectroscopy using a Phillips PW 1400 at the Centre d'Analyses Minérale, University of Lausanne, Switzerland (Table 3). Samples were fused with lithium borate and analysed for their major, trace element and rare-earth element concentrations by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICPOES) and ICPMS (Thermo X-Series) at OMAC Laboratories, County Galway, Ireland. Where there are both ICP and XRF data for the same element, the ICP data are generally preferred, particularly for elements with low abundances such as U, Pb, Th, Ba, and the rare-earth elements (REEs) (Table 3). Samples prefaced by SNB were fused with lithium borate and analysed for their major, trace element and rare-earth element concentrations by ICPOES and ICPMS at Activation Laboratories in Ancaster, Ontario (Table 4).

A subset of samples was selected for Nd isotopic analysis utilizing a Thermo – Finnigan Triton T1 thermal ionization mass spectrometer at Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario (Table 5). REE fractions were dissolved in 0.26N HCl and loaded onto Eichrom Ln Resin chromatographic columns containing Teflon powder coated with HDEHP (di(2-ethylhexyl) orthophosphoric acid; Richard et al. 1976). Nd was eluted using 0.26N HCl, followed by Sm in 0.5N HCl. Total procedural blanks for Nd are < 50picograms, and < 6 picograms for Sm. Samples were spiked with a mixed <sup>148</sup>Nd-<sup>149</sup>Sm spike prior to dissolution. Concentrations are precise to +/- 1%, while <sup>147</sup>Sm/<sup>144</sup>Nd ratios are reproducible to 0.5%. Samples were loaded with  $H_3PO_4$  on one side of a Re double filament, and run at temperatures of 1700-1800° C. Isotope ratios are normalized to  ${}^{146}Nd/{}^{144}Nd =$ 0.72190. Analyses of the USGS standard BCR-1 yield Nd = 29.02 ppm, Sm = 6.68 ppm, and <sup>143</sup>Nd/<sup>144</sup>Nd = 0.512668 + / - 20 (n=4). The international La Jolla standard

yielded <sup>143</sup>Nd/<sup>144</sup>Nd = 0.511847 +/-7, n = 26 (February 2005 – June 2007). Internal lab Nd standard yielded 0.511819 +/- 10 n = 94 (February 2005 – August 2009) and 0.511823 +/-12 n = 65 (October 2010 – July 2012).

#### **Birchy Complex Mafic Rocks**

Hibbard (1983) determined that mafic rocks of the Birchy Complex are tholeiitic (Fig. 7A) and have a strong affinity with MORB. Although there is an apparent overlap with mafic rocks in the structurally overlying Baie Verte oceanic tract (BVOT), Hibbard (1983) observed that the Birchy Complex greenschists are commonly slightly enriched in TiO<sub>2</sub> compared to the adjacent BVOT rocks of the Advocate Complex. Overall, analysis of the Birchy Complex mafic rocks confirms the observations of Hibbard (1983). Two geochemical suites of mafic rocks can be readily identified on the basis of major and trace element data. All mafic rocks have relatively flat rare-earth element patterns on a MORB-normalised diagram, but the first suite (metagabbro) is characterized by lower TiO<sub>2</sub>, Zr depletion and Eu enrichment on a MORB-normalized profile (Fig. 7B). The second suite, consisting of typically fine-grained mafic rocks interpreted as metabasalt, is characterized by higher TiO<sub>2</sub>, small to negligible Nb and La anomalies, and enrichment of Th relative to Nb (Fig. 7B). Both suites plot in the MORB field adjacent to the field of backarc basin basalt on a La-Y-Nb tectonic discrimination diagram (Fig. 7C). Sm-Nd isotopic analyses vielded εNd values of +7.4 and +7.2 respectively (Fig. 8).

#### **Birchy Complex Intermediate Tuff**

A sample of intermediate tuffaceous schist plots in the dacite – rhyolite field on a Zr/Ti vs. Nb/Y diagram (Fig. 7A). The sample is characterized by slight light REE enrichment, positive Zr and Hf anomalies, and negative Eu and Ti anomalies (Fig. 7B). Similar to the mafic rocks, the intermediate tuff lacks prominent La and Nb anomalies but has a strong Th enrichment. It plots in the ocean ridge granite field on granitoid tectonic discrimination plots of Pearce et al. (1984; not shown). Sm–Nd isotopic analysis of the tuff yielded ɛNd value of +7.5 (Fig. 8).

Table 3. Whole rock geochemical data (major, trace and	rare earth elements) for the Birchy Complex (samples prefaced by
DC). ICP and XRF data are provided for the each samp	le. See text for methods used.

Sample Description	DC 09/08/21 metabasalt	DC 09/08/22 metabasalt	DC 09/08/23 coticule	DC 09/08/24 serpentinite	DC 09/08/25 serpentinite	DC 09/08/26 metabasalt	DC 09/08/27 metabasalt	DC 09/08/29 metabasalt	DC 09/08/30 metabasalt	CD 09/08/31 metabasalt
Symbol <sup>1</sup> Latitude Longitude	1 50.05297 -56.09827	1 50.05067 -56.10709	NP 50.05002 -56.10681	3 50.04832 -56.10826	3 50.04852 -56.10816	2 50.05017 -56.11256	2 50.05018 -56.11231	2 50.05001 -56.11176	2 50.04999 -56.11186	1 50.05061 -56.11373
ICP-OES, ICP-MS										
SiO <sub>2</sub> (wt%)	48.18	43.76	43.66	55.76	36.87	48.47	49.97	48.51	49.51	47.33
CaO	10.57	8.08	2.75	12.52	1.21	12.75	14.88	10.15	11.48	8.85
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.24	0.34	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.01
$K_2O$	0.01	0.58	1.77	0.38	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.14
MgO MgO	7.08	7.57	2.74	21.49	38.16	9.47	8.17	6.97	6.67	6.43
Na <sub>2</sub> O	1.17	2.94	0.60	0.85	1.09	3.55	4.59	4.82	4.91	4.00
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.20	0.17	0.17	< 0.01	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.08	0.30
LOI (1000°C)	2.91	3.16	4.74	2.21	12.82	2.33	2.46	2.23	1.95	2.32
Ba (ppm)	4.92	26.40 14.63	238.54 453.70	50.60	0.92	4.45	6.58	4.29	5.30 4.80	8.31
Dy	6.33	6.76	13.05	<0.1	<0.1	2.82	2.81	3.75	2.66	9.35
Er Fu	4.34 1.41	4.45 1.54	6.58 3.19	<0.1	<0.1	1.94	1.87	2.55	1.76	6.26
Ga	18.90	18.95	12.88	3.40	2.08	14.70	14.44	14.83	14.44	19.77
Gd Hf	5.05 2.33	5.60 2.84	18.88	<0.1	<0.1	2.12	2.11	2.92 1.11	2.05	7.99 4.46
Но	1.46	1.51	2.46	<0.1	<0.1	0.65	0.63	0.87	0.59	2.12
La Lu	5.02 0.68	5.15 0.64	105.19	<0.5 <0.1	<0.5 <0.1	1.30	1.47 0.28	2.10	1.66 0.27	7.81
Nb	3.98	4.04	7.88	< 0.5	<0.5	1.13	1.22	1.75	1.25	6.38
Nd Pr	11.40 2.19	12.46	98.68 25.98	<0.5 <0.1	<0.5 <0.1	3.88 0.69	4.10	5.51 1.01	4.28	18.88
Rb	< 0.5	14.39	119.61	7.05	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.65
Sc Sm	51.94	51.42 4.39	24.74 20.28	4.13 <0.1	9.38 <0.1	53.09 1.60	51.34 1.54	49.60 2.06	40.71	51.21 6.29
Sn	<1	1.36	1.61	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Sr Ta	145.85 0.22	118.34 0.24	200.81 0.55	6.90 0.12	13.29	94.22 0.13	100.44 0.12	108.54 0.24	123.54	96.59 0.42
Tb	1.00	1.07	2.69	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.43	0.42	0.57	0.41	1.48
Th Tm	0.47	0.34	0.88	<0.1 <0.1	<0.1 <0.1	< 0.1 0.28	<0.1 0.28	< 0.1 0.39	< 0.1 0.26	0.52
U	0.20	0.17	1.08	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.20
W	434.67	409.89 3.63	360.84 1.65	30.78	33.60 11.30	265.20 8.57	257.02 4.85	265.32 5.14	193.38 19.22	499.80
Y	42.08	42.83	59.60	0.62	< 0.5	17.81	17.45	23.99	17.31	63.14
Yb Zr	4.23 83.08	4.40 100.28	5.35 121.37	<0.1 5.71	<0.1 2.54	25.45	33.17	2.45 39.87	1.78 31.52	6.26 172.68
XRF										
SiO <sub>2</sub> (wt%) TiO <sub>2</sub>	48.81	45.70 2.07	45.33	57.17	39.33	50.01 0.74	50.46 0.76	50.01	51.50	47.67
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	13.05	14.33	9.33	1.84	1.44	12.91	14.79	16.29	16.88	13.03
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> MnO	14.50 0.24	15.52	27.26 5.54	4.86 0.17	7.38	10.67	8.94 0.19	9.30 0.17	7.81	16.00 0.24
MgO	7.01	7.58	2.67	21.13	37.64	9.60	8.15	7.25	6.64	6.31
CaO Na <sub>2</sub> O	10.24	8.20 2.73	2.83 0.15	12.60	1.21	11.78 2.00	11.70 2.75	11.04 2.98	11.48 3.27	8.66 2.38
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.03	0.60	1.79	0.34	0.00	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.09
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> LOI	0.18 2.66	0.18 2.80	0.18 3.43	0.01 2.00	0.01 12.42	0.05	0.06 2.09	0.09	0.06	0.29
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.23	0.34	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.02
NiO Sum	0.01 99.78	0.02 100.01	0.03 99.14	0.14 100.50	0.25	100.09	100.00	100.19	100.32	0.01 99.73
Nb (ppm)	10	10	17	7	9	6	5	5	5	13
Zr Y	97 34	34	32	14 6	22	34 19	36 20	51 24	43 21	48
Sr	134	113	212	7	11	88	93	106	116	93
U Rb	<2<	<2< 17	2 82	2 11	<2<	<2<	<2<	<2< 4	<2<	4
Th	<2<	3	26	3	<2<	<2<	<2<	<2<	<2<	5
Ga	<2< 20	<2< 20	4/ 16	8	10	<2< 17	<2< 16	<2< 18	<2< 16	23
Zn	130	133	138	44	36	84	81	61	66	127
Ni	70 50	55 145	143 335	14 649	<2< 911	8 79	6 81	50 71	4 64	54 50
Co	52	56	95	33	95	44	41	35	34	46
V	95 441	528 475	450 450	25	2412 55	278	407 247	233	505 193	547
Ce	7	10	<3<	<3<	<3<	<3<	3	9	8 7	19
Ba	5 <9<	23	173	59	5 11	<4< <9<	<4< <9<	<9<	12	<9<
La	5	10	60	<4<	<4<	<4<	<4<	9	<4<	6
S Hf	6	19/	58044 <1<	<1<	5035 <1<	140 <1<	<1<	181	120 <1<	4525
Sc As	53 <3<	78 4	53 6	16 15	41 17	51 <3<	42 <3<	35 4	33 <3<	75 <3<

Note: ' Symbols in figures 6 and 7 are 1 - filled triangle, 2 - open triangle, 3 - filled square, 4 - filled diamond, NP - not plotted.

