





**The case for centralization of academic accommodations in undergraduate medical education**  
**Arguments en faveur d'une centralisation des aménagements universitaires dans la formation médicale de premier cycle**

Quinten K Clarke, Kyla Taylor , Nadine Abu-Ghazaleh and Stephanie R Beldick 

Volume 15, Number 2, 2024

URI: <https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1111574ar>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.78355>

[See table of contents](#)

Publisher(s)

Canadian Medical Education Journal

ISSN

1923-1202 (digital)

[Explore this journal](#)

Cite this document

Clarke, Q., Taylor, K., Abu-Ghazaleh, N. & Beldick, S. (2024). The case for centralization of academic accommodations in undergraduate medical education. *Canadian Medical Education Journal / Revue canadienne de l'éducation médicale*, 15(2), 107–109. <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.78355>

© Quinten K Clarke, Kyla Taylor, Nadine Abu-Ghazaleh and Stephanie R Beldick, 2024



This document is protected by copyright law. Use of the services of Érudit (including reproduction) is subject to its terms and conditions, which can be viewed online.

<https://apropos.erudit.org/en/users/policy-on-use/>

**érudit**

This article is disseminated and preserved by Érudit.

Érudit is a non-profit inter-university consortium of the Université de Montréal, Université Laval, and the Université du Québec à Montréal. Its mission is to promote and disseminate research.

<https://www.erudit.org/en/>

## The case for centralization of academic accommodations in undergraduate medical education

### Arguments en faveur d'une centralisation des aménagements universitaires dans la formation médicale de premier cycle

Quinten K Clarke,<sup>1</sup> Kyla Taylor,<sup>2</sup> Nadine Abu-Ghazaleh,<sup>3</sup> Stephanie R Beldick<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of British Columbia, British Columbia, Canada; <sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Calgary, Alberta, Canada; <sup>3</sup>Department of Family Medicine, McMaster University, Ontario, Canada; <sup>4</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, University of Rochester, New York, USA

Correspondence to: Dr. Quinten Clarke; University of British Columbia Department of Psychiatry, Detwiller Pavilion, 2255 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6T 2A1; email: [quinten.clarke@medportal.ca](mailto:quinten.clarke@medportal.ca)

Published ahead of issue: Dec 14, 2023; published: May 1, 2024. CMEJ 2024, 15(2) Available at <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.78355>

© 2024 Clarke, Taylor, Abu-Ghazaleh, Beldick; licensee Synergies Partners. This is an Open Journal Systems article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

## Introduction

Medical students with disabilities are an integral part of the field of medicine. Their presence contributes to much needed diversity in medicine and therefore, helps the medical community to reflect the populations that it seeks to treat, namely society at large.

Clarke et al.<sup>1</sup> previously defined disability as “a product of the interaction between the social environment and an individual's health condition, in which a person with an impairment cannot fully participate in society due to an environment which is structured to favour able-bodied individuals.” Per Saltes,<sup>2</sup> accommodations recognizes that “spaces and environments are not accessible to everyone and that modifications at the individual level may be required in order for some people to gain access and/or be able to fully participate.”

Academic accommodations are imperative to provide medical students with disabilities an equitable experience as compared to their non-disabled peers. Although the prevalence of students requiring accommodations is increasing,<sup>3,4,5</sup> the process to acquire accommodations remain variable, complex, and burdensome.<sup>6</sup> These processes often require additional documentation, medical appointments, and personal statements that can take hours to days to collate and complete.<sup>7,8</sup>

Medical students have largely predetermined academic trajectories that include preclerkship, clerkship, licensing exams, and residency. Despite the predictability of one's medical education preceding clinical practice, the fulfillment of accommodations is not a streamlined process and often requires several independent and labour-intensive documentation requests. In the Canadian context, accommodation processes would be required at the medical school level for preclerkship and clerkship rotations, for the Medical Council of Canada's (MCC) Qualifying Examination Part I, for the Canadian Residency Matching Service R-1 Main Residency Match, and subsequently for residency; the American equivalents would include preclerkship and clerkship rotations, the United States Medical Licensing Examinations (USMLE), the National Residency Match Program, the San Francisco Match Program, and residency.

Although students with disabilities should be supported to obtain accommodations, Canadian health professionals with disabilities continue to express difficulty in securing accommodations.<sup>9</sup> They note limited institutional support with lengthy waiting periods for accommodation approvals, and an absence of an identifiable, accountable administrator responsible for overseeing accommodation.<sup>9</sup> This is troubling given that the consequences for students with disabilities and for the organizations in which they work not obtaining accommodations are significant.

