



**Medical students benefit from becoming peer reviewers**  
**Les étudiants en médecine bénéficient de devenir des pairs évaluateurs**

Celeste Murtha

Volume 14, Number 3, 2023

URI: <https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1102151ar>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.76325>

[See table of contents](#)

Publisher(s)

Canadian Medical Education Journal

ISSN

1923-1202 (digital)

[Explore this journal](#)

Cite this document

Murtha, C. (2023). Medical students benefit from becoming peer reviewers. *Canadian Medical Education Journal / Revue canadienne de l'éducation médicale*, 14(3), 154–154. <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.76325>

© Celeste Murtha, 2023



This document is protected by copyright law. Use of the services of Érudit (including reproduction) is subject to its terms and conditions, which can be viewed online.

<https://apropos.erudit.org/en/users/policy-on-use/>

**é**rudit

This article is disseminated and preserved by Érudit.

Érudit is a non-profit inter-university consortium of the Université de Montréal, Université Laval, and the Université du Québec à Montréal. Its mission is to promote and disseminate research.

<https://www.erudit.org/en/>

---

## Medical students benefit from becoming peer reviewers Les étudiants en médecine bénéficient de devenir des pairs évaluateurs

*Celeste Murtha*<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Kansas City University, Missouri, USA

Correspondence to: Celeste Murtha; email: celeste.murtha@kansascity.edu

Published ahead of issue: Dec 6, 2022; published Jun 27, 2023. CMEJ 2023, 14(3) Available at <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmei.76325>

© 2023 Murtha; licensee Synergies Partners. This is an Open Journal Systems article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

Dear Editors,

We would like to express our sincere gratitude for allowing us the opportunity to participate in the CMEJ peer reviewing process. The process has been eye-opening. Not only did we critically analyze the articles independently, but also came together to engage in meaningful and profound conversations about the article content. In reviewing several articles over the past couple of months, we have watched the quality and depth with which we evaluate articles evolve and strengthen. It was an invaluable experience, and we feel privileged that we were exposed to this process at such an early stage in our career, especially as students with an interest in publishing research. Our moderator, Dr. Cindy Schmidt, played a critical role in teaching us how to analyze and critique scholarly work in a professional way. We can confidently say that this opportunity has tremendously guided our professional development in the field of research and partnership.

From the bottom of our hearts, we thank you for including us in this process and hope you will consider including us in the future!

With appreciation,

*The Kansas City University Anatomy Fellows*

Ginger Chant, George Kalu, Morgan McBride, Celeste Murtha, Colleen Reed, Tamara Stojilkovic, Grace Thiel, Keanna Theobalt, and Miles Turk