Sample Description Symbol <sup>1</sup>	DC 09/08/32 serpentinite	DC 09/08/33 metabasalt	DC 09/08/35 serpentinite	DC 09/08/36 serpentinite	DC 09/08/37 metabasalt	DC 09/08/38 metabasalt	DC 09/08/39 metabasalt	DC 09/08/40 metabasalt	CD 09/08/41 inter. tuff	CD 09/08/42 metabasalt
Latitude Longitude	50.05495 -56.11515	50.05495 -56.11515	50.0606 -56.11192	50.06022 -56.11713	50.06124 -56.1155	50.04611 -56.11238	50.04485 -56.11123	50.04035 -56.11406	50.04035 -56.11406	50.03504 -56.11978
ICP-OES, ICP-MS	54.15	46.24	50.05	52 20	45 01	50.02	17 00	47.62	50.96	40.90
$Al_2O_3$	0.93	12.63	2.00	6.09	14.58	16.90	13.63	12.99	11.05	17.38
CaO Cr O	13.19	8.32	12.21	10.38	10.04	11.49	10.73	9.01	5.18	14.14
$Fe_2O_3$	5.52	14.13	6.58	8.19	12.18	7.85	14.80	15.22	12.80	6.06
K <sub>2</sub> O McO	0.08	0.15	0.20	2.00	0.38	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.61	0.06
MnO	0.23	0.23	0.17	0.19	0.18	0.14	0.22	0.25	0.11	0.12
Na <sub>2</sub> O	1.65	4.70	1.89	1.38	4.35	4.49	3.90	3.55	3.99	3.00
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.02	1.58	0.02	0.04	1.72	0.64	1.86	2.12	0.75	0.33
LOI (1000°C) Ba (ppm)	3.15	3.18	2.63 12.82	2.28 270.44	5.00 28.15	2.10	1.94 8.61	2.36	2.68 449.66	1.67
Ce	2.25	12.18	4.02	<0.5	13.17	3.11	13.30	15.58	112.33	1.03
Dy Er	0.26	5.51	0.11	<0.1	5.87	2.39	6.28 4.18	7.41	39.18 27.66	1.25
Eu	<0.1	1.22	<0.1	<0.1	1.49	0.59	1.48	1.69	4.99	0.40
Ga	2.29	15.18	3.98	8.32	15.12	14.85	18.98	18.07	23.61	14.23
Hf	<1	2.19	<1	<1	2.70	<1	2.72	3.35	31.86	<1
Ho La	< 0.1	1.25 4 1 2	<0.1	<0.1	1.29 4.35	0.55	1.45	1.70	9.03 37.21	0.31
Lu	<0.1	0.58	<0.1	<0.1	0.56	0.24	0.63	0.78	4.49	0.14
Nb Nd	<0.5	2.87	<0.5 1.89	<0.5	2.33	0.80	3.95 11.85	4.36	30.88 90.40	0.52
Pr	0.27	1.85	0.48	<0.1	2.30	0.56	2.25	2.66	18.24	0.20
Rb Sc	<0.5	1.78 45.98	2.77	43.35	5.57 41.58	3.84 47.73	<0.5 50.36	<0.5 50.26	23.51 18.10	<0.5 48 36
Sm	0.22	3.34	0.24	<0.1	3.92	1.27	4.06	4.69	26.79	0.61
Sn Sr	<1 53.00	<1 104 22	1.10 43.60	<1 13.50	1.20 181.42	<1 146.08	<1 107.18	<1 78 29	1.07 246 87	<1 109.92
Ta	<0.1	0.24	<0.1	<0.1	0.18	<0.1	0.29	0.32	2.34	<0.1
Tb Th	<0.1	0.86	<0.1	<0.1	0.93	0.36	1.00	1.14	6.17 6.03	0.20
Tm	<0.1	0.57	<0.1	<0.1	0.57	0.23	0.62	0.76	4.47	0.13
U V	0.14	0.12	<0.1 28.64	<0.1 100 39	0.13	<0.1 232.80	0.12	0.13 463 30	1.57	<0.1
Ŵ	<0.5	5.42	<0.5	1.13	2.30	6.35	3.73	5.66	7.66	9.68
Y Vb	2.02	34.27	1.14	0.66	36.17	14.94	41.27	48.65	270.00 29.10	8.67 0.88
Zr	7.80	74.27	4.66	2.73	99.33	22.43	97.00	120.65	1179.88	17.83
XRF										
SiO <sub>2</sub> (wt%)	56.48	47.87	54.93	53.99	46.51	49.13	47.79	48.38	62.75	50.61
TiO <sub>2</sub> AlaOa	0.02	1.63 12.91	0.02	0.05	1.74 14.81	0.64 16.13	1.87 13.27	2.15 12.87	0.74	0.33 17 30
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	5.34	13.96	6.32	7.78	11.79	6.84	13.97	14.58	12.39	5.84
MnO MoO	0.25	0.24 7.37	0.19 19.45	0.20	0.19	0.13 7.98	0.23	0.26	0.12	0.13
CaO	13.45	8.50	12.73	10.26	10.01	14.35	10.45	9.02	5.10	14.23
Na <sub>2</sub> O K <sub>2</sub> O	0.00	3.45 0.11	0.32	0.00	3.21 0.34	1.79	2.60	2.30	2.83 0.58	2.02
$P_2O_5$	0.01	0.16	0.01	0.01	0.16	0.04	0.18	0.22	0.19	0.01
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.85	2.93	2.39	2.09	4.56	0.12	0.03	2.23	2.32	0.07
NIÔ	0.06	0.01	0.14	0.11	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01
Sum Nb (ppm)	100.65	99.15	99.12 7	99.17	100.51	99.18	99.15	99.20 10	99.25 36	100.18
Zr	12	84	12	12	121	32	105	116	850	14
y Sr	8 49	50 101	40	13	175	18	54 102	38 72	231	15 98
U	<2<	2	<2<	<2<	<2<	<2<	<2<	<2<	<2<	2
Th	4 6	5 2	4	47	10	2	4 3	2	8	<2<
Pb	339	<2<	53	3	<2<	<2<	<2<	2	6	<2<
Zn	59	123	8 92	98	18 89	49	21 96	125	25 37	37
Cu	<2<	98	<2<	<2<	69 70	107	3	35	153	16
Co	291 32	60 56	38	647 59	78 46	31	51	66 47	8 22	91 30
Cr	501	138	2294	2799	241	841	220	177	<2<	592
v Ce	46 7	451 14	24	<113 <3<	349 16	230	440 6	500	<2< 23	191 4
Nd	7	8	4	<4<	12	5	4	<4<	<4<	5
La	<9< <4<	<9<	1/16	299 <4<	16 7	28	<9< <4<	<9<	481 17	<9< <4<
S	398	441	152	355	260	259	120	305	7429	143
Hf Sc	<1< 18	<1< 70	<1< 15	<1< 15	2 46	<1< 25	<1< 62	<1< 67	22 21	<1< 26
As	10	<3<	6	215	6		3	5	8	<3<

**Table 3.** (Concluded) Whole rock geochemical data (major, trace and rare earth elements) for the Birchy Complex (samples prefaced by DC). ICP and XRF data are provided for the each sample. See text for methods used.

Note: ' Symbols in figures 6 and 7 are 1 - filled triangle, 2 - open triangle, 3 - filled square, 4 - filled diamond, NP - not plotted.

Sample Description	SNB 08 E 005 A1 serpentinite	SNB 08 E 006 A2 serpentinite	SNB 08 E 006 A3 serpentinite	SNB 08 E 004 A1 serpentinite	SNB 08 E 006 A serpentinite
Symbol <sup>4</sup>	5 50.051	3 E0 119	3 E0 119	3 E0.0E1	3 50 119
Longitude	-56.105	-56.125	-56.125	-56.105	-56.125
ICD OFF. ICD MS					
SiO (mtl)	40.08	40.22	20.22	11 00	40.40
$310_2 (W170)$	40.00	40.52	0.62	41.00	40.49
$A_2O_3$	1./1	0.52	0.02	0.94	0.49
$Cr_2O_3$	0.51	0.4	0.28	0.29	0.29
MrO	0.065	0.065	0.1	0.99	0.059
MaQ	0.005	20.54	20.25	26 52	28.05
MgO CrO	57.10	0.57	0.12	0.62	0.42
Na O	0.14	0.37	0.13	0.02	0.43
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.14	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.03
T <sub>2</sub> O	0.037	0.03	0.00	0.021	0.00
no <sub>2</sub>	0.037	0.003	0.011	0.021	0.009
$r_2 O_5$	12.66	12.22	12.09	< 0.01	14.62
LOI (1000 C)	12.00	12.33	13.00	00.1	14.05
Suili Ba (mmm)	101	100.0	90.03	200	100.5
Da (ppiii)	4	< 0.05	0.06	290	100
Ce	0.62	< 0.05	0.06	4.10	1.34
Co	90	90	92	107	07 05
Cs Cu	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.5
Cu D	10	40	< 10	< 10	< 10
Dy	0.18	0.02	< 0.01	0.16	0.06
Er	0.15	0.01	0.01	0.1	0.04
Eu	0.024	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.021	0.011
Ga	0.15	0.02	< 0.01	0.21	0.08
HI II-	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.1	0.2	< 0.1
HO	0.04	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.03	0.01
La	0.79	0.5	0.01	2.45	1.01
LU	0.025	0.004	0.003	0.012	0.008
IND	0.5	0.3	< 0.2	1 52	0.5
ING NG	0.35	0.07	< 0.05	2210	0.02
DL	1/20	1770	2230	15	2100
PD Du	0 08	0.02	< 0.01	15	0.17
PL	0.08	0.02	< 0.01	0.47	0.17
KD Sa	1	< 1 o	< 1 o	< 1 o	5
SC Sm	0.00	0.02	001	0.20	0 13
Sili Se	15	17	< 0.01	23	33
51 To	1J < 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	2.5	< 0.01
ТЪ	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.03	0.01
Tb	0.03	< 0.01	< 0.01	1.33	0.01
Tm	0.13	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.015	0.03
TT T	3.64	0.005	< 0.005 0.02	5	2.14
V	38	14	18	22	2.14
v V	1 2	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.0	< 0.5
, Vb	0.14	0.03	0.02	0.9	0.05
7r	Q.14	0.05 < 1	7	0.00	1
Z1	0	~ 1	/	2	4

