

Relations industrielles Industrial Relations



“Heal Thyself:” Managing Health Care Reform by Pat ARMSTRONG, Hugh ARMSTRONG, Ivy BOURGEAULT, Jacqueline CHOINIERE, Eric MYKHALOVSKIY and Jerry P. WHITE, Aurora: Garamond Press, 2000, 171 pp., ISBN 1-55193-024-2.

Larry Haiven

Volume 57, numéro 2, printemps 2002

URI : <https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/006795ar>

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.7202/006795ar>

[Aller au sommaire du numéro](#)

Éditeur(s)

Département des relations industrielles de l'Université Laval

ISSN

0034-379X (imprimé)

1703-8138 (numérique)

[Découvrir la revue](#)

Citer ce compte rendu

Haiven, L. (2002). Compte rendu de [*“Heal Thyself:” Managing Health Care Reform* by Pat ARMSTRONG, Hugh ARMSTRONG, Ivy BOURGEAULT, Jacqueline CHOINIERE, Eric MYKHALOVSKIY and Jerry P. WHITE, Aurora: Garamond Press, 2000, 171 pp., ISBN 1-55193-024-2.] *Relations industrielles / Industrial Relations*, 57(2), 413–415. <https://doi.org/10.7202/006795ar>

Tous droits réservés © Département des relations industrielles de l'Université Laval, 2002

Ce document est protégé par la loi sur le droit d'auteur. L'utilisation des services d'Érudit (y compris la reproduction) est assujettie à sa politique d'utilisation que vous pouvez consulter en ligne.

<https://apropos.erudit.org/fr/usagers/politique-dutilisation/>

érudit

Cet article est diffusé et préservé par Érudit.

Érudit est un consortium interuniversitaire sans but lucratif composé de l'Université de Montréal, l'Université Laval et l'Université du Québec à Montréal. Il a pour mission la promotion et la valorisation de la recherche.

<https://www.erudit.org/fr/>

There are some weaknesses in the book, but these are minor. The introductions and conclusions to chapters do not always synthesize and rationalize what is and has emerged. This is a minor point perhaps, but a text like this with such depth and variety could help guide the reader across the strong empirical and theoretical terrain even if it is clearly argued on the whole. In addition, such comparative projects are always coping with the difficult task of trying to explain a variety of social and economic factors that constantly unsettle the research questions. However, Meardi manages to show sensitivity to the different economic contexts and legacies of the trade unions under discussion. He manages to point to the significance of how globalization and change give rise to different types of consciousness. One feels that the "voice," or shall we say "voices," of the participants has been respected and carefully pieced together.

Yet there are always leaps of faith with such research. The importance of this book is that it is a serious insight into the mindset of trade unionists coping with the current challenges of change. There are new agendas and new issues emerging that will provide a role for unions but the book is clear in showing how recent, let alone past, history and experiences may derail any overarching and unifying narratives within and between countries. It is rare to see an industrial relations text engage with such a wide variety of theoretical positions and broad ideological and social questions. This is especially relevant when many of those working from radical perspectives on trade unions in the context of change are ironically located in highly institutionalist and theoretically limited approaches.

MIGUEL MARTINEZ LUCIO
Leeds University Business School

"Heal Thyself:" Managing Health Care Reform

by Pat ARMSTRONG, Hugh ARMSTRONG, Ivy BOURGEAULT, Jacqueline CHOINIERE, Eric MYKHALOVSKIY and Jerry P. WHITE, Aurora: Garamond Press, 2000, 171 pp., ISBN 1-55193-024-2.

It is intriguing and disheartening to review this book at a time when the management of human resources and industrial relations in British Columbia health care has been thrown into utter turmoil by the Gordon Campbell Liberal government. The book, a probing critique of the former NDP government's health care reform policy, has been overtaken by events.

The new government has torn up collective agreements and accords between management and labour, destroying the most advanced labour adjustment program in Canada. And what domain in the work world cries out more for effective adjustment? The government is in the process of sending collective bargaining and labour standards legislation back almost to industrial prehistory. It has closed health care facilities and pro-

poses to privatize others without union successor rights. Prospective private employers have been exposed endeavouring to eliminate the more militant unions from the new labour scene.

Certainly this plays havoc with the lives of health care workers. But it also makes life very difficult for researchers actively studying those lives. Such is the nature of health care reform in Canada that just when you think you have accurately described the situation, everything changes.

Veteran health care scholars Pat and Hugh Armstrong have become well-known for their attempts to investigate health care reform in a novel fashion, a method few others have even cared to employ: they speak directly to the workers delivering the care. In a series of

publications in the last decade, the Armstrongs and several associates have sought out the opinions from those on the front line—patients, families of patients, nurses, LPNs and others. These are truly the (to use a phrase devised by these authors) “Voices from the Ward.” In their most recent book *Heal Thyself*, these researchers set out to investigate British Columbia nurses’ evaluation of the progress of the health care reform through the nineties. They take as their point of departure a series of seminal documents that began the process of reform in that province.