Petersen et al. showed that 3% of students with disabilities who took the USMLE Part I without accommodations failed it and withdrew or were dismissed from their medical education program.<sup>10</sup>

We advocate for a centralization and standardization of the academic accommodations processes. Centralization of accommodations processes would most logically occur at the medical school level. Medical schools are the first point of contact for medical trainees and have the most sustained academic relationship with students. Temporally, students would require accommodations in that setting before obtaining accommodations through licensing examinations, the residency selection process, or in residency. Although the accommodations process will be centralized, personalization of medical students' accommodations will still be required. Further, implementation of these accommodations will need to occur through the various stakeholder bodies.

Standardization and centralization would benefit both students and stakeholder organizations by reducing the workload and time investment associated with processing accommodation requests. As previously mentioned, the prevalence of accommodations is rising which has increased the labour and resource costs required to evaluate these requests appropriately. By streamlining this process, the existing resources available would be used more efficiently and organizations such as the MCC and the USMLE could reallocate resources to other areas of education.

Centralization and standardization of accommodations processes would also reduce the labour required by medical students with disabilities when requesting and implementing their accommodation needs. Medical students with disabilities should not have to be burdened by hours of additional, unremunerated tedious labour on administrative tasks, not required by their peers, that distract from time better spent learning how to practice medicine.

It should be noted that learners with transient disabilities, such as those who require mobility aids secondary to healing fractures, may also benefit from a centralized documentation process. These students are less likely to be familiar with the processes involved in obtaining academic accommodations and may have more urgent requests if their condition occurs shortly before an examination or other academic demand.

By having a centralized accommodations body, efforts could be made to fill existing knowledge gaps among current staff and human resources departments, such as through the recruitment of dedicated disability resource professionals, and to ensure that programs are adherent to disability legislation. Efforts should be made to increase the transparency of accommodations processes and collect and analyze measures that could be utilized for quality improvement of these processes.

Current wellness initiatives such as the Association of Faculties of Medicine of Canada (AFMC) implementation of the Okanagan Charter should consider this a priority area for improvement. The Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC) and AFMC should prioritize establishing a forum for stakeholders to meet to discuss how a centralized approach could be implemented and optimized.

If medical schools and stakeholder organizations intend to promote learner wellness and recruit a diverse group of physicians, then advocating for and committing to a centralized accommodation process should be established as a shared goal to promote equitable learning experiences. The removal of excessive and unnecessary administrative burdens on learners with disabilities would promote a more equitable learning environment. This in turn would have a positive impact on both the mental health and clinical learning goals of learners with disabilities. It is unreasonable to ask medical trainees to complete these administrative tasks and remain successful in their medical training with no effect on their learning goals or mental health.

Centralization and standardization of the accommodations process at the medical school level would serve to accelerate the inclusion, and highlight the value of learners with disabilities, and reduce administrative, emotional, and physical burdens on the individual and systemic levels.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors have no competing interests.

**Edited by:** Marcel D'Eon (editor-in-chief)

## References

1. Clarke QK, Gertsman S, Sandino-Gold A. National data needs for medical trainees with disabilities. *Can Fam Phys*. 2023 Jun 19. Available from: <https://www.cfp.ca/news/2022/10/18/10-18> [Accessed Jan 4, 2023].
2. Saltes N. Disability barriers in academia: An analysis of disability accommodation policies for faculty at Canadian universities. *Can J Disabil Studies*. 2020 Feb 27;9(1):53-90. <https://doi.org/10.15353/cjds.v9i1.596>

3. Clarke QK, Hanes J. Prevalence of test accommodations for the Medical Council of Canada Qualifying Exam Part I, 2013-2021. *Can Med Ed J*. 2023 May 30. <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.76934>
4. Clarke QK, Hanes JE. Updated data on test accommodations on the Medical Council of Canada Qualifying Exam Part I, 2013-2021. *Can Med Ed J*. 2023 Aug 28. <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.77805>
5. Pereira-Lima K, Plegue MA, Case B, et al. prevalence of disability and use of accommodation among us allopathic medical school students before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2023 Jun 14;6(6):e2318310. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.18310>
6. Clarke QK. Reimagining disability accommodations in medical education. *Postgrad Med J*. 2023 Apr;99(1170):238-9. <https://doi.org/10.1136/pmj-2022-142088>
7. Medical Council of Canada. *Test accommodations*. Available from: <https://mcc.ca/examinations/test-accommodations> [Accessed Jan 4, 2023].
8. United States Medical Licensing Examination. *General guidelines to request test accommodations* Available from: <https://www.usmle.org/step-exams/test-accommodations/guidelines> [Accessed Jan 4, 2023].
9. Jarus T, Krupa T, Mayer Y, et al. Negotiating legitimacy and belonging: Disabled students' and practitioners' experience. *Med Ed*. 2023 Jun;57(6):535-47. <https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.15002>
10. Petersen KH, Jain NR, Case B, Jain S, Meeks LM. Impact of USMLE Step-1 accommodation denial on US medical schools: A national survey. Cray J, editor. *PLoS ONE*. 2022 Apr 14;17(4):e0266685. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0266685>