Note: 1 Symbols in Figure 6 are filled squares

#### **Ultramafic Rocks**

The ultramafic rocks in the Birchy Complex and Rattling Brook Group are generally highly metamorphosed and strongly metasomatised to serpentinite, listwanite, soapstone and actinolite – fuchsite schist. The sampled serpentinites and actinolite – fuchsite schist locally preserve relict igneous minerals; soapstone, listwanite and carbonate – tremolite bearing ultramafic schists were not sampled due to extensive metasomatism. The pervasive degree of alteration of the ultramafic samples complicates interpretation of primary lithologies; however, Niu (2004) demonstrated that the bulk compositions of pervasively hydrated peridotites can preserve primary magmatic signatures. Normative calculations (not shown) suggest that the protoliths to the serpentinites were harzburgite and dunite, consistent with presence of relict orthopyroxene in some samples. Presence of minor normative clinopyroxene may suggest that some of the samples may be lherzolitic; however, this can also be attributed to introduction of silica and minor hydrothermal calcium during

Trace element abundances in harzburgites and websterites are very low and commonly near or below the detection limit for the analytical techniques used in this study, resulting in missing elements (below detection limit) or jagged patterns (near detection limit; Fig. 7D). All ultramafic rocks are characterized by depleted heavy REE relative to primitive mantle (Fig. 7D). All samples display strong light REE enrichment, similar to metasomatized mantle of the BVOT, but distinct from abyssal and forearc peridotites (Fig. 7D). Most analysed serpentinites probably represent altered metasomatised mantle. However, negative Eu and Ti anomalies for some samples (SNB 08 004A1 and 006A1) indicate that these may represent lower crustal cumulate.

#### IMPLICATIONS OF THE U-PB ZIRCON DATA AND GEOCHEMISTRY FOR THE TECTONIC SETTING OF THE BIRCHY COMPLEX AND ASSOCIATED ROCKS

The Birchy Complex, correlatives in the Mings Bight Group (Fig. 1) and mafic – ultramafic rocks in the Rattling Brook Group were previously interpreted as dismembered slices of relatively old Iapetan oceanic lithosphere (Fig. 3; Hibbard 1988).

However, no evidence for formation by magmatically active seafloor spreading, such as abundant pillow lavas, sheeted dikes and mafic – ultramafic cumulates typically found at the base of true oceanic crust, has been preserved. The subsequent strong Taconic and Salinic tectonic overprints (van Staal et al. 2009 a, b; Castonguay et al. 2010) could have destroyed all such primary features, although this would be surprising considering the heterogeneous nature of the deformation (see above). More significantly, the age data presented herein are inconsistent with

 Table 5. Sm–Nd isotopic characteristics of the Birchy Complex

Sample	Description	Age (Ma)	Nd (ppm) <sup>1</sup>	Sm (ppm) <sup>1</sup>	$^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd^{1}$	<sup>147</sup> Sm/ <sup>144</sup> Nd <sup>1</sup>	$^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd^{2}$	$\epsilon Nd^2$
06-SNB-017	metagabbro	560	6.06	2.30	0.513124	0.2294	0.512282	7.2
07-SNB-S170A-01	psammite	560	59.4	11.2	0.511943	0.1144	0.511523	-7.7
DC-09-08-31	metabasalt	560	20.1	6.68	0.513034	0.2012	0.512296	7.4
DC-09-08-41	intermediate tuff	560	94.2	28.6	0.512975	0.1832	0.512303	7.5

Notes: 1 – measured; 2 – calculated following DePaolo (1981)



**Figure 7**. Geochemical characteristics of the Birchy Complex. A. Zr/Ti vs. Nb/Y rock type discrimination plot (Pearce 1996). Mafic rocks are tholeiitic basalts. B. NMORB-normalized (Sun and McDonough 1989) multi-element diagram for mafic and intermediate rocks. Dashed lines indicate samples where Th is plotted at half the detection limit. For reference, the composition of Cretaceous basalts (mainly sheet flows) erupted along the distal part of the Newfoundland rifted margin (ocean – continent transition) are plotted in the grey band (Robertson 2007). C. La–Y–Nb tectonic setting discrimination plot (Cabanis and Lecolle 1989) for mafic rocks of the Birchy Complex. D. Primitive mantle-normalized (Sun and McDonough 1989) multi-element diagram for ultramafic rocks (1 – Savov et al. 2005; 2–Niu 2004; 3 – Baie Verte oceanic tract (BVOT) – Bédard and Escayola 2010).

such an interpretation, because they show that the bulk of the Birchy Complex is Late Ediacaran. The Birchy Complex thus overlaps temporally with the last phase of rift-related magmatism in this sector of the Laurentian margin and significantly predates (30–40 my) the late Early Cambrian thermal subsidence-related transgression, commonly used as a proxy for the final rift-drift event along the Humber margin (Cawood et al. 2001; Waldron and van Staal 2001). The age of the ultramafic rocks in both the Rattling Brook Group and Birchy Complex is unknown, but the presence of ultramafic-derived chromite in the enclosed sedimentary rocks and their close spatial association with the mafic rocks (Fig. 4A) indicates that they are pre-Late Ediacaran.

The Precambrian age distribution of the detrital zircons of the Flat Point Formation corresponds closely to correlatives of the Fleur de Lys rocks in west-central Newfoundland (Hibbard 1988; Cawood and Nemchin 2001). The continental isotopic signature of the sediments intercalated with the Birchy Complex ( $\varepsilon$ Nd = -7.7; Table 5) and the typical Laurentian zircon provenance of the Flat Point formation (Fig. 6G) support the proximity of the Birchy Complex to the Humber margin, as suggested by Hibbard (1983). The age, provenance and isotopic characteristics presented herein support formation of the Birchy Complex during the extension and final rifting event along the Humber margin, shortly before the onset of oceanic spreading further outboard. The age of the Flat Point Formation and the age of the Rattling Brook Group in general, are poorly constrained at present. The youngest detrital zircon in the Flat Point Formation yielded a U-Pb concordia age of  $990 \pm 52$  Ma. The presence of mafic intrusive and extrusive rocks with compositions similar to those found in the rift sequence of the Labrador Group (de Wit and Strong 1975; Hibbard 1983) suggests that the associated sedimentary rocks of the Rattling Brook Group and other correlative units of the Fleur de Lys Supergroup are probably also Ediacaran and therefore form part of the rift sequence.

The presence of marble and calcareous rocks in other parts of the Rattling Brook Group and also in the Flat Point Formation, suggests that these units were probably mainly deposited during the drift stage. The drift stage is constrained to be Early Cambrian to Early Ordovician (Cawood et al. 2001); hence, this part of the Rattling Brook Group is younger than the Birchy Complex. Based on the apparent stratigraphic contacts between the Birchy Complex and overlying Flat Point Formation, we interpret the latter to have been deposited above the Birchy Complex during the Early Cambrian, following



**Figure 8.** Sm–Nd isotopic characteristics of the Birchy Complex (sample key is same as in Fig. 7) and comparison to the Achill Beg Formation, Clew Bay Complex (CBC), Ireland (Chew 2003), Notre Dame Arc (NDA), Newfoundland (Whalen et al. 1997); and Annieopsquotch Accretionary Tract (AAT), Newfoundland (Zagorevski et al. 2006). All values are recalculated to 560 Ma (DePaolo 1981).

the start of spreading (Fig. 3). Such an interpretation is consistent with the facing evidence collected by Kennedy (1971) and the absence of any detrital zircons corresponding to rift-related Neoproterozoic magmatism in the dated psammite. Cawood and Nemchin (2001) observed that late Neoproterozoic zircons occur in the rift-related units, but are generally absent in the drift-related units, probably because the rift-related magmatic rocks were largely buried as a result of thermal subsidence following the rift-drift transition.

#### DISCUSSION

We propose that the lithostratigraphic association of ultramafic rocks, tholeiitic gabbro, volcanic rocks and continent-derived clastic sedimentary rocks closely resembles the rock complexes found in ocean – continent transition (OCT) zones of magma-poor passive margins (see following). The ultramafic rocks in such settings mainly represent inherited sub-continental lithospheric mantle exhumed onto the seafloor and the structurally interleaved sedimentary rocks represent its syn- to post-rift cover (Manatschal 2004; Péron-Pinvidic and Manatschal 2009). The complex polyphase structural history and the relatively high pressures recorded in the mafic schist (Willner et al. 2012) are another feature typical of OCT zones, e.g. where they are preserved in ancient mountain belts such as the Alps (Beltrando et al. 2010).