The 1991 report of the British Columbia Royal Commission on Health Care and Costs, *Closer to Home* provoked the Ministries of Health and Seniors to prepare a health reform strategy entitled “New Directions.” These documents mandated the integration of the separate subsectors of health care (acute care, long-term care, mental health, public health and home care) and the devolution of control downward from government and upward from institutions to new regional health authorities. In addition, the strategy identified five major components of reform: a focus on prevention; greater public participation and responsibility; a move away from institutions and toward the home and community; respect for the health care provider; and more effective management of the health care system. *Heal Thyself* holds those five directions up to scrutiny, both by the authors and by a group of British Columbia registered nurses working in several health subsectors. The reason for going after nurses’ opinions is straightforward, in the words of the authors: “Registered nurses make up the bulk of the health care labour force. In 1997, there were more than 200,000 registered nurses employed in Canada and three-quarters of them provided direct care in institutional settings. They not only experience the impact of reforms directly in their daily work, they are also often in a better

position than doctors, policy makers or managers to see and assess the impact on the patients in their care. RNs have more regular and prolonged contact with patients and their families than do the physicians who direct the care or the managers who supervise the system. As a result, they are in a position to provide valuable assessments of management claims.” And the new health care system is nothing if not a management-driven system, insist the authors, where more and more institutions and providers come from the private sector, and public institutions are increasingly run on a private sector model, with an emphasis on efficiency.

Despite the change of government, the book’s main thrust may still be extremely relevant as the Liberals have altered the intensity of change, but perhaps not its direction. One of the most valuable features of the book is its careful concentration on how health reform affects women: women as workers, women as patients, women as the “voluntary” (read unpaid) caregivers of last resort out in the community. This is partly because the two introductory chapters first appeared as a paper for the Working Group on Women and Health Reform. On the other hand, this also gives the book a disjointed feel. It jumps from a discussion of the international and national context of health reform right into the results of interviews with nurses’ focus groups. Compact as the book is, it has the feel of two different books, moving peremptorily from very broad to very narrow. Although the authors take pains to present advantages as well as disadvantages of health reform, in some cases they do not give enough information to justify either, but especially the latter. For instance, their critique of “evidence-based medicine” is too brusque for a challenge so provocative of a notion so seemingly reasonable. It may well be true that “evidence may be used more to control and limit than it is to improve quality...”, but the

critical reader yearns for more, well, evidence, of this assertion. Likewise, though the comments of the nurse interviewees are cogent, one wishes that the authors had done even limited verification to solidify the analysis. For example, the authors report "nurse after nurse" stating that infection rates in hospitals are rising because of cutbacks in housekeeping. While most readers would be ready to believe this assertion, both the statistics and the causation could easily have been corroborated.

Parenthetically, it would be remiss not to reproach both the authors and the

publisher for the absence of an index, a complaint that is not exclusive to this book and this publisher. If one is producing serious social science publications, to which readers might wish to make further reference, the absence of an index is inexcusable. Notwithstanding these complaints, there is more than enough in this book to worry a reader about the consequences of health care reform on workers, patients and their families, even before the change of government.

LARRY HAIVEN

Saint Mary's University

Les dynamiques de la PME : approches internationales

sous la direction de Bruno COURAULT et Philippe TROUVÉ, Paris : Presses universitaires de France, 2000, 404 p., ISBN 2-11-088612-9.

La prise en compte dans les analyses économiques et sociologiques du rôle des entreprises de faible taille dans le développement économique est un phénomène relativement récent en France. Même si l'ampleur de ce mouvement reste marginale, un certain nombre de programmes de recherches ont été mené sur ces thèmes depuis une quinzaine d'années. Cet ouvrage collectif est le produit ultime d'un séminaire de recherches de novembre 1997 organisé par la DARES au ministère de l'Emploi et de la Solidarité pour faire le point de quelques-uns de ces travaux, à dominante sociologique.

Une première partie de l'ouvrage réunit des analyses faites sous des angles variés. Les terrains d'enquête, les thématiques de recherche, aussi bien que les paradigmes explicatifs ne sont ni complémentaires ni vraiment articulés. La juxtaposition de ces analyses variées n'est cependant ni fortuite ni dénuée d'intérêt. Elle enseigne que, si l'analyse des PME ne peut constituer en soi un domaine particulier de la sociologie économique, la prise en compte de ce qui s'y passe permet le plus souvent d'enrichir les débats et de renouveler les

analyses. La question de l'inscription territoriale, sans être véritablement traitée de front, y est abordée sous des angles variés et cette approche permet d'infirmier une fois encore la relation fréquemment avancée entre inscription locale et marché limité.

L'ensemble des contributions présentées dans la seconde partie porte, à l'exception de la dernière, sur le cas des industries de l'habillement. La comparaison internationale, réalisée par la proximité des contributions, est ici particulièrement féconde pour montrer comment se mettent en place les stratégies de restructuration et d'adaptation aux nouvelles données des marchés. Dans la plupart de ces cas, on voit combien l'analyse fine et située, par des observations faites sur longue période, permet de dépasser les limites des outils d'analyse classique en reconstruisant, cas par cas, les éléments d'analyse pertinents.

Ces deux ensembles de contributions originales sont encadrés par deux textes de synthèse signés par les coordonnateurs. Le premier est une présentation de la littérature existante sur ces sujets principalement en France et secondairement en Europe. Le second porte sur la