## Characteristics of Hyperextended Margins

Hyperextension is generally, although not exclusively, a characteristic of magma-poor margins. However, viewing margins solely on the basis of end member models is generally inappropriate, because the degree and nature of magmatism associated with hyperextension varies (e.g. Müntener and Manatschal 2006; Bernoulli et al. 2003) or may change over time from magmapoor to magma-rich (e.g. Osmundsen and Ebbing 2008). Hyperextended margins are commonly characterised by extreme thinning of parts of the continental crust (distal margin) as a result of superimposition of different modes of extension, culminating in exhumation of lowermost crust and/or serpentinized continental mantle onto the seafloor (e.g. Iberian margin; Tucholke et al. 2007; Sibuet and Tucholke 2012) and the formation of various types of thinned crustal blocks (Péron-Pinvidic and Manatschal 2010). The latter may include isolated extensional crustal allochthons riding on a concave-downwards lithosphere-scale master detachment that exhumed mantle onto the seafloor (e.g. Manatschal 2004; Manatschal et al. 2007, 2011; Sutra and Manatschal 2012). This final asymmetric phase of extension may be superimposed on an earlier phase of more symmetric extension (Huismans and Beaumont 2002) during which mantle detachments can form below both extending margins (e.g. Weinberg et al. 2007)

The exhumed, inherited mantle may be modified and refertilized by percolating melts associated with synrift magmatism (Müntener et al. 2009). In general, syn-rift magmatism is subdued and is represented by mafic intrusions of MORB-like composition; volcanic rocks commonly form a minor component of the mafic magmatism (but see Bernoulli et al. 2003). These mafic intrusions record the onset of magmatic accretion within the OCT zone during distributed (delocalized) extension-related deformation, which commonly continues for a significant length of time (Jagoutz et al. 2007; Péron-Pinvidic and Manatschal 2009), until the onset of true seafloor spreading and the formation of oceanic crust.

#### Ediacaran Hyperextension of the Humber Margin

The inferred presence in the Birchy Complex of depleted mantle rocks such as harzburgite is rare in OCT assemblages, which are generally dominated by serpentinized lherzolite. Harzburgitic mantle was locally exhumed during the Cretaceous along the Atlantic margin of Newfoundland as a result of hyperextension of the Newfoundland – Iberian sector during opening of the Atlantic Ocean. This harzburgite was interpreted as a slice of supra-subduction zone mantle inherited from a pre-Mesozoic period of subduction and melt extraction (Müntener and Manatschal 2006). Exhumation of such refractory supra-subduction zone mantle may be a mechanism that suppresses formation of syn-rift basaltic melts. Likewise, the harzburgite and dunite preserved in the Rattling Brook Group and Birchy Complex may be mantle and lower crustal cumulates of a pre-Iapetus opening phase of subduction (Grenville?). This is consistent with the trace element characteristics of the Birchy Complex mafic rocks, which exhibit MORB to backarc basinlike characteristics (Fig. 7B, C). These characteristics have been demonstrated to result from melt percolation though subduction-zone modified mantle in modern settings (e.g. Taylor 1992). Cretaceous rift-related basalts of the hyperextended Atlantic margin in offshore Newfoundland have similar characteristics (Fig. 7B), suggesting that they were derived from pre-Atlantic, Iapetan subduction-zone modified mantle (Robertson 2007). We infer a similar mechanism for the Birchy Complex suite 2 metabasites, whereby extension along the Laurentian margin leads to decompression melting of previously metasomatized mantle similar to our ultramafic rocks (Fig. 7D). Suite 1 metabasites (Fig. 7B, C) are distinctly more depleted in Th and light REE than the suite 2 metabasites and may be analogous to off-axis magmas (Reynolds and Langmuir 2000).

In addition to the ultramafic rocks in the Birchy Complex and Rattling Brook Group discussed herein, narrow slices of mantle interleaved with strongly tectonized metasedimentary rocks occur elsewhere in Newfoundland (e.g. Matthews Brook serpentinite; Cawood et al. 1996), Québec (e.g. Pennington sheet serpentinite; St. Julien 1987), and Vermont (Doolan et al. 1982), suggesting that hyperextension may have been a common process along several segments of Laurentia's Appalachian margin. There is also a close similarity between the Birchy Complex and parts of the Rattling Brook Group in Newfoundland with the upper parts (Easdale Subgroup) of the Dalradian Supergroup in western Ireland, suggesting that they occupied a similar and correlative tectonic setting (Winchester et al. 1992), directly

linking the Laurentian realm of the Newfoundland Appalachians to the British Caledonides. At this stratigraphic level, best seen on southern Achill Island, serpentinite olistoliths embedded in a graphitic pelite matrix are common (Kennedy 1980; Chew 2001). The serpentinite bodies are associated with mafic volcanic rocks, deep-marine continentally derived psammitic wacke (Fig. 8), and graphitic pelite in a sequence that underwent Taconic -Grampian blueschist-facies metamorphism (Chew et al. 2003). Blueschist facies metamorphism is also preserved in correlative OCT rocks in northern Vermont (Doolan et al. 1982; Castonguay et al. 2012), emphasizing the link between high-pressure metamorphism and OCT assemblages established in the Alps (Beltrando et al. 2010) and in the Taconic - Grampian orogen of the Appalachian - Caledonian mountain belt. A discontinuous horizon of serpentinite bodies has also been documented in the Easdale Subgroup of central and northeastern Scotland (Garson and Plant 1973). The serpentinite bodies in Ireland and Scotland have been interpreted as seafloor protrusions of serpentinized mantle that were generated in Easdale Subgroup time during a phase of major crustal extension leading to the formation of an OCT (Chew 2001).

# Implications of a Hyperextended Humber Margin

Evidence for Ediacaran - Early Cambrian hyperextension along segments of the Laurentian margin during opening of the Iapetus Ocean has major ramifications for understanding the evolution of the Appalachian - Caledonian margin of Laurentia in general. For example, where the sedimentary cover of rifted margins is very thick, such as the Dalradian Supergroup of the Laurentian margin in the British and Irish Caledonides (e.g. Chew 2001; Leslie et al. 2008), they may form a thermally insulating blanket; the underlying crust may therefore heat up and become rheologically weaker during rifting (Reston and Manatschal 2011). Hyperextension and the resultant formation of crustal ribbons, 'hanging wall' (H-) blocks, and extensional crustal allochthons (for definitions and characteristics of these various types of crustal blocks see Péron-Pinvidic and Manatschal 2010) are capable of explaining several puzzling and/or problematic phenomena. These include: 1) the apparent late age (525-520 Ma) of the oldest known drift sequences (e.g. Cawood et al. 2001); 2) the formation of microcontinents (e.g. Dashwoods) along the Newfoundland Humber margin and the Appalachian – Caledonian margin in general (van Staal et al. 2007, 2009b; Chew et al. 2010); 3) the markedly variable and spotty preservation of radiogenic age evidence for Taconic-related metamorphism and deformation along strike (Cawood et al. 1994; Castonguay et al. 2001, 2010; van Staal et al. 2009 a, b); and 4) evidence for crustal contamination in felsic rocks of the BVOT and its Early to Middle Ordovician Snooks Arm Group cover (Skulski et al. 2010) and other outboard terranes in the peri-Laurentian realm (e.g. Whalen et al. 1997; van Staal et al. 2007; Zagorevski et al. 2006)

#### **Thermal Subsidence**

The highly thinned continental margins of studied OCT zones commonly display significant retardation of thermal subsidence. In addition to the potentially insulating effects of a thick sedimentary blanket and the predicted slow cooling of the upper plate crust during asymmetric extension (Buck et al. 1988), anomalous slow cooling and prolonged uplift of a rifted margin may also be related to the structural emplacement of hot mantle under the thinning crust (Müntener et al. 2009; Péron-Pinvidic and Manatschal 2009). Hence, a combination of these processes may have significantly delayed thermal subsidence and the resultant transgression.

The time of transgression was used previously as a proxy for defining the Iapetus rift-drift transition (Bond 1984; Williams and Hiscock 1987; Cawood et al. 2001), but only provides a minimum age for break-up along this segment and probably elsewhere as well (cf. Hibbard et al. 2007). Furthermore, the work of Jagoutz et al. (2007) along the Iberian OCT has shown that formation of MORB along the protoridge in embryonic oceanic crust may be followed by widespread, delocalized extensional deformation and off-axis magmatism before true spreading occurs. Therefore, the Late Neoproterozoic (565-550 Ma) eruption of MORB, backarc basin basalt (Bédard and Stevenson 1999; this paper) and other within-plate magmatism (Cawood et al. 2001; Hodych and Cox 2007) in the Newfoundland and Québec Appalachians merely places an upper limit on the onset of true seafloor spreading in this segment of the Iapetus Ocean. Spreading followed a long period (615-550 Ma) of prespreading, non-voluminous rift-related magmatism, exhumation of mantle onto the seafloor, and formation of embryonic (cf. Jagoutz et al. 2007) oceanic crust between 565-550 Ma (Fig. 9A). Hence, the end of rift-related magmatism (550 Ma) is the best proxy for the final breakup and onset of spreading in the Laurentian realm of the Iapetus Ocean (Fig. 9B).

#### **Formation of Microcontinents**

Microcontinents such as Dashwoods and equivalents elsewhere (e.g. Karabinos et al. 1998; Hibbard et al. 2007; van Staal and Hatcher 2010; Allen et al. 2010; Chew et al. 2008, 2010) initially could have formed, at least to a first approximation, as H-blocks or large extensional allochthons, analogous to the Briançonnais crustal block in the Alps (Manatschal et al. 2006; Mohn et al. 2010). The crust of H-blocks is commonly thinned to less than 20 km and is generally associated with marked retardation of subsidence (Péron-Pinvidic and Manatschal 2010). The characteristics of H-blocks fit the existing three dimensional seismic and petrological constraints on Dashwoods rather well (van der Velden et al. 2004; van Staal et al. 2007). Hence, we interpret Dashwoods as a microcontinent that evolved from an H-block (Fig. 9 A, B) rather than an extensional allochthon. Isotopic evidence of crustal contamination and zircon inheritance in the outboard peri-Laurentian terranes (e.g. Karabinos et al. 1998; Whalen et al. 1997; Zagorevski et al. 2006; Brem et al. 2007; Hibbard et al. 2007; van Staal et al. 2007; van Staal and Hatcher 2010; Allen et al. 2010; Chew et al. 2008, 2010; Skulski et al. 2010, Zagorevski and van Staal 2011) indicate that these isolated blocks subsequently formed the basement to supra-subduction zone magmatism in the outboard arc complexes. Formation of H-blocks and/or large extensional allochthons during hyperextension would remove the necessity of having two discrete rifting events along the Humber margin, resulting in two coeval spreading centres (Cawood et al. 2001; Waldron and van Staal 2001; Burton and Southworth 2010). This is analogous to the Briançonnais crustal block in the Alps (Manatschal et al. 2006; Mohn et al. 2010), which separates the Valais oceanic basin to the north from the Piemonte - Liguria Ocean to the south. In this analogy (Fig. 9B), the Piemonte - Liguria Ocean would represent the Iapetus Ocean and the Valais basin the Taconic seaway. However, the generation of upper plate magmatism (489-477 Ma Notre Dame arc) in Dashwoods during the closure of the Taconic seaway (van Staal et al. 2007), demands that parts of the Taconic seaway had achieved a width large enough to generate arc magmatism during its subduction beneath Dashwoods, but not so wide as to prevent exchange of Laurentian faunas. These boundary conditions suggest that the ca. 300 to1000 km wide (van Staal et al. 1998, 2007) Taconic seaway, in contrast to the Valais basin in the Alps, saw a short period (550-540 Ma) of spreading. This spreading was likely delocalized, ultra-slow and merely forming embryonic oceanic crust (Jagoutz et al. 2007); hence, the Taconic seaway was probably partially underlain by exhumed mantle and partly by oceanic lithosphere. Regardless of whether rifting and spreading was localized or delocalized, we infer that any spreading in the Taconic seaway was aborted shortly after it had started and that the dominant magmatic spreading centre formed further outboard in what would become the Iapetus Ocean (Fig. 9B), leading to separation of Dashwoods from Arequipa - Antofalla, its inferred conjugate partner (Escavola et al. 2011)

#### Preservation of Evidence for Taconic Deformation and Metamorphism

Other extension-related continental blocks surrounded by exhumed and serpentinized mantle, situated between

Dashwoods and the autochthonous Humber margin (Fig. 9B), may explain preservation of evidence for pervasive Taconic tectono-metamorphism in these rocks compared to its apparent non-preservation in other, more inboard parts (see Cawood et al. 1994 and van Staal et al. 2009 a, b). We propose that an extensional allochthon originally formed the basement of the Rattling Brook Group east of the Bishie Cove thrust (Figs. 2, 9B, 9C). The Rattling Brook allochthon would have been subducted (abortively) beneath the BVOT and Dashwoods before arrival of the leading edge of the autochthonous Humber margin and its collision with the Notre Dame arc (van Staal et al. 2007). It follows that, because of its buoyancy, it could have returned to higher crustal levels along the subduction channel, together with the adjacent OCT zone preserved in the Birchy Complex (Fig. 9C). This may have occurred during or after the final Taconic subduction of the OCT lithosphere situated between the Rattling Brook extensional allochthon and the leading edge of the autochthonous Humber margin sitting further inboard (Fig. 9C). Such a process could have translated the Birchy Complex and spatially associated rocks to a high structural level during the Taconic orogeny (470-460 Ma), such that it remained below the Ar-closure temperature of white mica during the subsequent Salinic orogenic overprint (Cawood et al. 1994) and therefore preserved its Taconic argon ages. Although ca. 464 Ma metamorphic zircon in retrogressed eclogite pods leaves little doubt that the autochthonous Humber margin was subjected to Taconic burial as well (van Staal et al. 2009 a, b), Taconic argon ages are typically not preserved in the adjacent rocks (Hibbard, 1983). This is likely because the autochthonous Humber margin was in many places subjected to significant Silurian (Salinic) tectonic burial and resetting. In this model, the serpentinites along the Bishie Cove thrust would thus define a suture between paraauthochthonous Humber margin rocks and allochthonous OCT lithosphere attached to the Rattling Brook allochthon positioned further outboard (Fig. 9C). Chew et al. (2010) proposed a rather similar model for the



Figure 9. Schematic tectonic evolution of the hyperextended segment of the Humber margin, preserved on the Baie Verte peninsula of northwestern Newfoundland. A. Extensional structures formed during the early stages of rifting (615-580 Ma). It is inferred that Dashwoods started out as a keystone between conjugate normal faults (hanging wall block) associated with thinning of the lithosphere. This part of the model follows the structural evolution proposed by Mohn et al. (2010) for the isolation of the Brianconnais block through formation of the Valais and Ligurian – Piemonte oceanic seaways in the Alpine Tethys. B. Isolation of Dashwoods as a microcontinent following development of large detachment faults, which exhumed mantle onto the seafloor on both sides. The Rattling Brook block forms as a major extensional allochthon that was separated from the authochthonous Humber margin by exhumed mantle and overlain by sediments of the Fleur de Lys Supergroup. Extension and separation of the Rattling Brook allochthon from the Humber margin continued until the onset of spreading in the Taconic seaway between 550 and 540 Ma.

This spreading is necessary to form an oceanic basin wide enough to generate Early Ordovician arc magmatism in Dashwoods and above the Baie Verte oceanic tract (BVOT) during its closure. Spreading subsequently jumped outboard of Dashwoods between 540 and 530 Ma, opening the Iapetus Ocean and separating Dashwoods from its conjugate margin, which is assumed to be the Arequipa – Antofalla ribbon continent and related terranes (Escavola et al. 2011). C. Final closure of the Taconic seaway by east-directed subduction, which culminated in Taconic orogenesis. East-directed subduction began in the Taconic seaway at ca. 490 Ma, forming the 490–483 Ma supra-subduction zone BVOT (van Staal et al. 2007, 2009b). The latter subsequently became the forearc terrane to the 489-477 Ma Notre Dame arc, as indicated by ample continental arc fragments in the basal part of the Flat Water Pond/Snooks Arm Group (Bédard et al. 2000; Skulski et al. 2010). Earlier west-directed subduction within the Taconic seaway may have started during the Middle Cambrian, culminating in obduction of the Lushes Bight oceanic tract onto Dashwoods and subduction polarity reversal (see Zagorevski and van Staal 2011), but is not shown here for the sake of simplicity. Partial subduction of the Rattling Brook allochthon at ca. 479 Ma is thought to be the cause of the extinction of the Notre Dame arc in Dashwoods by ca. 477 Ma. Convergence continued through subduction of the segment of the Taconic seaway mainly underlain by serpentinized mantle that separated the (para) autochthonous Humber margin from the Rattling Brook allochthon. Hinge retreat and possibly steepening of the downgoing slab may explain the west-directed migration of upper plate arc-backarc magmatism from Dashwoods onto the BVOT, forming the 479-467 Ma Flat Water Pond/Snooks Arm Group, which forms a disconformable cover sequence to the BVOT (Skulski et al. 2010).

#### **GEOSCIENCE CANADA**

Grampian in the west of Ireland. In addition, slow but relatively steep subduction of the OCT lithosphere (partly serpentinized mantle?) between the Humber margin and the Rattling Brook allochthon, beneath the BVOT, could be responsible for generating the arc – backarc-like magmatism preserved in the Flat Water Pond/Snooks Arm Group, which disconformably covers the BVOT (Skulski et al. 2010).

#### CONCLUSION

The extent of hyperextension along the Laurentian margin is difficult to assess at present, but the evidence of detached peri-Laurentian blocks having been subjected to different tectonic evolutions during the Taconic – Grampian orogen is widespread (e.g. Karabinos et al.1998; Hibbard et al. 2007; van Staal et al. 2007; van Staal and Hatcher 2010; Leslie et al. 2008; Chew et al. 2008, 2010; Allen et al. 2010). Laurentia's Appalachian – Caledonian margin may therefore have been characterised by large, magmapoor, hyperextended segments.

Considering the poor age constraints on the timing of the rift-drift transition, it is difficult to assess the overall sense of diachroneity in the opening of the Iapetus Ocean. However, available data suggest that rifting progressed from northeast to southwest in present coordinates, being the oldest in Baltica (Bingen et al. 1998) and becoming younger in Scotland (e.g. Leslie et al. 2008) and the Appalachians (van Staal et al. 1998; Cawood et al. 2001; Burton and Southworth 2010).

The reason for Late Cambrian (495-490 Ma) subduction initiation in the Taconic seaway in Newfoundland (van Staal et al. 1998, 2007) and near the Grampian margin in the west of Ireland (Chew et al. 2010), rather than in the outboard Iapetus, remains elusive. Numerical analysis has shown that initiating subduction in old and cold oceanic lithosphere near a continental margin is very difficult, because the strength of such lithosphere is higher than the forces that drive subduction (e.g. Cloetingh et al. 1989), although potential exceptions under special conditions have been proposed (Nikolaeva et al. 2011). However, as indicated by Beltrando et al. (2010) and Reston and Manatschal (2011), the serpentinite

shear zones formed during extension represent major zones of weakness, which could have facilitated initiation of subduction following the onset of compression during the Middle Cambrian in the Iapetus Ocean (van Staal and Hatcher 2010) and Taconic seaway (Zagorevski and van Staal 2011). Regardless of whether this is correct, the earliest rifting history along the Laurentian margin likely had a profound impact on the closure of Iapetan seaways and generation of associated arc magmatism in the Laurentian realm.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This is a contribution to the Targeted Geoscience Intiative program, (2000-2015; GSC contribution #20120367). Discussions with Jean Bédard, Hank Williams and Andy Kerr were helpful in enhancing our understanding of Baie Verte geology. We are grateful for the comments by reviewers Paul Karabinos and Gianreto Manatschal, which improved the manuscript. The first author (CvS) especially would like to thank Paul Hoffman for an enduring friendship and the numerous, very inspiring weekly discussions on all aspects of geology, particularly tectonics, during the first 6 years of his tenure at the GSC. The discussions lasted often late into the night or early morning, commonly becoming progressively more interesting and vocal following progressive consumption of alcohol.

#### REFERENCES

Allen, J.S., Thomas, W.A., and Lavoie, D., 2010, The Laurentian margin of northeastern North America, *in* Tollo, R.P., Batholomew, M.J., Hibbard, J.P., and Karabinos, P.M., *eds.*, From Rodinia to Pangea: The lithotectonic record of the Appalachian region: Geological Society of America Memoir, 206, p. 71–90, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1130/2010.1206(04).

Bédard, J.H., and Escayola, M., 2010, The Advocate ophiolite mantle, Baie Verte, Newfoundland: regional correlations and evidence for metasomatism: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 47, p. 237–253,

http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/E10-004.

Bédard, J.H., and Stevenson, R., 1999, The Caldwell Group lavas of southern Quebec: MORB-like tholeiites associated with the opening of Iapetus Ocean: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 36, p. 999–1019, http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e99-018.

- Bédard, J.H., Lauziere, K., Tremblay, A., Sangster, A., Douma, S.L., and Dec, T., 2000, Betts Cove ophiolite and its cover rocks, Newfoundland: Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 550, 76 p.
- Beltrando, M., Rubatto, D., and Manatschal, G., 2010, From passive margins to orogens: The link between ocean-continent transition zones and (ultra) high-pressure metamorphism: Geology, v. 38, p. 559–562, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/G30768.1.
- Bernoulli, D., Manatschal, G., Desmurs, L., and Müntener, O., 2003, Where did Gustav Steinmann see the trinity? Back to the roots of an Alpine ophiolite concept, *in* Dilek, Y., and Newcomb, S., *eds.*, Ophiolite concept and the evolution of geological thought: Geological Society of America Special Paper 373, p 93–110, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/0-8137-2373-6.93.
- Bingen, B., Demaiffe, D., and van Breemen, O., 1998, The 616 Ma Old Egersund Basaltic Dike Swarm, SW Norway, and Late Neoproterozoic opening of the Iapetus Ocean: The Journal of Geology, v. 106, p. 565–574,

http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/516042.

- Bond, G.C., Nickeson, P.A., and Kominz, M.A., 1984, Breakup of a supercontinent between 625 Ma and 555 Ma: new evidence and implications for continental histories: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 70, p. 325–345, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(84)90017-7.
- Brem, A.G., Lin, S. van Staal, C.R, Davis, D.W., and McNicoll, V.J., 2007, The Middle Ordovician to Early Silurian voyage of the Dashwoods microcontinent, West Newfoundland, based on new U/Pb and <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar geochronological, and kinematic constraints: American Journal of Science, v. 307, p. 311–338, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.2475/02.2007.01.
- Buck, W.R., Martinez, F., Steckler, M.S., and Cochran, J.R., 1988, Thermal consequences of lithospheric extension: Pure and simple: Tectonics, v. 7, p. 213–234, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1029/TC007i002p00213.
- Bursnall, J.T., 1975, Stratigraphy, structure and metamorphism west of Baie Verte, Burlington Peninsula, Newfoundland: Unpublished PhD thesis, Cambridge University, England, 337 p.

Bursnall, J.T., and de Wit, M.J., 1975, Timing and development of the orthotectonic zone in the Appalachian orogen of northwest Newfoundland: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 12, p. 1712–1722,

http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e75-152.

- Burton, W.C., and Southworth, S., 2010, A model for Iapetan rifting of Laurentia based on Neoproterozoic dikes and related rocks, *in* Tollo, R.P., Batholomew, M.J., Hibbard, J.P., and Karabinos, P.M., *eds.*, From Rodinia to Pangea: The lithotectonic record of the Appalachian region: Geological Society of America Memoir 206, p. 455–476.
- Cabanis, B., and Lecolle, M., 1989, Le diagramme La/10-Y/15-Nb/8; un outil pour la discrimination des series volcaniques et la mise en evidence des processus de melange et/ou de contamination crustale. The

La/10–Y/15–Nb/8 diagram; A tool for distinguishing volcanic series and discovering crustal mixing and/or contamination: Comptes Rendus de l'Academie des Sciences, Serie 2, Mecanique, Physique, Chimie, Sciences de l'Univers, Sciences de la Terre, v. 309, p. 2023–2029.

- Castonguay, S., Ruffet, G., Tremblay, A., and Féraud, G., 2001, Tectonometamorphic evolution of the southern Quebec Appalachians: <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar evidence for Middle Ordovician crustal thickening and Silurian–Early Devonian exhumation of the internal Humber zone: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 113, p. 144–160, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(2001)113<0144:TEOTSQ>2.0.C O;2.
- Castonguay, S., Skulski, T., van Staal, C.R., McNicoll, V., and Joyce, N., 2010, Structure and timing of deformation and metamorphism of the Baie Verte Peninsula, Newfoundland Appalachians, *in* Kerr, A. and Pereira, C., *eds.*, Annual Meeting Geological Association of Canada: Newfoundland and Labrador section Program and Abstracts, p.2.
- Castonguay, S., Kim, J., Thompson, P.J., Gale, M.H., Joyce, N., Laird, J., and Doolan, B.L., 2012, Timing of tectonometamorphism across the Green Mountain anticlinorium, northern Vermont Appalachians: <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar data and correlations with southern Quebec: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 124, p. 352–367, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/B30487.1.
- Cawood, P.A., and Nemchin, A.A., 2001, Paleogeographic development of the

east Laurentian margin: Constraints from U–Pb dating of detrital zircons in the Newfoundland Appalachians: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 113, p. 1234–1246, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(2001)113<1234:PDOTEL>2.0.C O;2.

- Cawood, P.A., Dunning, G.R., Lux, D., and van Gool, J.A.M., 1994, Timing of peak metamorphism and deformation along the Appalachian margin of Laurentia in Newfoundland: Silurian, not Ordovician: Geology, v. 22, p. 399–402, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1130/0091-7613(1994)022<0399: TOPMAD>2.3.CO;2.
- Cawood, P.A., van Gool, J.A.M., and Dunning, G.R., 1996, Geological development of eastern Humber and western Dunnage zones: Corner Brook – Glover Island region, Newfoundland: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 33, p. 182–198,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e96-017. Cawood, P.A., McCausland, P.J.A., and Dunning, G.R., 2001, Opening Iapetus: Constraints from the Laurentian margin in Newfoundland: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 113, p. 443–453, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1130/0016-7606(2001)113 <0443:OICFTL>2.0.CO;2.
- Chew, D.M., 2001, Basement protrusion origin of serpentinite in the Dalradian: Irish Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 19, p. 23–35.
- Chew, D.M., 2003, Structural and stratigraphic relationships across the continuation of the Highland Boundary Fault in western Ireland: Geological Magazine, v. 140, p. 73–85, http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S00167568 02007008.
- Chew, D.M., Daly, J.S., Page, L.M., and Kennedy, M.J., 2003, Grampian orogenesis and the development of blueschist-facies metamorphism in western Ireland: Journal of the Geological Society, v. 160, p. 911–924, http://dx.doi.org/10.1144/0016-764903-012.
- Chew, D.M., Flowerdew, M.J., Page, L.M., Crowley, Q.G., Daly, J.S., Cooper, M., and Whitehouse, M.J., 2008, The tectonothermal evolution and provenance of the Tyrone Central Inlier, Ireland: Grampian imbrication of an outboard Laurentian microcontinent?: Journal of the Geological Society, v. 165, p. 675–685, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1144/0016-76492007-120.
- Chew, D.M., Daly, J.S., Magna, T., Page, L.M., Kirkland, C.L., Whitehouse, M.J., and Lam, R., 2010, Timing of

ophiolite obduction in the Grampian orogen: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 122, p. 1787–1799, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/B30139.1.

- Cloetingh, S., Wortel, R., and Vlaar, N.J., 1989, On the initiation of subduction zones: Pure and Applied Geophysics, v. 129, p. 7–25, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/BF00874622.
- De Paolo, D.J., 1981, Neodymium isotopes in the Colorado Front Range and crust–mantle evolution in the Proterozoic: Nature, v. 291, p. 193–196, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/291193a0.
- de Wit, M.J., and Strong, D.F., 1975, Eclogite-bearing amphibolites from the Appalachian mobile belt, northwest Newfoundland: Dry versus wet metamorphism: The Journal of Geology, v. 83, p. 609–627, http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/628144.
- Doolan, B.L., Gale, M.H., Gale, P.N., and Hoar, R.S., 1982, Geology of the Quebec Re-entrant: Possible constraints from early rifts and the Vermont-Quebec serpentinite belt, *in* St. Julien, P., and Beland, J., *eds.*, Major structural zones and faults of the northern Appalachians: Geological Association of Canada Special Paper 24, p. 87–116.
- Dunning, G.R., and Krogh, T.E., 1985, Geochronology of ophiolites of the Newfoundland Appalachians: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 22, p. 1659–1670,

http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e85-174.

- Escayola, M.P., van Staal, C.R., and Davis, W.J., 2011, The age and tectonic setting of the Puncoviscana Formation in northwestern Argentina: An accretionary complex related to Early Cambrian closure of the Puncoviscana Ocean and accretion of the Arequipa-Antofalla block: Journal of South American Earth Sciences, v. 32, p. 438–459, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jsames.2011.04.013.
- Garson, M.S., and Plant, J., 1973, Alpine type ultramafic rocks and episodic mountain building in the Scottish Highlands: Nature Physical Science, v. 242, p. 34–38, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/physci242034a0.
- Gower, C.F., Kamo, S.L., Kwok, K., and Krogh, T.E., 2008, Proterozoic southward accretion and Grenvillian orogenesis in the interior Grenville Province in eastern Labrador: Evidence from U–Pb geochronological investigations: Precambrian Research, v. 165, p. 61–95, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.precamres.2008.06.007.
- Heaman, L.M., Erdmer, P., and Owen, J.V., 2002, U–Pb geochronologic con-

straints on the crustal evolution of the Long Range Inlier, Newfoundland: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 39, p. 845–865,

http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e02-015.

- Hibbard, J., 1983, Geology of the Baie Verte Peninsula, Newfoundland: Mineral Development division Department of Mines and Energy, Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, Memoir 2, 279 p.
- Hibbard, J., 1988, Stratigraphy of the Fleur de Lys Belt, northwest Newfoundland, *in* Winchester, J.A., *ed.*, Later Proterozoic Stratigraphy of the Northern Atlantic Regions: Springer, US, p. 200–211, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/978-1-4615-7344-9\_16.
- Hibbard, J.P., St. Julien, P., and Trzcienski, W.E., Jr., 1995, Humber Zone internal, *in* Williams, H., *ed.*, Chapter 3 of Geology of the Appalachian-Caledonian Orogen in Canada and Greenland: Geological Survey of Canada, Geology of Canada, no. 6, p. 114–139.
- Hibbard, J.P., van Staal, C.R., and Rankin, D.W., 2007, A comparative analysis of pre-Silurian crustal building blocks of the northern and the southern Appalachian orogen: American Journal of Science, v. 307, p. 23–45, http://dx.doi.org/10.2475/ 01.2007.02.
- Hodych, J.P., and Cox, R.A., 2007, Edicaran U–Pb zircon dates for the Lac Matapedia and Mt. St.-Anselme basalts of the Quebec Appalachians: support for a long-lived mantle plume during the rifting phase of Iapetus opening: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 44, p. 565–581, http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e06-112.
- Huismans, R.S., and Beaumont, C., 2002, Asymmetric lithospheric extension: The role of frictional plastic strain softening inferred from numerical experiments: Geology, v. 30, p. 211--214, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/ 0091-7613(2002)030 <0211:ALETRO>2.0.CO;2.

Jaffey, A.H., Flynn, K.F., Glendenin, L.E., Bentley, W.C., and Essling, A.M., 1971, Precision measurement of half-lives and specific activities of <sup>235</sup>U and <sup>238</sup>U: Physical Review C, v. 4, p. 1889–1906, http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/Phys-RevC.4.1889.

Jagoutz, O., Müntener, O., Manatschal, G., Rubatto, D., Péron-Pinvidic, G., Turrin, B.D., and Villa, I.M., 2007, The rift-to-drift transition in the North Atlantic: A stuttering start of the MORB machine?: Geology, v. 35, p. 1087–1090, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1130/G23613A.1.

- Kamo, S.L., Gower, C.F., and Krogh, T.E., 1989, Birthdate for the Iapetus
  Ocean? A precise U–Pb zircon and baddeleyite age for the Long Range dikes, southeast Labrador: Geology, v. 17, p. 602–605, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1130/0091-7613(1989)017
  <0602:BFTLOA>2.3.CO;2.
- Karabinos, P., Samson, S.D., Hepburn, J.C., and Stoll, H.M., 1998, Taconian orogeny in the New England Appalachians: Collision between Laurentia and the Shelburne Falls arc: Geology, v. 26, p. 215–218, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1998)026<0215:TOITNE> 2.3.CO;2.
- Kennedy, M.J., 1971, Structure and stratigraphy of the Fleur de Lys Supergroup in the Fleur de Lys area, Burlington Peninsula, Newfoundland: Geological Association of Canada, Proceedings 24, p. 59–71.
- Kennedy, M.J., 1980, Serpentinite-bearing melange in the Dalradian of County Mayo and its significance in the development of the Dalradian Basin: Journal of Earth Sciences, Royal Dublin Society, v. 3, p. 117-126.
- Košler, J., and Sylvester, P.J., 2003, Present trends and the future of zircon in geochronology: Laser ablation ICPMS: Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, v. 53, p. 243–275,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.2113/0530243. Košler, J., Forst, L., Sláma, J., 2008, Lamdate and Lamtool: spreadsheet-based data reduction for laser ablation ICP-MS, *in* Sylvester, P., *ed.*, Laser Ablation ICP-MS in the Earth Sciences: Current Practices and Outstanding Issues: Mineralogical Association of Canada, Short Course Series 40, p. 315-317.
- Krogh, T.E., 1982, Improved accuracy of U–Pb zircon ages by the creation of more concordant systems using an air abrasion technique: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 46, p. 637–649, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(82)90165-X.
- Kumarapeli, P.S., Dunning, G.R., Pintson, H., and Shaver, J., 1989, Geochemistry and U–Pb zircon age of comenditic metafelsites of the Tibbit Hill Formation, Quebec Appalachians: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 26, p. 1374–1383, http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e89-117.
- Leslie, A G., Smith, M., and Soper, N.J., 2008, Laurentian margin evolution and the Caledonian orogeny – A template for Scotland and East Greenland, *in* Higgins, A.K., Giulotti, J.A., and Smith, M.P., *eds.*, The Greenland Caledonides: Evolution of the Northeast

margin of Laurentia: Geological Society of America Memoir 202, p. 307–343, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1130/2008.1202(13).

- Ludwig, K.R., 2003, User's manual for Isoplot/Ex rev.3.00: A geochronological Toolkit for Microsoft Excel, v. 4: Berkeley Geochronology Center Special Publication, Berkeley, California, U.S.A., p. 1–70.
- Manatschal, G., 2004, New models for evolution of magma-poor rifted margins based on a review of data and concepts from West Iberia and the Alps: International Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 93, p. 432–466, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00531-004-0394-7.
- Manatschal, G., and Müntener, O., 2009, A type sequence across an ancient magma-poor ocean-continent transition: the example of the western Alpine Tethys ophiolites: Tectonophysics, v. 473, p. 4–19, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.200 8.07.021.
- Manatschal, G., Engström, A., Desmurs, L., Schaltegger, U., Cosca, M., Müntener, O., Bernoulli, D., 2006, What is the tectono-metamorphic evolution of continental break-up: The example of the Tasna Ocean-Continent transition: Journal of Structural Geology, v. 28, p. 1849–1869, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jsg.2006.07.014.
- Manatschal, G., Müntener, O., Lavier, L.L, Minshull, T. A., and Péron-Pinvidic, G., 2007, Observations from the alpine Tethys and Iberia-Newfoundland margins pertinent to the interpretation of continental breakup, *in* Karner, G.D., Manatschal, G., and Pinheiro, L.M., *eds.*, Imaging, Mapping and Modeling Continental Lithosphere Extension and Breakup: Geological Society, London, Special Publications 282, p. 291–324.
- Manatschal, G., Sauter, D., Karpoff, A.M., Masini, E., Mohn, G., and Lagabrielle, Y., 2011, The Chenaillet Ophiolite in the French/Italian Alps: An ancient analogue for an oceanic core complex?: Lithos, v. 124, p. 169–184, http://dx.doi.org/
- 10.1016/j.lithos.2010.10.017.
  McCausland, P.J.A., Van der Voo, R., and Hall, C.M., 2007, Circum-Iapetus paleogeography of the Precambrian-Cambrian transition with a new paleomagnetic constraint from Laurentia: Precambrian Research, v. 156, p. 125–152, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2007.03.004.
- Mitchell, R.N., Kilian, T.M., Raub, T.D., Evans, D.A.D, Bleeker, W., and Mal-

oof, A.C., 2011, Sutton hotspot: Resolving Ediacaran-Cambrian tectonics and true polar wander for Laurentia: American Journal of Science, v. 311, p. 651–663, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.2475/08.2011.01.

- Mohn, G., Manatschal, G., Müntener, O., Beltrando, M., and Masini, E., 2010, Unravelling the interaction between tectonic and sedimentary processes during lithospheric thinning in the Alpine Tethys margins: International Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 99, p. 75–101, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/s00531-010-0566-6.
- Müntener, O., and Manatschal, G., 2006, High degrees of melt extraction recorded by spinel harzburgite of the Newfoundland margin: The role of inheritance and consequences for the evolution of the southern North Atlantic: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 252, p. 437–452, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006. 10.009.
- Müntener, O., Manatschal, G., Desmurs, L., and Pettke, T., 2009, Plagioclase peridotites in Ocean-continent transitions: Refertilised mantle domains generated by melt stagnation in the shallow mantle lithosphere: Journal of Petrology, v. 51, p. 153–183.
- Nikolaeva, K., Gerya, T.V., and Marques, F.O., 2011, Numerical analysis of subduction initiation risk along the Atlantic American passive margins: Geology, v. 39, p. 463–466,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/G31972.1. Niu, Y., 2004, Bulk-rock major and trace element compositions of abyssal peridotites: Implications for mantle melting, melt extraction and post-melting processes beneath mid-ocean ridges: Journal of Petrology, v. 45(12), p. 2423–2458, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1093/petrology/egh068.
- O'Brien, T.M., and van der Pluijm, B.A., 2012, Timing of Iapetus Ocean rifting from Ar geochronology of pseudotachylytes in the St. Lawrence rift system of southern Quebec: Geology, v. 40, p. 443–446,

http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/G32691.1.

- Osmundsen, P.T., and Ebbing, J., 2008, Styles of extension offshore mid-Norway and implications for mechanisms of crustal thinning at passive margins: Tectonics, v. 27, TC6016, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2007TC00 2242.
- Parrish, R.R., Roddick, J.C., Loveridge, W.D., and Sullivan, R.W., 1987, Uranium–lead analytical techniques at the geochronology laboratory: Geological Survey of Canada Paper 87-2, p. 3–7.

- Pearce, J.A., 1996, A user's guide to basalt discrimination diagrams, *in* Wyman, D.A., *ed.*, Trace element geochemistry of volcanic rocks: Applications for massive sulphide exploration: Geological Association of Canada, Short Course Notes, v. 12, p. 79–113.
- Pearce, J.A., Harris, N.B.W., and Tindle, A.G., 1984, Trace element discrimination diagrams for the tectonic interpretation of granitic rocks: Journal of Petrology, v. 25, p. 956–983, http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/petrology/25.4.956.
- Péron-Pinvidic, G., and Manatschal, G., 2009, The final rifting evolution at a deep magma-poor passive margins from Iberia-Newfoundland: A new point of view: International Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 98, p. 1581–1597, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00531-008-0337-9.
- Péron-Pinvidic, G., and Manatschal, G., 2010, From microcontinents to extensional allochthons: witnesses of how continents rift and break apart?: Petroleum Geoscience, v. 16, p. 189–197, http://dx.doi.org/10.1144/1354-079309-903.
- Pollock, J.C., Hibbard, J.P., and Sylvester, P.J., 2009, Early Ordovician rifting of Avalonia and birth of the Rheic Ocean: U–Pb detrital zircon constraints from Newfoundland: Journal of the Geological Society, v. 166, p. 501–515, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1144/0016-76492008-088.
- Puffer, J.H., 2002, A late Neoproterozoic eastern Laurentia superplume: Location, size, chemical composition, and environmental impact: American Journal of Science, v. 302, p. 1–27, http://dx.doi.org/10.2475/ajs.302.1.1.
- Reston, T., and Manatschal, G., 2011, Rifted margins: Building blocks of later collision- Chapter 1, *in* Brown, D., and Ryan, P.D., *eds*, Arc-Continent Collision, Frontiers in Earth Sciences: Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, p. 3–21, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-88558-0\_1.
- Reynolds., J.R., and Langmuir, C.H., 2000, Identification and implications of offaxis lava flows around the east Pacific Rise: Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, v. 1, 1999GC000033.
- Richard, P., Shimizu, N., and Allègre, C.J., 1976, <sup>143</sup>Nd/<sup>146</sup>Nd, a natural tracer: an application to oceanic basalts: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 31, p. 269–278, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/0012-821X(76)90219-3.
- Robertson, A.H.F., 2007, Evidence of continental breakup from the Newfoundland rifted margin (Ocean Drilling

Program Leg 210): Lower Cretaceous seafloor formed by exhumation of subcontinental mantle lithosphere, and the transition to seafloor spreading, *in* Tucholke, B.E., Sibuet, J.-C., and Klaus, A., *eds.*, Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results, v. 210: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program), p. 1–69, http://dx.doi.org/10.2973/odp.proc.s r.210.104.2007.

- Roddick, J.C., 1987, Generalized numerical error analysis with applications to geochronology and thermodynamics: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 51, p. 2129–2135, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/0016-7037(87)90261-4.
- Savov, I.P., Ryan, J.G., D'Antonio, M., Kelley, K., and Mattie, P., 2005, Geochemistry of serpentinized peridotites from the Mariana forearc Conical Seamount, ODP Leg 125: Implications for the elemental recycling at subduction zones: Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, v. 6, Q04J15, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2004GC00 0777.
- Sibuet, J.C., and Tucholke, B.E., 2012, The geodynamic province of transitional lithosphere adjacent to magma-poor continental margins: Geological Society of London Special Publications 369,

http://dx.doi.org/10.1144/SP369.15.

- Skulski, T., Castonguay, S., McNicoll, V., van Staal, C.R., Kidd, W., Rogers, N., Morris, W., Ugalde, H., Slavinski, H., Spicer, W., Moussalam, Y., and Kerr, I., 2010, Tectonostratigraphy of the Baie Verte oceanic tract and its ophiolite cover sequence on the Baie Verte peninsula: Current Research, Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Natural Resources, Geological Survey Report 10-1, p. 315–335.
- Sláma, J., Košler, J., Condon, D.J., Crowley, J.L., Gerdes, A., Hanchar, J.M., Horstwood, M.S.A., Morris, G.A., Nasdala, L., Norberg, N., Schaltegger, U., Schoene, B., Tubrett, M.N. and Whitehouse, M.J., 2008, Plešovice zircon – A new natural reference material for U–Pb and Hf isotopic microanalysis: Chemical Geology, v. 249, p. 1–35, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2007.11.005.
- St.-Julien, P., 1987, Géologie des régions de St. Victor et de Thetford mines (moitié est): Ministère de l'Énergie et des Ressources du Québec Report MM 8601, 66 p.
- Stacey, J.S., Kramers, J.D., 1975, Approximation of terrestrial lead isotope evolution by a two-stage model: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 26,

p.207–221, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/0012-821X(75)90088-6.

- Sun, S.-s., and McDonough, W.F., 1989, Chemical and isotopic systematics of oceanic basalts: Implications for mantle composition and processes: Geological Society, London Special Publications, v. 42, p. 313–345, http://dx.doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.19 89.042.01.19.
- Sutra, E., and Manatschal, G., 2012, How does the continental crust thin in a hyperextended rifted margin? Insights from the Iberia margin: Geology, v. 40, p. 139–142,

http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/G32786.1.

- Sylvester, P.J., and Ghaderi, M., 1997, Trace element analysis of scheelite by excimer laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ELA-ICP-MS) using a synthetic glass standard: Chemical Geology, v. 141, p. 49–65, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/S0009-2541(97)00057-0.
- Taylor, B., 1992, Rifting and the volcanictectonic evolution of the Izu-Bonin-Mariana Arc, *in* Taylor, B., Fujioka, K. et al., *eds.*, Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results, v. 126, p. 627–651.
- Tollo, R.P., Aleinikoff, J.N., Bartholomew, M.J, and Rankin, D.W., 2004, Neoproterozoic A-type granitoids of the central and southern Appalachians: intraplate magmatism associated with episodic rifting of the Rodinian supercontinent: Precambrian Research, v. 128, p. 3–38, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.precamres.2003.08.007.
- Tucholke, B.E., Sawyer, D.S., and Sibuet, J.C., 2007, Break-up of the Newfoundland-Iberia rift, *in* Karner, G.D., Manatschal, G., and Pinheiro, L.M., *eds.*, Imaging, Mapping and Modelling Continental Lithosphere Extension and breakup: Geological Society Special Publications 282, p. 9–46.
- van der Velden, A.J., van Staal, C.R., and Cook, F.A., 2004, Crustal structure, fossil subduction, and the tectonic evolution of the Newfoundland Appalachians: Evidence from a reprocessed seismic reflection survey: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 116, p. 1485-1498, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/B25518.1.
- van Staal, C.R., and Hatcher, R.D, Jr., 2010, Global setting of Ordovician orogenesis, *in* Finney, S., Barnes, C., and Berry, R., *eds.*, Global Ordovician earth systems: Geological Society of America Special Paper 466, p. 1–11, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/ 2010.2466(01).
- van Staal, C.R., Dewey, J.F., MacNiocaill,

C., and McKerrow, S., 1998, The Cambrian–Silurian tectonic evolution of the northern Appalachians: History of a complex, southwest Pacific-type segment of Iapetus, *in* Blundell, D.J., and Scott, A.C., *eds.*, Lyell: The Past is the Key to the Present: Geological Society Special Publication 143, p. 199–242.

- van Staal, C.R., Whalen, J.B., McNicoll, V.J., Pehrsson, S.J., Lissenberg, C.J., Zagorevski, A., van Breemen, O., and Jenner, G.A., 2007, The Notre Dame arc and the Taconic Orogeny in Newfoundland, *in* Hatcher, R.D., Jr., Carlson, M.P., McBride, J.H., and Martínez Catalán, J.R., *eds.*, 4-D framework of continental crust: Geological Society of America Memoir 200, p. 511–552.
- van Staal, C.R., Castonguay, S., McNicoll, V., Brem, A., Hibbard, J., Skulski, T., and Joyce, N., 2009a, Taconic arc-continent collision confirmed in the Newfoundland Appalachians: Geological Society of America, 44th Annual Meeting, NE-section, p. 4.
- van Staal, C.R., Whalen, J.B., Valverde-Vaquero, P., Zagorevski, A., and Rogers, N., 2009b, Pre-Carboniferous, episodic accretion-related, orogenesis along the Laurentian margin of the northern Appalachians, *in* Murphy, J.B., Keppie, J.D., and Hynes, A.J., *eds.*, Ancient orogens and modern analogues: Geological Society London Special Publications 327, p. 271–316.
- Waldron, J.W.F., and van Staal, C.R., 2001, Taconian orogeny and the accretion of the Dashwoods block: A peri-Laurentian microcontinent in the Iapetus Ocean: Geology, v. 29, p. 811–814, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(2001)029<0811:TOATAO> 2.0.CO:2.
- Weinberg, R.F., Regenauer-Lieb, K., and Rosenbaum, G., 2007, Mantle detachment faults and the breakup of cold continental lithosphere: Geology, v. 35, p. 1035–1038, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1130/G23918A.1.
- Whalen, J.B., Jenner, G.A., Longstaffe, F.J., Gariepy, C., and Fryer, B.J., 1997, Implications of granitoid geochemical and isotopic (Nd, O, Pb) data from the Cambrian-Ordovician Notre Dame Arc for the evolution of the central mobile belt, Newfoundland Appalachians: Geological Society of America Memoir, v. 191, p. 367–395.
- Wiedenbeck, M., Allé, P., Corfu, F., Griffin, W.L., Meier, M., Oberli, F., Von Quadt, A., Roddick, J.C., and Spiegel, W., 1995, Three natural zircon standards for U–Th–Pb, Lu–Hf, trace element and REE analyses: Geostan-

dards Newsletter, v. 19, p. 1–23, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-908X.1995.tb00147.x.

- Williams, H., 1977, Ophiolitic mélange and its significance in the Fleur de Lys Supergroup, northern Appalachians: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 14, p. 987–1003,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e77-091. Williams, H., and Hiscott, R.N., 1987, Definition of the Iapetus rift-drift transition in western Newfoundland: Geology, v. 15, p. 1044–1047, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1987)15<1044:DOTLRT> 2.0.CO;2.
- Willner, A.P., Massonne, H., van Staal, C.R., and Zagorevski, A., 2012, Contrasting PT-evolution during an Ordovician arc-continent collision at the Laurentian margin in western Newfoundland (abstract): Geological Association of Canada – Mineralogical Association of Canada, Joint Annual Meeting, St. John's 2012, Program with Abstracts, p.152.
- Winchester, J.A., Williams, H., Max, M.D., and van Staal, C.R., 1992, Does the Birchy Complex of Newfoundland extend into Ireland?: Journal of the Geological Society, v. 149, p. 159–162, http://dx.doi.org/10.1144/gsjgs.149.2. 0159.
- Yamasaki, T., and Gernigon, L., 2010, Redistribution of the lithosphere deformation by the emplacement of underplated mafic bodies: Implications for microcontinent formation: Journal of the Geological Society, v. 167, p. 961–971, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1144/0016-76492010-027.
- Zagorevski, A., Rogers, N., van Staal, C.R., McNicoll, V., Lissenberg, C.J., and Valverde-Vaquero, P., 2006, Lower to Middle Ordovician evolution of peri-Laurentian arc and back-arc complexes in Iapetus: Constraints from the Annieopsquotch accretionary tract, central Newfoundland: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 118, p. 324–342,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/B25775.1. Zagorevski, A., and van Staal, C.R., 2011, The record of Ordovician arc-arc and arc-continent collisions in the Canadian Appalachians during the closure of Iapetus –Chapter 12, *in* Brown, D., and Ryan, P.D., *eds.*, Arc-Continent Collision, Frontiers in Earth Sciences: Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, p. 341–371, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/978-3-540-88558-0\_12.

Received December 2012 Accepted as revised March 2013